1	VIRGINIA				
2	2 IN AND FOR THE CIRCUIT COURT I	FOR THE COUNTY OF BEDFORE			
3	3				
4	4 COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA	J			
5	5				
6	6 V.				
7	7 ELIZABETH ROXANNE HAYSOM	ELIZABETH ROXANNE HAYSOM			
8					
9					
10	10				
11	EXERPT OF PI	EXERPT OF PROCEEDINGS			
12	August 24, 1987				
13					
14	APPEARANCES:				
15	THE HONORABLE WILLIAM W.	SWEENEY, PRESIDING			
16		W. Updike, Jr., Esq. ord County Courthouse			
17		ord, VA 24523			
18		ndrew Davis, Esq. Main Street			
19	- 25	ord, VA 24523			
20		J. M. Jones, III., Esq. Timberlake Road			
21		nburg, VA 24502			
22	22 Reported by: Jacquelyn Keen				

ASSOCIATED REPORTING SERVICE

111 Euphan Avenue
Lynchburg, Vitginia 24502
(804) 525-2345

## EXCERPT OF PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT

All right, at this time we'll turn back to the case set for today and we will take the arraignment and the plea of the defendant. Let the accused be arraigned and receive her plea on the two charges.

THE CLERK:

The Grand Jury charges that during the period between the 29th day of March, 1985, and the 31st day of March, 1985, in the County of Bedford, Virginia, Elizabeth Roxanne Haysom did unlawfully and feloniously kill and murder Derrick William Reginald Haysom in violation of the provisions of Section 18.2-32 of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended. What say you, are you guilty as charged in the indictment or not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT:

Guilty as an accessory before the fact.

THE CLERK:

The Grand Jury charges that during the period between the 29th day of March, 1985, and the 31st day of March, 1985, in the County of Bedford, Virginia, Elizabeth Roxanne Haysom did unlawfully and

feloniously kill and murder Nancy Astor
Haysom in violation of the provisions of
Section 18.2-32 of the Code of Virginia of
1950, as amended. What say you, are you
quilty as charged in the indictment or not
quilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty as an accessory before the fact.

THE COURT:

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

21

22

23

24

25

Ms. Haysom, I'll ask you to speak up now because everything that is being done here is being recorded, and you have a rather soft voice. But if I understood your correctly, on the arraignments you pled guilty as an accessory before the fact to each of these two offenses, is that what you said?

17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

18 THE COURT:

Will the Commonwealth accept those pleas at this time?

20 MR. UPDIKE:

Yes, Your Honor, because as we understand, and we know that counsel has explained to the defendant, a plea to that charge as an accessory before the fact is punishable in the same manner and the same fashion as a principal in the first degree.

Thank you, sir.

THE COURT:

3

4

5

7

9

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

So you're saying that it's tantamount to a plea of quilty but it is a different form of plea which carries the same punishment as the original offenses, is that your position?

MR. UPDIKE:

Yes, sir, Your Honor.

THE COURT:

Gentlemen, is there any question about

that?

MR. DAVIS:

No, sir.

MR. JONES:

No, sir.

THE COURT:

All right. Now I'm going to ask you to remain standing, Ms. Haysom, because there are certain questions that I must ask you pertaining to your plea of quilty as stated by you to these two offenses. And the purposes of these questions is to ensure that your pleas of quilty were freely and voluntarily made. If you have any questions, please ask me and I will be glad to stop and explain my questions to you, or if you desire you may confer with your attorneys at any time before you

answer the questions. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT:

Yes, sir.

1	THE	COURT:	Would you state for the record,
2			please, your full name.
3	THE	DEFENDANT:	Elizabeth Roxanne Haysom.
4	THE	COURT:	And Ms. Haysom, you are the same
5			person charged in these two indictments in
6			this Court, is that correct?
7	THE	DEFENDANT:	Yes, Your Honor.
8	THE	COURT:	And do you know what you are charged
9			with?
10	THE	DEFENDANT:	Yes, Your Honor.
11	THE	COURT:	And has it been explained to you as to
12			what the range of punishment under Virginia
13			law is for these two offenses?
14	THE	DEFENDANT:	Yes, Your Honor.
15	THE	COURT:	And have you had sufficient time to
16			discuss these cases and any defenses which
17			you might have with your two attorneys?
18	THE	DEFENDANT:	Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE	COURT:	After those discussions, did you
20			decide for yourself freely and voluntarily
21			to plead quilty as an accessory before the
22			fact to each of these indictments?
23	THE	DEFENDANT:	Yes, Your Honor.
24	THE	COURT:	Are you satisfied with the attorneys
25			who have represented you in this case up

to this point? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. Do you realize that by pleading quilty THE COURT: as you have, that you waive or give up the right to a jury trial? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Has anyone mistreated you or forced you to make any statements against your 8 will or coerced you in any way pertaining to this case? 10 THE DEFENDANT: No. 12 THE COURT: Your answer is no? No. 13 THE DEFENDANT: 14 THE COURT: Do you understand the elements of these offenses, and by that I mean do you 15 understand what the Commonwealth of 16 Virginia would have to prove in each case in 17 order to secure convictions as charged 18 against you? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. Do you understand that a plea of THE COURT: 21 quilty is a self-supplied conviction which 22 for most purposes would deny or cut off a 23 24 right of appeal?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE CURT: And that the only appeal that could be from such a plea and such a finding of 2 quilty would be that this Court lacked jurisdiction in some way to act or sentenced you beyond the range of punishment allowable by Virginia law, do 6 you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. And finally, has it been explained to you that on a plea of guilty, 10 that I will be the one fixing your 11 punishment? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: And that I am not bound by any recommendations of punishment that might 15 have been made and that if this plea--these 16 pleas of quilty are accepted, that it will 17 be up to me based upon any presentence 18 report and the evidence which I hear today 19 to decide your sentence. 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 21 THE COURT: Without any restrictions, do you fully 22 understand that? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT:

Do you know of any other matters which

might affect any of your constitutional rights which you wish to bring to my attention, Ms. Haysom, at this time, before we proceed further?

THE DEFENDANT:

No, Your Honor.

THE COURT:

All right, this Court makes the finding of fact for the record that the accused's pleas to these two charges were freely and voluntarily made after consultation with competent counsel. You may have your seat.

At this time the Court will allow the Commonwealth and request that the Commonwealth put on such evidence as is necessary to support the plea, the pleas in this case. Mr. Updike, you may proceed.

## END OF TRANSCRIBED PROCEEDINGS

STATE OF VIRGINIA

AT LARGE, to-wit:

I, Jacquelyn Keen, Notary Public in and for the State of Virginia at Large, do hereby certify that the testimony contained herein was and transcribed by me, and that the foregoing Pages 1 through 9 represent a true and accurate transcript of said proceedings to the best of my Stenographic ability.

My commission expires November 18, 1989.
Witness my hand this 21st day of March, 1989.

Wotary Public

VIRGINIA:

IN AND FOR THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF BEDFORD

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

V.

2

4

5

6

7

ELIZABETH ROXANNE HAYSOM

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Guilty Plea and Opening Argument of Counsel

August 24, 1987

APPEARANCES:

THE HONORABLE WILLIAM W. SWEENEY, PRESIDING

16

For the Commonwealth: James W. Updike, Jr., Esq. Bedford County Courthouse

Bedford, VA 24523

For the Defendant:

R. Andrew Davis, Esq. 307 West Main Street

Bedford, VA 24523

Hugh J.M. Jones, III., Esq.

8800 Timberlake Road

Lynchburg, VA 24502

Reported by: Jacquelyn Keen

ASSOCIATED REPORTING SERVICE

111 Euphan Avenue Lynchburg, Virginia 24502 (804) 525-2345

2425

- 1			
1	AUG	JST 24,	1987 $\underline{P} \underline{R} \underline{O} \underline{C} \underline{E} \underline{E} \underline{D} \underline{I} \underline{N} \underline{G} \underline{S}$ 9:30 A.M.
2			
3	THE	COURT:	All right, will the Clerk call the
4			case set for today, please.
5	THE	CLERK:	Commonwealth of Virginia versus
6			Elizabeth Roxanne Haysom.
7	THE	COURT:	Is the Commonwealth ready,
8			Mr. Updike?
9	MR.	UPDIKE:	The Commonwealth's ready, Your Honor.
10	THE	COURT:	Is the defense ready, Mr. Davis,
11			Mr. Jones?
12	MR.	DAVIS:	Yes, sir, Your Honor.
13	THE	COURT:	All right. Now we have some
14			administrative matters dealing with the
15			jury at this time, and I'll ask the Clerk
16			to call the jurors please and instruct them
17			as to what to do when their name is called.
18	THE	CLERK:	Will you please just remain seated and
19			answer as I call your name.
20			(Whereupon the jurous were called and all but Mark Clemmons and Gloria
21			Jennings were presented
22	THE	CLERK:	Do I have any other juror whose name
23			has not been called this morning?
24			(No response.)
25	THE	CLERK:	Will all jurors please stand and raise

Page 2

your right hand.

(Whereupon the oath was administered to the jurors.)

THE COURT:

All right, now if you will remain standing for just a few minutes members of the jury, at this time I'm going to ask you certain questions pertaining to your general qualifications to serve on the trial jury. If you cannot hear me, please raise your hand and I'll try to speak up.

Yes? Cannot hear me?

JUROR:

I can hear your voice but I can't hear the words. I have a hearing aid.

THE COURT:

All right, sir. The law of the Commonwealth of Virginia states that in order to serve on a trial or petit jury, that you must be at least eighteen years of age, that you must be a citizen of the United States, that you must have been a resident of the Commonwealth of Virginia for at least one year next preceding your being summonsed for jury service, and that you must have been a resident of the Commonwealth of Virginia for at least six months next preceding your being summonsed

for jury service.

It also provides that if you have any hearing or seeing difficulties or any other physical impairments that would prevent you from properly performing all your duties as a juror, that you are not required to serve.

It provides that if you have served on a jury in this Court within the last two years, you're not required to be on the jury again, and it provides that if any of you have any lawsuit in your own names which case is expected to be tried in this court within the next month, then you are not to be a member of this panel.

Now having asked those questions, are there any of you who feel that you are disqualified from jury service just on the basis of the questions I have asked. And I'm not asking for excuses from jury duty, I'm talking about a response to the questions which I just asked. If so, please raise your hand and I will inquire individually. Yes, start here in the front, yes. Yes, ma'am.

Page 4

1	MS.	FOWLER:	I have a letter for you.
2	THE	COURT:	All right, Sheriff, could you let me
3			see the letter please? All right, now as
4			you raise your hand pleasewhat was your
5			name for the record, please?
6	MS.	FOWLER:	Carrie Fowler.
7	THE	COURT:	All right, I'll read that and respond
8			to that later. Anybody else? Yes, sir.
9			You said you had a hearing problem, sir?
10	MR.	DOUGLAS:	Yes, sir.
11	THE	COURT:	Do you think that you would be able
12			toif you sat on the jury here, do you
13			think that you would be able to hear the
14			witnesses in the witness stand or is your
15			hearing problem severe?
16	MR.	DOUGLAS:	I'm afraid I wouldn't, Your Honor.
17			I'd do my best, but if there's any other
18			noise at all, I hear the voices but I can't
19			pick up the words.
20	THE	COURT:	All right, sir, your name, please.
21	MR.	DOUGLAS:	Clayton Douglas, William Clayton
22			Douglas.
23	THE	COURT:	All right, thank you, Mr. Douglas.
24			I'll make a decision on that a little bit
25	1		later on. Is there anyone else who feels

that he or she is affected by any of the questions which I have just asked? If so, please raise your hands.

(No response.)

THE COURT:

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

All right, thank you very much. You may have your seat.

All right, at this time the Sheriff's Department will pass out to you a list of the trial dates that we have scheduled for this term. If you will look at those, please, before I next address you.

THE COURT:

All right, if you all have one of these lists, these are the days scheduled for jury duty for the balance of your term. Any days not shown on here would be free days for you.

THE CLERK:

The following names will be excused after today. Laura Shubert, William Clayton Douglas and Mrs. Fowler are excused after today.

THE COURT:

All right, at this time we'll turn back to the case set for today and we will take the arraignment and the plea of the defendant. Let the accused be arraigned and receive her plea on the two charges.

24 |

THE CLERK:

The Grand Jury charges that during the period between the 29th day of March, 1985, and the 31st day of March, 1985, in the County of Bedford, Virginia, Elizabeth Roxanne Haysom did unlawfully and feloniously kill and murder Derrick William Reginald Haysom in violation of the provisions of Section 18.2-32 of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended. What say you, are you guilty as charged in the indictment or not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty as an accessory before the fact.

THE CLERK:

The Grand Jury charges that during the period between the 29th day of March, 1985, and the 31st day of March, 1985, in the County of Bedford, Virginia, Elizabeth Roxanne Haysom did unlawfully and feloniously kill and murder Nancy Astor Haysom in violation of the provisions of Section 18.2-32 of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended. What say you, are you guilty as charged in the indictment or not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty as an accessory before the

INE DELEMBART

fact.

THE COURT:

Ms. Haysom, I'll ask you to speak up now because everything that is being done here is being recorded, and you have a rather soft voice. But if I understood your correctly, on the arraignments you pled guilty as an accessory before the fact to each of these two offenses, is that what you said?

THE DEFENDANT:

Yes, sir.

THE COURT:

Will the Commonwealth accept those

pleas at this time?

MR. UPDIKE:

Yes, Your Honor, because as we understand, and we know that counsel has explained to the defendant, a plea to that charge as an accessory before the fact is punishable in the same manner and the same fashion as a principal in the first degree. Thank you, sir.

THE COURT:

So you're saying that it's tantamount to a plea of guilty but it is a different form of plea which carries the same punishment as the original offenses, is that your position?

MR. UPDIKE:

Yes, sir, Your Honor.

Page 8

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

11 12

13

14

16

18

20 21

22

23

THE COURT: Gentlemen, is there any question about that? 2 MR. DAVIS: No, sir. MR. JONES: No, sir. 4 THE COURT: All right. Now I'm going to ask you 5 to remain standing, Ms. Haysom, because 6 there are certain questions that I must ask you pertaining to your plea of guilty as 8 stated by you to these two offenses. And 9 the purposes of these questions is to 10 ensure that your pleas of guilty were 11 freely and voluntarily made. If you have 12 any questions, please ask me and I will be 13 glad to stop and explain my questions to you, or if you desire you may confer with 15 your attorneys at any time before you 16 answer the questions. Do you understand? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 18 THE COURT: Would you state for the record, 19 please, your full name. 20 THE DEFENDANT: Elizabeth Roxanne Haysom. 21 THE COURT: And Ms. Haysom, you are the same person charged in these two indictments in 23 this Court, is that correct? 24

Yes, Your Honor.

THE DEFENDANT:

1	THE	COURT:	And do you know what you are charged
2			with?
3	THE	DEFENDANT:	Yes, Your Honor.
4	THE	COURT:	And has it been explained to you as to
5			what the range of punishment under Virginia
6			law is for these two offenses?
7	THE	DEFENDANT:	Yes, Your Honor.
8	THE	COURT:	And have you had sufficient time to
9			discuss these cases and any defenses which
10			you might have with your two attorneys?
11	THE	DEFENDANT:	Yes, Your Honor.
12	THE	COURT:	After those discussions, did you
13			decide for yourself freely and voluntarily
14			to plead guilty as an accessory before the
15			fact to each of these indictments?
16	THE	DEFENDANT:	Yes, Your Honor.
17	THE	COURT:	Are you satisfied with the attorneys
18			who have represented you in this case up
19			to this point?
20	THE	DEFENDANT:	Yes, Your Honor.
21	THE	COURT:	Do you realize that by pleading guilty
22			as you have, that you waive or give up the
23			right to a jury trial?
24	THE	DEFENDANT:	Yes, Your Honor.
25	THE	COURT:	Has anyone mistreated you or forced

you to make any statements against your will or coerced you in any way pertaining to this case?

THE DEFENDANT:

No.

THE COURT:

Your answer is no?

THE DEFENDANT:

No.

THE COURT:

Do you understand the elements of these offenses, and by that I mean do you understand what the Commonwealth of Virginia would have to prove in each case in order to secure convictions as charged against you?

11 12

13

14

15

16

8

9

10

2

3

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT:

Do you understand that a plea of quilty is a self-supplied conviction which for most purposes would deny or cut off a right of appeal?

17 18

THE DEFENDANT:

Yes, Your Honor.

19 20

21

22

23

THE CURT:

And that the only appeal that could be from such a plea and such a finding of guilty would be that this Court lacked jurisdiction in some way to act or sentenced you beyond the range of punishment: allowable by virginia law, do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. And finally, has it been explained to you that on a plea of guilty, 3 that I will be the one fixing your punishment? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. And that I am not bound by any THE COURT: recommendations of punishment that might 8 have been made and that if this plea--these 9 pleas of guilty are accepted, that it will 10 be up to me based upon any presentence 11 report and the evidence which I hear today 12 to decide your sentence. 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Without any restrictions, do you fully 15 understand that? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 17 Do you know of any other matters which THE COURT: 18 might affect any of your constitutional 19 rights which you wish to bring to my 20 attention, Ms. Haysom, at this time, before 21 we proceed further? 22 No, Your Honor. THE DEFENDANT: 23 All right, this Court makes the THE COURT: 24 finding of fact for the record that the

accused's pleas to these two charges were freely and voluntarily made after consultation with competent counsel. You may have your seat.

At this time the Court will allow the Commonwealth and request that the Commonwealth put on such evidence as is necessary to support the plea, the pleas in this case. Mr. Updike, you may proceed.

MR. UPDIKE:

Thank you, Your Honor. And Your
Honor, we appreciate the Court allowing us
the opportunity to present our evidence,
because we do feel that under the nature
of—the circumstances I should say, of this
case and the nature of the offenses, that it
is a situation where there needs to be a
thorough presentation of the evidence,
we're quite prepared to do that here today.

We would also like to emphasize that this is a plea in which there are no plea agreements, there have been no negotiations on the part of me or my office with the defendant or her counsel. So at the appropriate time the Court of course will have the obligation and responsibility of fixing punishment in this matter without any agreements, and for that reason we feel that a thorough presentation of the evidence would be necessary to hopefully assist the Court in making that decision.

THE COURT:

That will be permitted. Now

Mr. Updike, if I may interrupt you for one
other administrative matter, it appears
that we will not be needing the jury in

view of the pleas which were made this morning. Now that means that any members of the jury who care to leave will be given an opportunity in just a moment to leave. If you care to stay, you may, or if you care to leave, you will be given an opportunity in just a moment.

Now as you leave, if you would go into this room over here where the sheriff or one of his deputies will be, they will give you a juror parking card so that you may have that as you come back on subsequent days for jury duty.

Now one other statement. From this point on, since we are now getting into the evidentiary stage of the trial and to prevent unnecessary distraction, this Court will not allow people to come in and out of the courtroom. Once the jurors who wish to leave have left, then everyone who is now in the courtroom will have to remain here until the next break which I estimate will be somewhere between thirty and forty-five minutes from now. Now if that creates a problem with your schedule, then I suggest

that you leave the courtroom because we cannot have people coming in and out of the courtroom during the progress of the trial.

All right at this time all jurors who desire to leave may leave and may go through this door where the sheriff is.

All right, we're ready to proceed.

Excuse me for interrupting, Mr. Updike.

You may now present your evidence or any
statement you wish to make at this time.

MR. UPDIKE:

And Your Honor, the Commonwealth actually would like to present a combination of the two, if we might. We have a number of witnesses subpoenaed, some of those witnesses as far as their testimony is concerned, I would like to summarize. We have certain other witnesses present that we feel that it is important that they actually testify. But if I could, Your Honor, first summarize some of the preliminary evidence and the initial evidence before we actually get into some of the testimony.

Your Honor, if I could state also to clarify in response to the plea that was

entered by the defendant, I might state that I was a little surprised by the specification as to the charge of accessory before the fact, it's the first time really that I've heard that, but I think that it's a good idea.

We just want to clarify from the
Commonwealth's standpoint that we are not
making any modifications of the charge as
the Court well knows, and of course counsel
knows, but under the circumstances if I
could clarify that under the law here in the
Commonwealth of Virginia, an individual may
be indicted, tried, convicted and sentenced
as an accessory before the fact, a
principal in the second degree, just as a
principal in the first degree which we know
to be the perpetrator.

It has been our intention throughout, from the time that I first drew the indictments last June and presented them to the Grand Jury, that our evidence would be based upon the theory in this matter against Elizabeth Roxanne Haysom that she was indeed an accessory before the fact.

And we just want to make that clarification and we think it was wise that they stated that in the plea itself.

Your Honor, our evidence, as we get into it, would begin and the point at which I would like to begin would be on the 3rd day of April, 1985, that being the date when all of this originally came to our attention. At that time, or prior to this time, Derrick William Reginald Haysom and his wife Nancy Astor Haysom had lived here in Bedford County in the Boonsboro area of the county. Their address was Route 4, Box 257, Lynchburg, the residence being, as I understand it, on Holcomb Rock Road down there in that portion of the county.

On that particular date, Your Honor, we have, and I understand that she's here today, a lady, Mrs. M. C. Gunn, who with two other ladies had made a commitment, an appointment, arrangements, to play bridge with Mr. Haysom at the Haysom residence at approximately 1:30 that afternoon. They had played the previous week at one of the

others' residence, and on this occasion the three ladies went to the Haysom residence expecting to play bridge, as I indicated, at that time.

However, upon their arrival at the Haysom residence, they discovered that the curtains on the windows were drawn, both of the Haysom vehicles were in the driveway. One of the ladies approached the house, knocked on the doors, they got no response. They immediately became concerned because of this and because of their knowledge of Mr. Haysom, his punctuality, and things of that nature. They thought that unless something was wrong he would be there as he had indicated that he would be there.

After they were unable to get any response there at the home, the ladies proceeded back to Mitchell's Store to get to a telephone. At that point they began making telephone calls, they called a friend of the Haysom family, this being Mrs. Annie Massie, to inquire as to whether or not she knew anything about the Haysoms' whereabouts.

Mrs. Massie at that point or during the course of this day of April the 3rd, had received a phone call from the defendant, Elizabeth Haysom, which I'd like to get into in just a minute. But upon the phone call of Ms. Gunn, Mrs. Massie became concerned, she had a couple of things to take care of, but she told Ms. Gunn that she would meet her there at Mitchell's Store and that they would proceed back to the Haysom residence.

One of the primary purposes of Mrs. Massie going back there was that because of her close relationship with the Haysom family, she had a key to the Haysom residence. So after meeting there, the ladies went back to the Haysom residence.

Mrs. Massie would testify that with the key that she had there she opened the front door to the residence and upon doing that she immediately saw the body of Derrick Haysom lying in the doorway between the dining room and the living room. And his appearance as we will show the Court very quickly here in some of the

photographs, made it immediately obvious that he was dead.

Mrs. Massie will testify that she could not enter the residence, did not cross the threshold, but rather closed the door, they went back to Mitchell's Store again to get to a telephone, alerted the Bedford County Sheriff's Department. They waited for the deputy to arrive which was Deputy J. B. Stanley. Together they returned to the Haysom residence once again.

At that point Deputy Stanley entered the home, saw Mr. Haysom's body, alerted another deputy who was in the area, Deputy G. W. Thomas who arrived very quickly just moments later. Deputy Stanley at that point advised Deputy Thomas that he had not as yet found Mrs. Haysom's body and that they should quickly proceed to locate her.

At that point Mr. Thomas, Deputy
Thomas I should say, went through the
dining room into the kitchen, and there was
Mrs. maysom's body lying on the kitchen
floor, again as we will show in the

photographs.

The deputies secured the scene, kept everyone out and maintained that situation until the investigators arrived to begin collecting evidence and commenced the investigation.

As far as the condition of the house,
Your Honor, we have photographs here
showing the location of Mr. Raysom's body
as it was found, and we'd like to introduce
these photographs in different groups if we
might. Perhaps I'll present them to
counsel first.

This, Your Honor, would be a group of photographs showing the living room and the location of Mr. Haysom's body, also being photographs taken at the office of the deputy chief medical examiner which show in more detail the injuries which had been sustained by Mr. Haysom

And Your Honor, if I might state as I go through the evidence that as you come through the front of the house into the living room, which would be where those photographs indicate, if you proceed

Page 22

through the doorway immediately to your left you would go into the dining room, proceed through the dining room, continuing to your left, you would go into the kitchen. If you returned to the living room and went to the right side of the house, you would proceed into the bedroom and off to the side of the bedroom was a bathroom, and we have photographs of all of that.

But continuing in the sequence that I just stated, and this would be the direction in which Deputy Thomas went, you go to your left from the location of the body, you would proceed into the dining room, and Your Honor, that would be a set of photographs showing the condition of the dining room at that time. And we would like to introduce those as well.

THE COURT:

All right, do you want these exhibits numbered individually?

MR. UPDIKE:

Your Honor, it would be fine with us if we could just enter them as exhibits as a group, for example the living room was one group.

THE COURT:

All right, the first group being the

Page 23

1 2

#### first exhibit.

## (PHOTOGRAPHS MARKED COMMONWEALTH EXHIBIT NO. 1.)

4	THE	COURT:	And on what date were these
5			photographs made, Mr. Updike?
6	MR.	UPDIKE:	Your Honor, these photographs would
7			have been made later that night on April
8			the 3rd, 1985.

THE COURT: Were the photographs made before the bodies were disturbed?

Yes, sir, Your Honor. MR. UPDIKE:

THE COURT: (Pause for perusal.) This will be Commonwealth Exhibit No. 2 as a group.

# (PHOTOGRAPHS MARKED COMMONWEALTH EXHIBIT NO. 2.)

MR. UPDIKE: And Your Honor, proceeding through the 16 dining room into the kitchen, we have a 17 group of photographs showing the kitchen and the body of Mrs. Haysom which we would like to introduce as well.

THE COURT: Commonwealth No. 3 as a group.

> (PHOTOGRAPHS MARKED COMMONWEALTH EXHIBIT NO. 3.)

And Your Honor, this would be a group of photographs going to the right of the

Page 24

2

3

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

MR. UPDIKE:

entrance into the bedroom, and in that group there are some photographs of that small bathroom around to the side.

THE COURT:

All pictures taken on the same occasion?

MR. UPDIKE:

Yes, sir, Your Honor.

THE COURT:

Commonwealth No. 4 as a group

(PHOTOGRAPHS MARKED COMMONWEALTH EXHIBIT NO. 4.)

MR. UPDIKE:

Concerning the bodies themselves, Your Honor, the stated age of Derrick William Reginald Haysom is approximately seventy-two years of age, I meant to check that to be more precise, and Mrs. Haysom as stated here on the autopsy is fifty-four years of age.

The autopsy performed by Dr. Oxley, and we have certified copies here which we would like to introduce, this being first of all a certified copy of the autopsy performed upon Derrick Reginald Haysom, and we also have the certified copy of the report pertaining to the autopsy on the body of Nancy Haysom. We would like to introduce those, and if I might point out

from those reports certain injuries that were sustained by each victim.

Concerning Nancy Haysom, as

Dr. Oxley has pointed out, there were six
injuries to the body, this including the
massive slash to the throat, that being of
course a fatal injury as Dr. Oxley has
described it. The head, I think it's fair
to say, was virtually decapitated, the
jugulars were cut, the carotid, nearly
everything except the spinal column here at
the cervical spine.

In addition to that fatal injury,

Dr. Oxley has described two more which were

potentially fatal. There was a stab wound

to the left chest penetrating upward,

backward and toward the mid line, this

penetrated he heart. There was a third

potentially fatal injury, this being a stab

wound to the left flank penetrating the

peritoneal cavity.

In addition to those potentially fatal injuries, there were other stab wounds such as the one to the left mandible, another one to the left breast, and there was a

sixth injury which Dr. Oxley has described as a defensive injury, this being one to the--well it's drawn on this photograph and appearing in the area of the left elbow, an incision which would have appeared to have been consistent with the victim raising her arm to her face or in this area at least, to ward off an attack with a knife.

Concerning that particular autopsy, we have photographs, Your Honor, which we do think it is important to introduce. These photographs show in more detail the extent of the injuries. Because of the need to establish the seriousness of this offense, both offenses I should say, first of all we'd like to introduce these photographs taken by Dr. Oxley during the course of the autopsy upon the body of Nancy Astor Haysom.

THE COURT:

MR. DAVIS:

MR. UPDIKE:

Has the defense seen them?
Yes, Your Honor.

And excuse me, these will be the ones with Mr. Haysom if you all would like to see them as we go through them. But Your Honor, we have made the effort to show all

Page 27

of this information to counsel and they've been very cooperative with us in that regard.

Pertaining to the autopsy which Dr. Oxley did on the body of Derrick William Reginald Haysom, we would like to point out from the autopsy report which has been introduced, Dr. Oxley determined that there were fourteen stab wounds to the back, eleven stab wounds to the chest, an incised slash/gash to the right cheek, two slashes of that nature to the left cheek coming across the left ear and across the left chin, jaw bone.

Dr. Oxley described what he perceived to be as numerous defense injuries. He observed one as a large defense cut which transverses the right palm: we have some photographs of that and Dr. Oxley has drawn it in the report, which would be consistent with the man grabbing a knife during an attack. There was a defense cut on the dorsum of the right thumb, this again being consistent with those circumstances. A

Page 28

defense cut on the lateral aspect of the dorsum of the right wrist, another defense cut on the inner aspect of the right arm, another defense cut in the web between the left thumb and forefinger.

Dr. Oxley during our interview with him just recently that Investigator Gardner and I did said that based upon these injuries that it was quite apparent that Mr. Haysom put up one "hell of a fight". And we think that he autopsy report establishes that and the photographs taken during the autopsy establish that as well. We'd like to introduce those please.

In addition to the bodies of course,

Your Honor, being taken from the scene for
the purpose of the autopsies, there was
numerous items of evidence, were numerous
items of evidence seized from the home. We
have those and are prepared to produce
them.

We have introduced -- or I should say we have filed previously the numerous lab reports which are the result of the analysis on this evidence, and they are

them at different times during this presentation, but we would like to just emphasize at this point that there were items of blood samples taken from the scene. As some of the photographs show that are in the group from the living room, that the Court I'm sure noticed, there were foot impressions, some appearing to have been made by a tennis shoe, others appearing to have been made by a person in stocking feet without shoes on.

There is in the one photograph, and there has been much made of this, and I do not wish to sensationalize, on the other hand I do not wish to ignore it based on evidence that we will get into later, the one photograph near the body, there was a V of some sort there which—with a figure drawn in it.

The autopsy report reflects that there was an incision on the chin which was of a nature that could be categorized as a V.

There was mouse trap, a rather commonplace kind of mouse trap, but it was rather odd

at the time, that that again had the V on it. We will at a later point in this hearing present evidence at which point and correspondence from the defendant to Jens Soering, the co-defendant in this matter, there was a question of voodoo as a possibility, a drawing on her part, which we will be introducing, which though I'm no art critic certainly, we think can be categorized in bizarre fashions if not demonic, and the V motif is throughout that.

Again, we're not arguing specifically the exact bearing upon this on the murders themselves or state whether or not that was just something left there for the purpose of leading police, leading them on, confusing them, things of that nature. Again, as I stated, it should not be sensationalized or exaggerated or taken out of context, but based upon evidence we will be getting into that a little bit later today, it should not be forgotten as well I feel.

In addition to that evidence, Your Honor, we would emphasize at the toese, as

the photographs show, that there was blood tracked throughout the house, that there was obviously an attempt to come back and clear up the blood around the body of Mrs.

Haysom. There is the markings, obviously wiping markings, surrounding the blood.

We found blood throughout the house that we think will perhaps be more pertinent in the later trial of the codefendant, but we can establish the blood types of the victims of course and we feel can establish a scenario of him going through the house and things of that nature by virtue of the blood types of each victim there.

There was an alien blood type found at the scene as well not consistent with either victim.

Concerning the evidence which developed after the initial investigation there at the scene, we would like to proceed to the memorial service which was conducted of course for Mr. and Mrs.

Haysom. There were some perhaps unusual things that occurred thereafter. We would

like to point out that there was one gentleman at the memorial service by the name of Mr. Don Harrington who observed Jens Soering, the co-defendant, at the memorial service. He had come down from Charlottesville with Elizabeth Haysom and another friend. He did observe that there was an injury to Soering's left cheek. Mr. Harrington would testify that he had done some boxing himself, this being Mr. Harrington in his earlier days, and his immediate response or thought to himself was someone caught this man with a right cross.

We would like to emphasize by referring back to the autopsy report that the right hand of Mr. Haysom did have an abrasion on the right knuckle.

We would also have Mr. Harrington testify that he observed one, possibly two fingers on Jens Soering, this being the left hand, and that Soering was wearing at the memorial service, this being April 7th of '85, a band-aid or some type of dressing of that nature.

As the memorial service came about, Your Honor, I should really have gotten into the fact that on April the 3rd after the bodies were found, Mrs. Massie, some investigators, went to Charlottesville where the defendant was a student at that time. She was of course advised, that was the purpose of going there, to tell her what had happened to her parents. Her response was one of being very quiet. We do not think that she displayed any tears or outward displays of emotion. She and Soering rode back to Lynchburg in the car, remaining rather quiet the entire time.

Thereafter, after the memorial service, she was interviewed on April the 8th by Investigator R. W. Gardner of our sheriff's department and another investigator. This, Your Honor, is a tape that I think is perhaps three hours long. We would like to play just a portion of that tape. We have the entire transcript that we would like to introduce, but the purpose of the playing of a portion of the tape would be that we think that it is

probative, her tone of voice, the manner in which she can describe in detail various background items, a little laughter occasionally, this of course being the day after she had attended a memorial service for her parents.

We also found it odd and would have testimony from her brother, Dr. Haysom, and others who would establish that later, after the burial and things of that nature had been attended to, it was of course necessary to clean up the house after the investigation had been completed there.

Dr. Haysom will testify that he and other members of the family were of the opinion that of course they should send in professional cleaners to clean up the blood and things of that nature.

Elizabeth Haysom it seems thought that that was an unusual and unnecessary expense, volunteered to go in and clean up her parents blood herself.

Dr. Haysom is a doctor of course, a medical doctor, and would testify that despite his experience with blood and

Page 35

1 2

E

injuries, that he had no stomach at all for going in there, but if that had to be done, if the others wanted it, he could certainly carry his end of it.

The other members of the family felt that that was indeed unnecessary as far as cleaning it up themselves, professional cleaners were hired, they did come in.

Subsequent to that, however, Miss Haysom went in with other people and did some cleaning of her own. We have a witness from Lynchburg who is subpoenaed who would testify that she was cleaning in the area of the fireplace, which the photographs show, where Mr. Haysom's body was found, made some statement to the effect of here are pop's brains or something of that nature while she was wiping.

She also saw one of the footprints that I have described there on the floor and upon removing her shoe, placed her foot in that impression to perform some type of comparison I suppose. Dr. Haysom would say that he considered that unusual and told her to stop it.

So our evidence, Your Honor, would be that concerning her reaction there immediately after, we feel that we could establish if the case were tried, certainly this was conduct not what you would expect from a daughter who had just been informed and become aware that her parents had been brutally murdered. Remorse was not there at least outwardly, though we understand and think we would have testimony from family members that she is indeed quite theatric and something of an actor.

We would also like to proceed with other evidence. I'm getting a little bit out of context because I will be calling some of the officers, but I would like to get some of this evidence in before they actually testify.

After the April 8th interview, 1985
that is, the Bedford County Sheriff's
Department learned that there had been an
automobile rented by Elizabeth Haysom on
the weekend of March the 29th, it was
returned to Charlottesville--rented at

Pantops Texaco there in Charlottesville, on March the 29th around 2:30 and was returned on Sunday, March the 3lst, the time of the return being 3:18.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have interviewed and subpoenaed the two individuals who were working there and who rented the car and who were there when the car was returned. Douglas Crenshaw would be the individual who rented the car. We have the form here which we would like to introduce. He can relate -- this is his handwriting, he can relate that he took the driver's license from E. R. Haysom, took down the necessary information, compared the driver's license to the individual renting the car, student identification was used from the University of Virginia, he took down all that information, the rental form of course has the signature at the bottom of E. R. Haysom.

The return of the rental car was handled by Mr. Clarence Meadows, he would testify as to his handwriting.

However, what struck the sheriff's department immediately as being unusual was

that we were learning of some trip or obviously we'd known at that point of a trip from Charlottesville to Washington, D.C., and the approximate mileage there, if we say 115 miles, which is somewhat conservative. But at any rate, if you go one way and return you have 230 miles, and if you do some reasonable driving thereafter, which you would not expect a whole lot if you're paying mileage on it, but at any rate, when the car was returned it had been driven 669 miles, virtually three times the mileage one might expect under these circumstances. And we would like to introduce and have shown to counsel and provided copies of this document,

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We would state, Your Honor, and the Court can of course take judicial notice, but if you drove from Charlottesville to Washington, D. C., 110, 115 miles, whatever, went from Washington back to Charlottesville, Charlottesville to the Haysom residence, and if you approximate that distance from Charlottesville to the Haysom residence of being semething of the

nature of seventy-five miles for additional adding purposes if nothing else, and we think again that's a conservative estimate, returning back to Charlottesville, giving you 150 miles there, and then having a trip from Charlottesville to Washington and from Washington back to Charlottesville, we know that sounds a little complicated, but we think that the evidence will establish that is what happened as far as the operation of this motor vehicle, then we would have mileage there in excess of 600 miles. And if you allow for a little driving around Washington and Maryland and places of that nature, you've got your 669 miles or thereabouts.

we would also like to establish in that regard, and we will be presenting evidence from the tapes, that Elizabeth Roxanne Haysom and Jens Soering checked into the Washington Marriott Hotel on March the 29th. Our evidence will establish that the purpose of them going there was that they had previously planned the murder of Derrick Haysom and Nancy Haysom, that

25

2

3

4

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Miss Haysom was indeed an accessory before the fact in her planning, encouraging and entreating of Jens Soering to murder her parents, that thereafter she assisted and abetted by providing an alibi, by remaining in Charlottesville--excuse me, in Washington, attending movies, purchasing room service, on Saturday, March the 30th ordering two meals instead of one, Jens Soering was down here in Bedford at that time, and checking out the next day, this being Sunday, March the 30th.

The reservations for this stay in Washington were made in advance, they were made in the name of Miss Haysom, her address is listed there. The initial arrangements for payment for the hotel room were made with a credit card displaying the name of Claus Soering, this being Jens Soering's father, as I understand it some sort of German diplomat in Betroit. We'd like to introduce this as well.

We would also like to introduce certified copies of the wills of Mr. and Mrs. Haysom. They're filed downstairs and

Page 41

. .

۲ ا

these are certified copies. But at any rate Your Honor, I won't go into the details of those wills, we think that they speak for themselves, but a quick reading of them would be that Miss Haysom and the other members of the family of course would inherit from the death of the parents, there being—I don't know exactly how to categorize their exact wealth because I don't know that, but there was quite a bit of money there.

And the provisions here in both wills would be that if there were the simultaneous death, the standard there occurring as to the thirty days provision, that there would be a trust established, that Miss Haysom's education would be provided for. One will provides that upon the completion of the arrangements for her education and things of that nature, or her becoming twenty-seven years of age, the will of one parent is what I'm getting at, would provide—the principal at that point would be distributed, the will of the other parent providing that the income would continue to

each of the children, but the principal would be retained for distribution to the grandchildren.

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

But we would like to introduce this, these two documents as—and we also have the inventory which was filed downstairs, these again being certified copies.

Your Honor, our evidence would continue, and I very quickly would like to conclude this portion of our presentation and make arrangements to play some tapes for the Court, we have the transcript as I have indicated, as taken with Investigator Gardner, but to give something of an overview of the evidence that we will be presenting, Your Honor, we will be establishing that after the initial interviews in April of 1985, the sheriff's department continued their investigation and based upon the evidence found there at the scene, obtained blood samples, fingerprints, things of that nature from different individuals, attempts were being made to obtain anatomical footprints and blood samples from Jens Soering in October

Investigator Ried and Investigator Gardner that he would provide these samples to them, this call as I recall was made on a Wednesday, October the 9th, 1985, he'd indicated, and this is verified in the diaries that we'll be getting into in just a little while, that he would provide the samples on October the 16th and arrangements were being made to do this, this being from Wednesday to Wednesday.

However in the intervening weekend, he departed the Commonwealth of Virginia, got on a plane in Washington, D. C., his automobile was found there as I recall at the National Airport up there, departed the country as a matter of fact, leaving behind a very prestigious scholarship, actually two prestigious forms of status there at the University of Virginia where he was a student. He was an Echols Scholar, as was this defendant. I understand this to be a selection of the very highest members of an entering class, the most capable, it's very selective, very prestigious, affords very—

q

well different privileges at the University of Virginia as far as course selection, living arrangements, things of that nature would be concerned.

The defendant Elizabeth Haysom and Jens Soering had completed their first year at the University of Virginia at the conclusion of 1985. In the fall of 1985, at the time that I'm presently describing, they were entering and beginning their second year. Jens Soering did abandon that particular status as an Echols Scholar. That form of scholarship, however, did not carry any money with it. But in addition to that, he was also a Jefferson Scholar which carries with it a full four-year tuition.

We have subpoensed and interviewed

Mr. Vandersee, who would testify that he
had spoken with both of these
defendants, he was their dean of course,
had discussed with them their studies and
things of that nature, was definitely
surprised upon their departure, thought
that they would return, but testified that

when they left Charlottesville or when they departed the country made no arrangements at the University whatsoever as far as their scholarships were concerned, made no arrangements to withdraw from their classes or to make arrangements for incompletes.

As a result, at the end of that semester they received failing grades and have been suspended.

The evidence will show as we get into it later that Jens Soering left on that intervening Saturday between the two Wednesdays, this being October the 12th, 1985, and the diary which we will get to a little later will show that Miss Haysom left for Washington the next day, Sunday, October the 13th.

We have also subpoenaed and interviewed friends that they had at the University of Virginia. One would state that Miss Haysom had indicated that she was going to join Jens Soering in Washington, D. C. on that Sunday. All friends, the dean, everyone that we could find there at

the University of Virginia would state that upon their departure, they never saw them again, heard of them again or anything of that nature until their arrest in London.

Your Honor, if I could ask, because we would like to get into the playing of some of the tapes, if I could respectfully request just a short recess for the FBI to set up the recording equipment.

THE COURT:

It's a good time for a recess. It's approximately forty-five minutes from when I said. At this time we're going to take a recess of approximately ten minutes. If there is anyone who needs to leave the courtroom, they may do so because again, when we start back, no one will be allowed in or out.

(Whereupon a recess was taken.)

### STATE OF VIRGINIA

AT LARGE, to-wit:

I, Jacquelyn Keen, Notary Public in and for the State of Virginia at Large, do hereby certify that the testimony contained herein was and transcribed by me, and that the foregoing Pages 1 through 40 represent a true and accurate transcript of said proceedings to the best of my Stenographic ability.

My commission expires November 18, 1989. Witness my hand this 26th day of July, 1989.

Notary Public

Q.

#### VIRGINIA:

IN AND FOR THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF BEDFORM

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

V.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ELIZABETH ROXANNE HAYSOM

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Testimony in Support of Guilty Plea

August 24, 1987

### APPEARANCES:

THE HONORABLE WILLIAM W. SWEENEY, PRESIDING

For the Commonwealth: James W. Updike, Jr., Esq. Bedford County Courthouse

Bedford, VA 24523

For the Defendant:

R. Andrew Davis, Esq.
307 West Main Street

Bedford, VA 24523

Hugh J.M. Jones, III., Esq. 8800 Timberlake Road Lynchburg, VA 24502

Reported by: Jacquelyn Keen

ASSOCIATED REPORTING SERVICE
111 Euphan Avenue
Lynchburg, Virginia 24502
(804) 525-2345

## I N D E X

	PAGE
R. W. GARDNER Direct examination by Mr. Updike	3
TERRY WRIGHT Direct examination by Mr. Updike Cross examination by Mr. Jones	23 86
KENNETH BEAVER Direct examination by Mr. Updike	88

# $\underline{\mathbf{E}} \ \underline{\mathbf{X}} \ \underline{\mathbf{B}} \ \underline{\mathbf{I}} \ \underline{\mathbf{B}} \ \underline{\mathbf{I}} \ \underline{\mathbf{T}} \ \underline{\mathbf{S}}$

12			PAGE
12	COMMONWEALTH'S	3	
13			
	Exhibit 15	Transcript of 4-8-85 interview	4
14	Exhibit 16	4-8-85 cassette tapes	5
	Exhibit 17	Transcript of 4-16-85 interview	9
15	Exhibit 18	4-16-85 cassette tapes	9 15
	Exhibit 19	Letters	19
16	Exhibit 20	Letters	20
	Exhibit 21	Haysom custody record	24
17	Exhibit 22	Copies of ID cards	30
	Exhibit 23	Letter to Jens	35
18	Exhibit 24	Jens Soering diary	45
1	Exhibit 25	Copy of letter and envelope	50
19	Exhibit 26	8-3-85 letter	67
	Exhibit 27	Letter to Jens	7.8
20	Exhibit 28		
	Exhibit 29	Haysom custody record	89
21	Exhibit 30	Transcript of 6-6-86 interview	92
	Exhibit 31	Transcript of 6-8-86 interview	9.8
22	Exhibit 32	Drawing of knife	121
	Exhibit 33	Transcript of 6-9-86 interview	123
23	Exhibit 34	Interview of Elizabeth Haysom	126

AUGUST 24, 1987 PROCEEEDINGS 9:30 A.M.

THE COURT:

1

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

All right, the Commonwealth may

proceed.

RICKY W. GARDNER, was called as a witness and having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows:

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. UPDIKE:

Q State your name, please, sir.

A Ricky W. Gardner.

Q You're an investigator with the Bedford

County Sheriff's Department, is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

And you have participated in the investigation of this matter since the 3rd of April, 1985, is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

And during the course of your investigation, specifically on the 8th day of April, 1985, did you and another investigator have the occasion to interview the defendant, Elizabeth Roxanne Haysom?

A Yes, sir.

Q And that other investigator was who,

25 please?

2	Lynchburg City	Policy Department.
3	Q	And that interview was taped, is that also
4	true?	
5	A	Yes, sir, it was.
6	Q	And Bob McClure of the FBI over here has
7	that taped into	erview and we're prepared to play that and
8	that interview	has also been transcribed, is that correct
9	A	Yes, sir.
10	Q	Would this be a copy of the transcription
11	of that interv	iew?
12	A	Yes, sir.
13	Q	All right, I'm going to leave a copy with
14	you, but we wo	uld like to introduce a copy of that, Your
15	Honor.	
16 17		(TRANSCRIPT OF 4-8-85 INTERVIEW OF BLIZABETH HAYSOM INTRODUCED AS COMMONWEALTH EXHIBIT 15.)
18	Q	And Your Honor, if we could ask then that
19	at least the f	irst portion of this tape be played at this
20	time.	
21		THE COURT: All right, sir.
22		(Whereupon a portion of a tape was played.)
23		MR. UPDIKE: Your Honor, if I could
25		ask just a couple of questions at this
- 11		- 4

Investigator Debbie Kirkland with the

point, please sir.

BY MR. UPDIKE: (continuing)

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Investigator Gardner, as far as the remainder of the interview is concerned, and I think it goes on for what, maybe three hours or something of that nature?

A Yes, sir.

Q At that point you were going into a lot of background information and the tape continues along that vein, is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q And you have prepared copies of the original tape recordings?

A Yes, sir.

Q And would those be those copies?

A Yes, sir.

MR. UPDIKE: Your Honor, we'd like to introduce these. These would be the entire tapes then, copies of those?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. UPDIKE: If we could make those part of the record, please.

(TAPES OF 4-8-85 INTERVIEW OF ELIZABETH HAYSOM INTRODUCED AS COMMONWEALTH EXHIBIT 16.)

BY MR. UPDIKE: (continuing)

2 up on the first part where the defendant made reference to 3 Annie Massie, we have of course interviewed Ms. Massie, I think she's here at this time as well. 4 5 Yes, sir, she is. And has she indicated she's prepared to testify that on that Wednesday of April the 3rd, 1985, she 7 did in fact receive a message from her maid, this being 8 Ms. Massie, that Elizabeth Haysom was trying to get in 9 touch with her? 10 Yes, sir. 11 A And Ms. Massie returned the phone call to 12 Elizabeth Haysom there in Charlottesville at the 13 University of Virginia at that time. 14 Yes, sir. A 15 Would Ms. Massie also testify that 16 Elizabeth Haysom indicated that she'd not been able to get 17 in touch with her parents and that she needed some money 18 for this rental business? 19 Housing needs, yes, sir. A 20 Housing. And Ms. Massie also said that she 21 was prepared to lend the money to Ms. Haysom, the 22 defendant--23

Yes, sir, she said she loaned her the

Investigator Gardner, going back and picking

1-7

24

25

money.

```
And would Ms. Massie further testify that
    Q
    in response to this, she indicated to Ms. Massie that she
2
    wanted Ms. Massie to go out to the house to retrieve
3
    something else?
                    Yes, sir, she did say that.
    A
5
                    A transcript of something.
6
    Q
                    On her mother's desk.
    Α
7
                    So she was making these requests of
    0
8
    Ms. Massie on April the 3rd to go out to the house, the
9
    Haysom residence, and check things out.
10
    A
                    Yes, sir.
11
                    This interview was on April the 8th, 1985?
    0
12
                    Yes, sir, at Boonsboro Elementary School.
13
                    Did the defendant through any of this
    0
14
    interview show any remorse or emotion that you observed?
15
    A
                    No, sir.
16
                    Any crying, any breaking down, things of
17
    that nature?
18
                    No, sir.
19
                    Now we heard from -- at several points some
20
    laughing at different things, did that continue through the
21
    entire tape at different points?
22
    A
                    Yes, sir.
23
                    And this April the 8th would be the day
24
```

after the memorial service, and in fact just a little bit

over a week from the actual murders.

Yes, sir.

After you concluded this interview, you had the occasion to interview Elizabeth Haysom on a second occasion, is that correct?

A Yes, sir, I did.

Q When was that please, sir?

A On April the 16th, 1985.

Now from the time of this first interview to the time of that second interview, did you learn some information concerning a rental car in Charlottesville?

A Yes, sir.

Ω And tell us briefly about that, please.

Through our investigation we learned that there had been a car rented in Charlottesville by Elizabeth Haysom. At this point, after going to Charlottesville and talking to the people at the Pantops Texaco which is on 150 outside of Charlottesville, we found that in fact Elizabeth Raysom had rented a car on March the 29th, 1985, and the car had been returned on March the 31st, 1985.

And you retrieved, or those documents have been retrieved and they are the ones that we've already introduced pertaining to the rental of that car on that occasion.

1	A	Yes, sir.		
2	Q	And the mileage was displayed on the renta		
3	form at the	return		
4	A	Yes, sir.		
5	Q	as being what, 669 miles?		
6	A	I think the total mileage was 669 miles		
7	from the 29	th when it was rented to the 31st when it was		
8	returned.			
9	Q	And the point that I'm getting to,		
10	Investigato	Gardner, during this second interview on		
11	April the 16th, 1985, you discussed with Elizabeth Hayson			
12	this discrepancy in the mileage as far as a trip to			
13	Washington from Charlottesville andround trip between			
14	Charlottesv	Charlottesville and Washington.		
15	A	Yes, sir.		
16	Q	And that interview has been transcribed as		
17	well, would that also be correct?			
18	A	Yes, sir.		
19	Q	And would these be a couple copies of the		
20	transcription	ons of that interview?		
21	A	Yes, sir, it is.		
22	Q	If you would keep one, please, and if I		
23	might intro	duce one.		

24

25

(TRANSCRIPT OF 4-16-85 INTERVIEW OF BLIZABETH HAYSOM INTRODUCED AS

COMMONWEALTH EXHIBIT 17.)

And Special Agent McClure, I think--Q do you have that second tape? No, I still have that. A You have that? 0 That's in my box. No, sir, that's in another package that I failed to bring into the courtroom 6 Where would that be? Q It's in your office. A 8 In that large box? Q Yes, sir. It's in a green envelope. A 10 THE COURT: Would you like a short 11 recess? All right, we'll take a five-12 minute recess at this point. 13 (Whereupon a recess was taken.) 14 THE COURT: I just wanted to ask a 15 question. In here you're going into a 16 relationship with other people. If it's 17 necessary, if you have a point to make in 18 support of the plea, of course we'll do it 19 if not, I see no reason to go into all of 20 this. It's up to you, sir. 21 MR. UPDIKE: All right, let me make a 22 point if I might. 23 (continuing) BY MR. UPDIKE: 24

Q

25

Investigator Gardner, when Ms. Haysom was

brought back to this country in May of this year, did you discuss with her this particular conversation concerning 2 Fontaine? 3 Yes, sir, I did. And what did she say about her indications to you concerning Fontaine during this interview? During this particular interview, she stated to me in May of '87 that -- I reminded her of what she told me in this interview, that maybe she was sort of making it appear that Fontaine could possibly have 10 murdered her parents, and she said that yes, that she was 11 just trying to save her skin. 12 Save her skin? 13 Yes, sir. 14 By making it look in April of 185 like Q 15 Fontaine had done it, is that correct? 16 Yes, sir. A 17 And she and Fontaine were supposedly 18 friends, weren't they? Yes, sir. A 20 And when you talked to Elizabeth Haysom 21 about Fontaine initially on April the 6th, 1985, that being 22 the first interview we just heard--23

-- you discussed Fontaine with her that

Yes, sir.

A

0

25

time, didn't you? Yes, sir, that's April the 4th. 3 April the 4th then, okay. Yes, sir. The first interview we talked to Elizabeth about Fontaine. Okay. But between that first interview and the second interview, was there a change in her indications and statements concerning Fontaine? A Yes, sir. What kind of change? 10 11 Well in her first statement on April the 8th, '85, she was very defensive of Fontaine. 12 The first one? O 13 The first one. She couldn't -- she said she 14 didn't feel like Fontaine could have done something like 15 that. How about this interview that we're 17 listening to now? 18 Well she goes on to say later in the 19 statement that it's possible Fontaine might have done it. So she changed between those two 21 interviews. 22 Yes, sir, she did. 23 Maybe I can ask you, you all were asking 24

about some other interviews -- or excuse me, other

individuals as well. Were there some indications on her part that there might possibly be some involvement on their part, specifically I'd be referring to you 3 questioned her concerning Ms. Nancy Haysom's first husband, is that right? 5 Yes, sir, Patrick Dare. 6 Yes, uh-huh. And this is during the same 7 interview. 8 Yes, sir. 9 And does she start telling you some unusual 10 things concerning him, some bizarre things? 11 Ά Yes, sir, she does. 12 Did you know about those before she started 13 telling you about them? 14 A No, sir, I did not. 15 Q Does she tell you in this interview about 16 her parents having a safe in the house? 17 18 Yes, sir, she did. Did you all look through the house and find 19 any safe? 20 A 21 No, sir, we didn't. Q Did she tell you that her mother usually 22 kept five or \$10,000 cash, money, back in the bedroom?

Yes, sir.

23

24

25

Ά

Q

Did you find any money back there in the

bedroom? A 2 No, sir, I did not. 3 At that particular time, were you trying to determine whether or not robbery was a motive for this murder? 5 Yes, we were. And she said there was money back there. 7 A She did say that. 8 Concerning the money, you asked her who knew where it was. Did she volunteer that Annie Massie 10 knew where it was? I can show you the place in the 11 interview if you would, it would be Page Eighteen. 12 Yes, sir. 13 Annie Massie, as she indicated in these 14 interviews, was a friend of her as well, is that right? 15 A Yes, sir. 16 And we have copies of this tape recording, 17 would that also be correct? 18 Yes, sir. 19 We have a whole package here; I expect you 20 could find them for me more quickly than I could. Here 21 you go, sir. 22 MR. UPDIKE: Your Honor, we'd like to 23

introduce copies of the original tape.

THE COURT: All right.

24

## (TAPES OF 4-16-86 INTERVIEW OF ELIZABETH HAYSOM INTRODUCED AS COMMONWEALTH EXHIBIT 18.)

MR. UPDIKE: And Your Honor with that we would not proceed further with this particular tape.

THE COURT: All right.

## BY MR. UPDIKE: (continuing)

And at the conclusion of that interview,

Investigator Gardner, through the summer months and
approaching the period of October 1985, the investigation
at that point was primarily being conducted by you and who
else?

A Myself and Investigator Reid.

Q Were you all trying to get some evidence of some sort from Jens Soering, some blood samples, anatomicals, things of that nature?

A Yes, sir.

And as we approached October of '85, if you could tell us a little bit about what you did, his response, what happened, please.

okay, we tried to contact Jens after speaking with Elizabeth, but right after we spoke to her in April it seemed I believe that it was summer, and they were away that summer and we was having a hard time trying to track him down.

But anyway, we finally contacted him and requested that he sit down and talk to us. And on October the 6th, 1985, Jens Soering came to the Bedford County Sheriff's Department and Investigator Reid and myself spoke to Jens Soering on that date.

We talked in general, but from that conversation he informed the two of us that he would be back in contact with us to give us an answer as to our request for his physical evidence, his fingerprints, his blood, his anatomical foot impressions. That day he said he wasn't going to submit them but he would think about it and he would call back on Wednesday.

On Wednesday, the 9th of October, 1985, I received a phone call from Jens Soering. And I spoke with Jens over the telephone and he said that he had decided to submit to any tests that we wanted him to take and submit any physical evidence that we wanted from him, but due to mid-term exams and studying that week, that he would have to make an appointment with us for October the 16th, which is one week later, which would have been the following Wednesday.

So I told him, I said well we'll meet you at the University of Virginia Police Department at 9:00 next Wednesday morning. And I said if anything changes, you know, let us know, just save us a trip to come up

there, you know, whatever. And he said that he would, so at the conclusion of that telephone call, Investigator Reid and myself were planning to meet him on the 16th of October.

Q Okay, what happened please?

Q

On October the 14th we received a telephone call from Dr. Howard Haysom, who is Elizabeth Haysom's half brother, who was in Lynchburg. He said that he was supposed to have dinner with Jens and Elizabeth on the 15th, the 15th of October. He called on the 14th which is a Monday.

And he said that he was to have dinner with Elizabeth and Jens in Charlottesville on the--let me make sure--the 15th, the night of the 15th, and he had gone to Charlottesville and spoken to Christine Kemp, who was Elizabeth Haysom's roommate, and Christine informed Dr. Haysom that Jens and Elizabeth had left Charlottesville.

And he was quite upset, so at that time I was, too. So we, Investigator Reid and myself that night went to Charlottesville and spoke with Christine Kemp and received—she said that she had given us—Elizabeth had left letters—Jens had left letters and Elizabeth had left a letter and wanted her to give us, the proper authorities or whomever, the letters that were addressed to those

people. And who is Christine Kemp again now? 2 She was -- well her and Elizabeth were, I 3 believe they were referred to as suite mates or roommates they were sharing the same house. 5 But at any rate, when you all got up to Charlottesville on that night, October the 14th, you could not locate or find either Elizabeth Haysom or Jens Soering, is that correct? Correct. 10 And this Christine Kemp who was the 11 roommate let's say of the defendant, gave you letters from 12 Elizabeth Haysom and Jens Soering, would that be correct? 13 Yes, sir. A And I think the one written by Mr. Soering 15 is specifically addressed to you all, isn't it--16 A Yes, sir. 17 -- to Officers Reid and Gardner? Would that 18 be a copy of that letter? 19 This is a copy of the envelope and this is 20 a copy of the letter that he left Investigator Reid and 21 myself. 22 Q And this letter from Elizabeth starting 23 with--24 A Dearest Donald. 25

1	Q	Okay. If we could introduce those, please
2		(LETTERS TO OFFICERS REID AND GARDNER INTRODUCED AS COMMONWEALTH EXHIBIT 19.)
4	Q	Now at that particular time, is it true
5	that both Jens	Soering and Elizabeth Haysom were Echol's
6	Scholars there	at the University of Virginia?
7	А	Yes, sir.
8	Q	And I've described a little bit concerning
9	that earlier, a	and Jens Soering was a Jefferson Scholar, a
10	full four-year	scholarship.
וו	A	Yes, sir, he was. He was both a Jefferson
12	Scholar and an	Echol's Scholar. She was an Echol's Schola
13	Q	She was just the Echol's Scholar.
14	A	(Witness nods in the affirmative.)
15	Q	Well from that point on, from October of
16	185, I assume	you continued looking for Jens Soering and
17	Elizabeth.	
18	Α	Yes, sir, I did. Assuming they were in
19	Europe, we did	through other agencies locate the Sirocco
20	at National Airport in Washington, D.C. about three or	
21	four days later	r.
22	Q	Did you have any contact or receive any
23	messages of an	y type from either ane of them, either of th
24	defendants from	n October on into 1986?

No, sir, we didn't.

25

When was it that you finally learned of their whereabouts, approximately, would it have been in May of '86?

A It was the end of May of 1986.

And what was that communication and from whom, please?

Well personally I received a phone call, it was on a Thursday, from a constable, Detective Terry Wright, and he stated that—well he told me who he was and he stated that he was with the Richmond, England Police Department. And he asked me was I interested in one Elizabeth Haysom and Jens Soering and I said yes, sir, I am.

And--let's see, make sure I get it straight--he asked me if--he said well before you ask me anything--or we were talking and he said before I ask you anything, he said are her parents dead and I said yes, sir, they are.

He said one other question. Were they murdered? And I said yes, sir.

Q And he informed you at that time that both Jens Soering and Elizabeth Haysom were under arrest in England.

A In England. Yes, he said that they were incarcerated over there.

Q And at that point you went to England to continue the investigation.

Yes, sir.

MR. UPDIKE: Your Honor, at this point we have no further questions of Investigator Gardner, but we'd like to call him back a little later to keep things in continuity. And we'd wonder if they'd want to ask him some questions now or wait.

THE COURT: Gentlemen, do you have any questions?

MR. DAVIS: Judge, we'd just wait until the final appearance of Mr. Gardner.

THE COURT: All right. You wanted to present these but not read them into evidence at this point?

MR. UPDIKE: We would not read them into evidence, but we would like to introduce them at this point.

THE COURT: All right, they are introduced.

(LETTERS FROM ELIZABETH HAYSOM INTRODUCED AS COMMONWEALTH EXHIBIT 20.)

MR. UPDIKE: Your Honor, our next witness would be Detective Constable Terry

Wright from the Metropolitan Police

Department: we would be spending a little

time with him, would you like to call him

now or break for lunch?

THE COURT: Well we could do either.

I would not like to run past 1:00 which is approximately one-half hour. I'll follow suggestions of counsel as to whether we should stop now and come back at 1:30 or try to go until 1:00.

MR. UPDIKE: Your Honor, we're prepared to do either one, but I think that Detective Wright could take a little longer than that and I would like, if permitted, not to break his testimony.

THE COURT: Well in that case I think
I would prefer to go ahead and take our
lunch break now at 12:30 and come back at
1:30. Let's recess for one hour.

(Whereupon a luncheon recess was
 taken.)
 THE COURT: The Commonwealth may call
its next witness.

TERRY WRIGHT, was called as a witness and having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. UPDIKE:

please?

Q State your name, please, sir.

A I'm Terry Wright, detective constable, Metropolitan Police, England.

And Detective Constable Wright,

Investigator Gardner has testified just a few moments ago about a phone call and some contacts that he received in May of 1986 from you all concerning Elizabeth Haysom and Jens Soering. What I'd like to ask you about, though, if you would just begin with relating to us how it was that Jens Soering and Elizabeth Haysom came into custody there in England, what were the circumstances of their arrest,

Yes, sir. They were shopping while in London in a store called Moss and Spencers, which is a store in Richmond. I believe the date was the 30th of April, 1986.

All right, sir. And if I could stop you there, I should have done this and I apologize. I don't know whether you would need to make reference to this during your testimony, but in the event that you should,

if I could provide you with this.

First of all, if I could show you an original document and just ask you to identify that, please.

Yes, sir. In our country whenever anybody is arrested and taken to the police station a record is kept of the time they arrived, the reason for their arrest and the details, any movements of the prisoner when they're taken out for interviews, if there's food given and details of that sort, property that was in their possession.

And so that would be the actual original record that you're talking about and that you have here, is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q And this would be a Xerox copy of that same original record.

A Yes, sir, it is.

And if we could introduce the copy, please

(CUSTODY RECORD OF ELIZABETH HAYSOM INTRODUCED AS COMMONWEALTH EXHIBIT 21.)

Q The original of course will have to be taken back to England with you for your files there, is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

And again, I just hand you that in the event that you should need to review to it. And sorry to have interrupted, but continuing, you think it was April the 30th and what were the circumstances, please.

Yes, sir, it was April the 30th and Elizabeth Haysom was in company with Jens Soering. They were sitting in Moss and Spencers when a store detective, a female store detective that worked there, she initially kept observation on Jens Soering. She thought that—she was suspicious of him and she followed him around the store. He was buying articles of clothing which in actual fact were lady's clothing.

She followed him for some time and he--what we call, he did a refund. He took goods back to the refund desk, goods that had been bought in Moss and Spencers on a different day, produced a receipt, got a cash refund for those clothes. He then went to another cash desk were he purchased some more articles using a check book.

For some reason she was suspicious of him.

kept an eye on him. While she was following him around the store, she also noticed Elizabeth Haysom and was suspicious of her, too. She thought they were together, although they didn't acknowledge each other.

She followed -- she had to make a decision

and decided to keep an eye on Jens Soering and followed him outside the store. And in George Street outside they--Elizabeth Haysom and Jens Soering met together, they went into another store and then left the town of Richmond on a chew train, I believe they're called a subway; she still followed them.

And on route she saw an off-duty policeman that she recognized and asked him to assist her and he also followed.

Q And what happened then, please?

Yes, sir. The first stop along the line, there's a place called Q. When they stopped at Q Station the policeman approached Elizabeth Haysom and Jens Soering, told them that he was suspicious and thought they'd been involved in a check fraud. He asked them to leave the train, which they did.

On the platform, the railway platform, I understand that Elizabeth Haysom also produced check books in the name of Tara Lucy Noe and Christopher Platt Noe.

Q So they were posing at that point as Mr. and Mrs. Noe then. And please continue.

He was not happy, although they had identification cards in those names, I believe it's a Canadian citizenship card and possibly a driver's license. Canadian. He decided that their actions were suspicious.

The story told by the store detective was related to them and he wasn't satisfied with their explanation and they were both arrested and taken to Richmond Police Station.

And once they were taken to the Richmond Police Station, if you wouldn't mind, just summarize briefly what happened from that point on.

Yes, sir. On arrival at Richmond Police Station, they gave the names of Tara Lucy Noe and Christopher Platt Noe, and that is the name that this record of interview was initially made out in.

Inquiries were made relating to the--I believe it was a Wednesday, the 30th, and the banks were still open and inquiries were being made to find out whether or not those check books were stolen.

During the afternoon, myself and

Detective—now Detective Inspector Beaver but at that time

Detective Sergeant Beaver, interviewed briefly Jens Soering

and later Elizabeth Haysom, although at that time we still

believed they were Mr. and Mrs. Noe. As a result of

this, these interviews in which they strongly denied that

they were involved in any fraud, it came to light that

they were staying in London temporarily on a shopping

spree, and we left the police station with Jens Soering to

search at the address where they were staying.

And I believe Jens Soering executed a consent for that search, would that be true?

Yes, sir. He was asked whether he

Yes, sir. He was asked whether he consented. In fact if he hadn't consented, he wouldn't have told us where the address was and we'd have had no way of knowing where it was. But he also endorsed the custody record, his custody record, not this particular one, with his authority for us to search that address.

So if you would, then, just continue. You went to this address; where was it and what happened?

A Yes, sir. It was at a placed called At Home, which was a temporary accommodation and bed sit as we call them, a small apartment. It was in Gloucester Place

which is in the center of London, or very near it.

And on arrival there--Elizabeth Haysom stayed at the police station and we went with Jens Soering alone. Inside the accommodation we found various documents, diaries, other bits of correspondence which related to Elizabeth Haysom and Jens Soering, and that's where we actually identified their true names.

There were also correspondence there related to maybe ten other people, and each of these pieces of correspondence, although they had false names on them, they did bear photographs of Elizabeth Haysom and Jens Soering.

And if I could stop you there, please, Detective Constable Wright. Would these be Xerox copies of the forms of identification that you recovered during this 3 investigation pertaining to the defendant, Elizabeth Haysom? Yes, sir. 6 And if you would--these forms of identification have the picture of Elizabeth Haysom on them, would that be correct? A Yes, sir, although they are in various different guises. If you wouldn't mind just flipping through there and giving us some of the names that might be on these different items of identification. Yes, this first page actually bears the 15 name of Elizabeth Roxanne Haysom and it's signed in that name. There's a resident alien certificate, what looks like a Canadian citizenship card, a University of Virginia class card of some sort and a Commonwealth of Virginia operator's, I believe a driving license. Okay, sir, those having the name of Elizabeth Haysom on them. Yes, sir. A And the other items of identification

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Please.

Again, various Canadian citizenship cards, 1 A 2 this one bears the name of Catherine Lynn Peake. Catherine Lynn Peake. 3 Yes, sir. It bear that name and a 4 photograph of Elizabeth Haysom. Again, driver's license 5 and citizenship cards in the name of Caroline J. Ferrell, 6 7 Catherine L. Peake, Melissa A. Taylor and Christina M. Clarke, all bearing photographs of Elizabeth Haysom. 8 Sarah E. McKensie, Julia Alexandra Holte, 9 Tara Lucy Noe, which is the identification that she 10 initially gave and those are the ones there, sir. 11 And we would like to introduce this, 12 please. 13 (COPIES OF IDENTIFICATION CARDS 14 INTRODUCED AS COMMONWEALTH EXHIBIT 22.) 15 And the original letters of correspondence the 16 you have described that you recovered, many of those have 17 been brought to this country to be used during this 18 particular proceeding, would that also be correct? 19 That is correct, yes, sir. 20 Now was she later confronted with 21 information that you then had concerning her true identity by other officers? 23 Yes, sir. We returned at around about A 24

10:25; that's not recorded on this particular record, but

it will be on the record of Jens Soering and-
Would it be helpful if I handed you that
one as well?

No, sir, I believe it was around 10:25 that evening, with various pieces of property and-from Moss and Spencers in the form of clothing and a lot of correspondence, including passports, diaries, letters, mainly kept in a large suitcase.

I'm sorry, sir, in answer to your question, I believe she was allowed to speak briefly with Soering, and in fact she then volunteered or agreed that her name was Elizabeth Roxanne Haysom. And the following day when some of the property was sorted out she did in fact sign her custody record in that name.

Q Would you be in a position to summarize basically what she had to say in the interview which was conducted at that time by your department?

Yes, sir, she was asked--she initially maintained that they were not committing any fraud. She was asked about her actions, why they were shopping in a shop together but apparently apart, doing refunds and returning stuff from one store to another and paying by check for the goods, and she maintained that that was just the way that they preferred to shop.

The following morning after we had

discovered a true identity, she was again interviewed and admitted that she was part of a fraud with Jens Soering whereby they would open bank accounts in various names, which in itself is no offense in our country, but what they would do, as soon as they got ahold of check book and cards they would then spend the whole check book and turn it into cash by doing refunds at Moss and Spencers.

Q

Once you learned that and they were in custody on those charges, could you tell us a little

custody on those charges, could you tell us a little something about what it was that eventually led you to contact Bedford County?

Yes, sir. Even on that first evening when we arrived at the place called At Home, on the bed was a hat, a wig and a diary. And in the diary were various details and a time table of how they could get from one store across London to the next store and a code, all working out how the most economical way of committing a fraud.

And certain details that were in that diary related to our fraud inquiry, but also there was a reference to Bedford, Virginia; well I assume Bedford, Virginia, a reference to Bedford and certain other references to C'ville and references which stated that fingerprints were wiped clean from cars and hotel rooms, and that made me suspicious.

I'll show you the diary in just a few minutes to have you read from it, but based upon the suspicions then that you developed because of these items of correspondence, did that lead you to make the inquiries that eventually led to the contact with Investigator Gardner?

Yes, sir. And that night I took possession of the diary, and Sergeant Beaver, now Inspector Beaver took possession of a suitcase which was full of correspondence. Over the next few days I sat and read every item of correspondence in the suitcase and discovered quite a number of letters with various references in it I was certainly suspicious of, and I continued to inquire all the time that they were in custody awaiting trial for the fraud charges.

Q What type of inquiries did you have to make?

I initially—well between us, we initially sent a Telex message through Interpol asking various member countries of Interpol whether they were suspected of any offenses or wanted for any offenses.

And later, some weeks later, I actually went to see the legal attache at the American Embassy to find out whether he could contact an Officer Reid or an Officer Gardner, which one of the letters referred to.

Now when you spoke to Investigator Gardner, as I understand it from his testimony you did ask him during that telephone conversation two questions about Elizabeth Haysom, whether her parents were dead and whether they had been murdered, is that correct?

Yes, sir.

What led you to ask those questions?

Having read the various letters numerous

times, I formed the opinion that a murder had taken place but I didn't know where and I didn't know when. And I eventually received a teleprinted message or a Telex message saying that they were suspected in the murder, and my first questions to Officer Gardner were was there a murder—or were her parents dead and were they murdered.

Now if we could actually get into some of these items of correspondence that you're talking about. And Detective Constable Wright, maybe if you could slip just a little closer to the microphone; you've come a long way, we all want to make sure that we hear you.

Let me show you first of all a letter and ask you whether or not that's one of the letters that you all seized on April the 30th, 1986, from the flat that you have described.

A Yes, sir, this is one of the letters seized by Sergeant Beaver which was picked out from the rest of

the correspondence along with other letters over the next few days. 3 And the letters were addressed to whom, 4 please? It starts off Jens. 6 And the signature at the end would be what? 7 A It just says Elizabeth. 8 And during an interview that occurred once 9 Investigator Gardner got over there and you all were interviewing the defendant, did the defendant Elizabeth 10 Roxanne Haysom admit and confirm that she actually wrote 11 that letter to Jens Soering? 12 A Yes. 13 And would this be a copy of the letter that 0 14 you have in your hand? 15 A Yes, sir. 16 We would like to introduce the copy if we 17 might. 18 (LETTER TO JENS SOERING INTRODUCED AS 19 COMMONWEALTH EXHIBIT 23.) 20 The letter is not dated, is it? 21 22 No, sir, it just bears the opening Jens and the signature Elizabeth at the end. 23

24

25

If we could introduce this, and I might

like to ask you in a few minutes, once we get to another

letter of reference that might help us put that into perspective.

And at this time, if you would Detective Constable Wright, you've read the letters a number of times, would you mind reading that letter to us, please.

A Yes, sir, I'll just spend a moment making sure it's in the right order.

Q Please, if you would.

Yes, sir. It begins "Jens, I am writing this down because I'm a writer, not a thinker or a speaker. Writing is the only way I can communicate with any depth; also, I need to talk to you." Excuse me, "Also, I need to talk to you now and you must not be disturbed, so with all of that please forgive the elementary school notion of passing notes.

"First of all I love you, I love you selfishly and I love you with pain. I can envisage writing long lists of how desperately I love you, but I have written too much already. Fatigue and weariness of affection will drive you to indifference and boredom." In brackets it says--excuse me, sir.

It says, "You just" and then there's a word
I'm not quite sure of, "how hard it is not to cling to
you. Our talk this evening struck me to the whites of
my toes. I was shaking so much I could hardly speak. I am

glad you realize that I have never physically touched a man the way I touch you, but how can I make you understand that, that no woman could ever take your place.

"Two months is a long time. It is a longer time when you feel a wheezing pain of loneliness. It is a time long enough to discover one's own mind. During this period I tested every aspect of my devotion. I even tempted my devotion. I pulled and twisted it into a multiple amoeba of contortion. I studied as the Jesuits do and still it held fast.

"The night I went to the gay bar I was picked up. She was very attractive, amusing, competent and very seductive. For a moment I thought I was rid of my pains. But as lovely as she was, sitting there staring at me with enormous blue eyes, tempting, teasing"--I'm not sure of the next word, sir--"she didn't spark any desire.

"The only thought which passed was a trickling little bit of giggle that I could still attract the most beautiful girl in the room. A vanity, a small conceit, which left a blinding"--

Q Is that void?

Λ

A Yes, sir. "--which left a blinding void.

"Yes, I would have enjoyed dancing with her, feeling the sensuous delight and dangerous thrill of a female companion, but I didn't want her companionship, I

wanted == excuse me--"I wanted her for show. Melissa was for show. There is a special deliciousness in possessing one of the most desired persons in the community. For them to want you and for you not to feel anything. It is an intricate and exotic game. It is a forbidden game. These things made it appealing to me in the past.

"The difference now is that I want to feel I want to enjoy, the tortuous, wrenching ties which batter me. It has very little to do with genitals. Girls attract me because they are forbidden. The uncertainty, the isolation, it all fascinates me. I enjoy defying the values"--I think it says "I'm instilled with. If men have physically tormented me, girls have too, because one is so vulnerable in a lesbian affair. I'm rambling, excuse me.

"Joe Bicep is sleeping in my bed. I could have fallen in love with the girl, I didn't, I fell in love with you.

"When I am with a woman I long for a man, when I am with a man I long for the forbidden thrill of a woman. When I am with you I feel only longing for all of you and to possess the sweet and equally forbidden fruit of love.

"Love has always been forbidden to me. No for a daisy's whisper, I have cared for someone, they passed through my life if I enjoyed them, and when they

bored me I abandoned them.

1)

"Yes, I have been very cruel. I revelled in being a stone. No one affected my life very much. I hated my love for you for a long time, I hated myself for discovering vulnerability. But as the weeks passed, I began to understand.

"I'd always believed that I made men fall in love with me so that I could screw them physically and emotionally and take out all the hatred I felt for them by humiliating them. I despised their cheap bursts and easy passions and in the end I made them hate themselves for loving me and the torture I inflicted.

"I would make a man humiliate himself to attain me, then I would give him the best that he'd ever had or that he's ever likely to get and then walk out.

"Then I searched and discovered that the disposed relief and the comfort that I found in women was exactly the same. I treated them the same way except I was kinder. It had nothing to do with genitals, it was me.

"For ten years I have been despising myself and you changed that. You cared with outbursts, you made me feel like a young girl again who had the sun in her eyes and not a moon between her legs. You were always caring and just caring.

"I have known"—and then in brackets—"it sounds like grandpa, many people, and simple, straightforward caring is a rare thing. One other person in my life has cared. She always picked up the pieces, but I never loved her, that is why I love you." In brackets it says, "Not only because you care, but against all odds, being a male, you care. And that is why I continued in almost peace, a sort of turbulent peace, to love you for two months, and why I wanted to go on forever." And then in brackets, "At this stage I feel that I can afford to be naive and optimistic. My mind is full of grandiose expressions which I'll spare you. This has all been quite enough.

"Looking over it, it is as feebly expressed as anything I've ever said and even more confused. So it shall suffice to say that I love you and it may alter in intensity and direction from time to time, but I will always look to you for the part of me which no one else will be able to snatch." And that's signed, Elizabeth.

There is more, sir. It may be that it's out of order. Would you like me to read it?

Please, if you would.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, if it makes any difference as far as relevancy goes, we

Page 40

don't object to it if the Court just wants to see the letter and read it, if that would help things with that particular letter.

THE COURT: I would be glad to do that.

MR. UPDIKE: We would like the letter read, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Proceed.

BY MR. UPDIKE: (continuing)

Q Please continue if you would.

Yes, sir. "Well I'm sitting"--excuse me.

I think it says, "Well I'm sitting in the suite and it's extraordinarily dull at this hour. It's dull at any hour but this hour makes it look more shabby and gray. Shall I write you a story?" And then there is like a title which is underlined, it says, "My Wife Is an Android and Her Program is on Dim. part One.

"When I woke up this morning, the ceiling was inside out. Its dimples were pimples until I realized I was staring at the mirror. My toothpaste cracked on my brush as it always does twice a day and then I went for a walk.

"It's the same walk I take every morning.

I sneak out of the bathroom, through a maze of Persian

carpet until I reach the stairs. I thought of climbing down Everest since Hillary fell, removing the banister of precedence,"--I'm not sure of the next word, sir, I think it's my sensuous--"my sensuous something "fog of coffee cleans my lungs and I am given strength to reach the kitchen.

"There I discover that the parmesan is hiding the tobacco. I wrestle with the worcestershire and in a-I can't tell the next two words-I tip it down the sink.

"The kettle hisses and spits at me in disgust. My grapefruit bites my lip, jealously reminding me of my lover's whip. The coffee, sweet, dear coffee, old comrade in arms, soothes my temper and invites a stick of no exit to join us. We have breakfast repartee, listly gurgles about my fish and only murder."

Part Two. "There used to be this guy who always bugged me. And I mean bugged me big time. He was always whispering in my ear, you know, really disturbing things that he whispered. And when he chose to disturb me with his mutterings and rumblings, a person could go crazy with the whisperings of Eden."

Part Three. "I got this great feeling once, you know, like how I could get rid of this guy. One night when he started in, I told that guy start in,

write a book. And being no fool, I enticed him to get in its soft covers. That guy could mutter and carry on but he had no brains, so I closed the book.

"Now he's trapped there where he's been

more like suicide than murder really. Anyway, his corpse is true. Want to see it?" And that's where it finishes.

Q And at the top there, one part in the first paragraph stated, gurgles about my first and only murder, is that correct? We had breakfast with repartee? What is it, uttering gurgles?

A Which part, sir?

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q The top of the page that you just read there.

A Yes. We had breakfast repartee, listly gurgles about my fish and only murder.

Q Could that--

A Fist, might be fist.

Or could that be first, can you tell?

A First, yes, with gurgles about my first and only murder.

Q Thank you.

(Whereupon a recess was taken.)

BY MR. UPDIKE: (continuing)

Q Now Detective Constable Wright, I show you

another document, it looks like it's nearly forty pages 1 2 long, the majority of it being type written. Would this 3 be a diary written by Jens Soering to Elizabeth Haysom? 4 Yes, sir, this is one of the pieces of 5 correspondence that we took from the flat in London. 6 And the date on that begins with what, 7 please? 8 A December 31st, zero nineteen a.m. 9 Okay. If I could see it --10 There's also a date there just below that 11 saying 1984. 12 I see. And it begins with the greeting 13 What, Dear Liz? 14 Dear Liz. Would you like me to read it, 15 sir? 16 0 No, that one is rather long. If I could 17 just find--excuse me, find a copy of it here very quickly 18 This would be a copy of the same document that you have 19 there, wouldn't it? 20 Yes, sir. 21 MR. OPDIKE: All right, if we could 22 introduce this, please. Now Your Honor, to

23

24

25

Page 44

speed things along a little bit, there are

a couple of references from that document

that we would like to make, very brief

ones, and I think perhaps I could refer to them faster than I could direct Detective Constable Wright's attention to them.

## SOERING DIARY INTRODUCED AS COMMONWEALTH EXHIBIT 24.)

If I could read them quickly; I won't be able to read them as well as he would, but for example on Page Five, Your Honor, halfway down the page, there is a reference in this diary, this being under the date of December 31st which would be the--yes, December 27th, 31st.

But Your Honor, there is a reference to Elizabeth Haysom and the statement caring without lust. But Your Honor, we'd just point out as being the very same phrase which was used on I think the third page of the letter that Detective Constable Wright has just read to us.

And in addition to that—and the purpose of that, Your Honor, being that it appears to us to be a response to the letter that has just been read, and if this is dated December 31st, it would be our position that that letter was written

before this was.

MR. UPDIKE: Proceeding to Page Six, at the bottom of the page there is just a sentence written by Jens Soering, again under this same date, "You know that certain 'instrument' for a certain 'operation' on somebody's relatives."

Again this is addressed to her.

There are other references of that nature we'd like to refer to on Page Eight, second complete paragraph, the first sentence, Jens Soering still writes under the same date, "By the way, were I to meet your parents, I have the ultimate weapon."

Page Ten of the diary, under the same date, Jens Soering writes, "If I repeat this more we'll get out of SRAPON," which I will explain in a moment. "Love is a form of meditation and the ultimate weapon against your parents. My God, how I've got the dinner scene planned out. Unfortunately (for you) result dad might leave me all his loot. Don't worry, everything I have, am or could become is already yours, I mean that." Again Your Honor, reference to

weapon.

Page Eleven, the first complete paragraph at the top of the page still being the same date, "For what I do see inside me is just that, Liz, that that which there can be no greater than, as Anselm said, I see it in me and in others and in these 'color experiences' I keep having. But I don't see it clearly and I want to.

"This that carries with it some powers. Depending on his mental and emotional flexibility, your father, for example, could quite well die from a confrontation with it. If he is too entrenched in hate and/or SRAPON (same thing in many cases), or he could do something silly like trying to give me all his dough. I'm not overestimating I think."

The SRAPON, Your Honor, is a word that he uses to describe a phrase he develops earlier in the letter referring to self-reflexive analysis and perpetration of neurosis, something he refers to a number of times during the letter.

Page 47

Page Twelve, first complete paragraph.

Jens Soering writes, "I don't know whether I can resist this. I can see myself depriving people of their property quite easily, your dad for instance." And Your Honor, those would be the references we'd like to make at this point, but we'd like to come back to that in just a moment.

## BY MR. UPDIKE: (continuing)

In addition to that item of correspondence, if I could show you another one, please, and ask you to identify that for us, and if we could just have this over to the side here, please.

A Yes, sir. Again, this is an envelope that was taken from the address in Gloucester Place and contains a letter.

The envelope is addressed to whom, please?

A Jens Soering, 1001 Buckingham Road, Cross

Point.

And the date of the postmark would be what?

A It's a USA postmark and it's dated the 28th of December, 1984.

Q And it begins under what date and what reading, please?

A 20-12-84, My Dearest Jens.

Q Would this be a Xerox copy of the envelope and the letter itself? 2 3 Yes, sir. Yes, it is. And we would like to introduce this, please. 5 At the top of the page there is the figures 20-12-84 and it starts, "My Dearest Jens". But would that be the European fashion of 8 9 referring to December 20, 1984? Yes, sir, in Europe we put the day of the 10 11 month first, for the 20th, I'm sure it would be the 20th day of the month. 12 And at the end is it signed Elizabeth? 13 Yes, sir. A And during the interview on June the 6th, 15 1986 there at the police department in Richmond, during 16 which time you, Detective Inspector Beaver and 17 Investigator Gardner were present, did Elizabeth Haysom 18 admit that she wrote this letter as well to Jens Soering? 19 Yes, sir, she did. 20 A So that particular date of December 20th, 21 1984, this date would indicate that this was being written 22 by Elizabeth Haysom at the same time that Jens Soering was 23 writing this correspondence to her, would that be correct 24

we're talking about the same time period.

25

A Yes, sir. 2 And would that be consistent with the two 3 of them being on Christmas break from school and they're Writing a diary form letter to one another? Yes, I believe so. 5 Would this be a Xerox copy of the envelope 6 7 and the letter itself? Yes, sir. Yes, it is. 8 And we would like to introduce this, 9 please. 10 (COPY OF LETTER AND ENVELOPE INTRODUCED AS COMMONWEALTH EXHIBIT 25.) Detective Constable Wright, then, if you would read that document for us, please. Yes, sir. It's dated as I said, the 20th, 15 the 12th, '84. It starts, "My Dearest Jens, About half a 16 17 sorry hour after you left me Daniel Moses appeared, then he left too, then I was alone." 18 Then that is followed by another date, 21-19 12-84, and it says, "I wake up and I'm still alone. A day

1

11

12

13

14

20

21

22

23

24

25

"My father fell down. (I prayed.) He got

of rain and loneliness. This morning I bought my father

a desk for his computer. It took all morning. I didn't

smoke. Then I went shopping, I bought cigarettes.

bought other things, too.

up, then I came home.

"The next-door neighbor who lives in the poor house came for supper. He's just bought a new BMW.

A twenty-six-year-old fool who makes \$100,000 p.a. selling insurance and sleeping with other people's secretaries.

"My parents began to drink. My father says that the juniper extract used to flavor gin is a potent poison/drug."

If I could stop you just in that neighborhood there, please, because I think I have--I was having a little trouble finding my copy. Thank you, sir. Please continue just a little farther, then. You stopped then at the gin?

A Yes, sir, it continues, "It causes similar aggression as speed. My mother begins her 6th gin, I pray she'll use the poker on my cold, goading father."

If I could stop you there. And there's a reference, Your Honor, at this point in the letter to an individual, and the letter continues that this individual calls and "a party he says, breaking my concentration on the many murder stories I have read."

A Yes, sir, that is correct.

Q If you could continue, please, sir, with my parents want me to be with them.

A Yes, sir. It says, "My parents want me to

be with them; I want to be with you. They cry drunken tears of affection, I cry tears of waste.

"There have been many burglaries in this area recently. I am making an enormous mural. Only twenty-two days left, one day gone. I have found the editing equipment but the cameras are at Mayhill (NS).

"My unreal dog has a Lenin badge on his forehead; he parades his politics the way you parade your nationality. Wild animals make me burp."

It then carries on 22-12-84 and says "My mother went to her hair appointment three days late. My father and I cut down cedars for Christmas presents. Would it be possible to hypnotize my parents, do voodoo on them, will them to death?

"It seems my concentration on their death is causing them problems. My father nearly drove over a cliff at lunch, he nearly got squashed by a tree when he got home and he keeps falling over. And my mother, drunk fell into the fire. I think I shall seriously take up black magic.

"Will you really come to summer school? I have almost discovered a means of living together over the summer at least. My father has put Vera Lynn on and the first song was Lily Marlane. Suddenly he believes that I should make a living from singing. He's confident of my

voice. Didn't I sing the Christmas and Easter passion at St. George's Chapel for the queen. But he's concerned about my charisma. He's working on it now.

"My mother is concerned about my role, my lack of consideration of going out last night. They apologized profusely about bringing me home early and for wanting to have me with me all of the time, but they blame me for not reciprocating the offense.

"I did much washing today." I believe that says her cousin. "Her cousin has come to dinner. She brought me cigarettes. I phoned E'Oley Watson. She's gone to Washington to the ballet. She won't have lunch with me until I get back from Yugoslavia. She knows I will come back just to have lunch with her, but she's vane to think she's the only one I will come back for.

"My father lectured me on my career. The drinking begins. My father calls like an alarm clock. I must turn him off. For the moment I shall descend and put him on snooze.

"Decorated exotic plants swelling"--I'm not quite sure what this next word means, swelling kumquats or something like that.

"My father interrupting my mother's interruption, red wine, braving coffee, the scene of the factless dinner party, William Styron (Sophie's Choice),

Gorden Leggett and family, Brokie Abbott, (a man of genius because he's a cousin and paints well) and an entourage of other mediocrities while Mozart's 42nd hums mockingly as background sounds.

"My father insists on telling stories about Japan, the men I have known, the honors I have been paid. Tonight is sour with eeking away life, the memories trying to convince the soul of its true worth. What a ridiculous farce.

"In the room the women come and go, talking of Michelangelo." It goes on in the form of a poem, sir.

Okay. Let me just stop you there and review. I don't think that we need to read the poem. If you wouldn't mind continuing, then, with December 23, 1984.

Yes, sir. It starts 23-12-84. "More guests come in to pay homage. Have written a vast quantity today, about eight poems, twenty letters and now my diary to you. My mother bitched at me for an hour. I told her the story of anal personalities.

"I picked up the wood we cut yesterday and moved it. My mother changed her mind. We removed it. Then she decided to box it; we boxed it. Then we moved it again.

"I rediscovered much poetry today,

1 rediscovered much

especially T. S. Elliott. I found a poem on Mozart, The Phoenix of Mozart by Claude Vigee and a piece on Alberto Giurometh by Antons Artund.

"I became over excited by this part. The man touched the womb of the woman who tenderly thanked him with a gaze, but only the water, the deep well under its little granite roof rejoiced in that gesture because it perceived its remote meaning.

"Inside the house in a simple guest room the great Giacometti was sleeping."

Then it says, "I thought you might appreciate this, my house is a decayed house. And the Jew squats on the window sill, the owner, spawned in some estaminet of Antwerp. Briskered in Brussels, patched and peeled in London." And then it says T. S. Elliott.

It continues, "How I love and miss you. I have found part of your Christmas which is exciting. The days go so slowly. Will you love me when we get back? I begin to wonder. Can my feramanes reach you in Detroit? Even if you don't love me, stay close to me.

"My God, I wish I hadn't hesitated at school. Why don't my parents just lie down and die? I despise them so much.

"I'm sitting in a bath, a very, very hot bath with lots of bubbles. It makes me sleepy. And I

Page 55

5

have drunk lots of beer. Webster is flowing.

"I really did drink too much. I wanted to drown the hideous maternal voice and the droning paternal growl. For Englishman, he's definitely lost the art of understatement.

"I told my parents I was going to marry you. My mother suggested I visit Detroit. I know it all came too close to the bone, so I made you up. You're very rich (well Grandma is). You, however"--I'm not sure of the next two words, sir.

Q That's fine, if you'd just continue from there, then. I don't know what it is either.

I think it says with a carpel through it, there's a castle with a carpal or something. "I ended up speaking German to you. My mother notices with her usual acumen that all the important men in my life have been Germans; Hans from Munich, a true child of Hitler, Sebastian from Hamburg, an unwitting child of Hitler, and Jens from nowhere, a posing child of Hitler. I always said from my early memoirs that I would marry a German or an Austrian.

"I was looking around at our house today. We have a table made of wood"--I believe that's wood horses--"and plywood in the living room with a priceless Louis XIV chair beside it. My mother wears 1/2 K diamonds in her ears and canvas K's on her fingers and

absolute rags from the black flea market on her buddy.

"They talk about this cottage in Bermuda they're considering buying as a sometimes retreat but refuse to pay a maid \$5 an hour to clean the house. We have a dishwasher, washing machine and dryer which only work on one button each, barely any water pressure, a black and white secondhand TV which gets three stations, the aerial doesn't work, et cetera, with some extraordinary luxuries.

"My parents are going mad. We can either wait until we graduate and then leave them behind or we can get rid of them soon.

"My mother said today that if some accident befell them she knew I would become a worthless adventurer; more maternal acumen.

"Oh, I want to be with you and around you, in you, through you, tied to you forever and ever. Did you know I spoke to Christine about marrying you? She felt it wouldn't work because once our passion wore off our wills would wage new passion.

"She believes that you might become jealous of my success I had, of any success I had, a rivalry would begin between us. I knew exactly what she meant, however I would always step down for you. I have no need to prove myself to the world, or financial need. If necessary I

will always be a closet writer.

"Please my darling Jens, never let the pursuit of excellence keep us apart, rather let it pull us closer.

"My only if about marriage is the U.S. I suppose my standpoint is ridiculous and I will immediately abandon it if I'm sure that I will always have a root in Europe.

"All this writing of marriage is because my outburst to ma and pa. I'm not expecting a civil marriage on the 12th of January."

Q Nor perhaps?

A --"nor perhaps even next. My God, inspiration, why don't you come to Vienna during the summer of '86 and do the internship at the United Nations or something similar, work with Freud, Jr., et cetera.

"My poor darling, I have been self-centered for several thousand words. Are you succeeding with weights, hypnosis, NLP, the reading list, et cetera? How is the chagrin of the family?"

only being nibbled by a tiny mouse. My mother has just had a hysterical fit of anger. However, I did have most of the day to myself. I found part of my European diary and my portfolio of garbage from Wickham which I thought had

been thrown out so long ago. I must show it to you if you want to see.

"You must remember that I was a pretentious, precocious thirteen to sixteen; now I'm just pretentious. I found a few photos to give you an idea of our life.

"Will you play cribbage with me next semester? I'll teach you and beat you.

"I've designed my mural now and I think it is going to work quite well though Christine, my parents and probably everyone else is going to hate it. I think I'll use pastels and color paint the background. It will be a mural to us. And because it's an eternal depiction of us together, I'm going to write a manifesto to us, a neurosis."

Q Okay, and at this point she has written there what she refers to as a manifesto, is that correct, it goes on for a couple of pages?

A Yes.

I might not ask you to read that at this point. However, what I would like to do, if I might, is again, this was posted on December 28, 1984, is that correct, to Jens Soering?

A Yes, sir.

MR. UPDIKE: And Your Honor, at this

point if I could refer to the exhibit that was just introduced a few moments ago as the diary written by Jens Soering during this period of time. And referring to Page Nineteen Your Honor, we would point out that at this page of the diary, the date is January 3, which would be sufficient time for the letter from Elizabeth Haysom to have gotten to Jens Soering by mail.

Your Honor, I would like to have the constable read a certain number of portions from the same diary that we have just heard and then I would like to refer the Court to Mr. Soering's response in his diary.

# BY MR. UPDIKE: (continuing)

For example, Constable Wright, if I could refer you to the top of the second page of her diary, talking about tears of affection, if you could just read those two lines, please.

Yes, sir. It starts on the first page,
"They cry drunken tears of affection, I cry tears of
waste. There have been many burglaries in this area
recently."

MR. UPBIKE: And on this date, January

3, Jens Soering writes to your actual letter: "The fact that there have been many burglaries in the area opens the possibility for another one with the same general circumstances. Only this time the unfortunate owners," and then there are three periods, just leaving it blank.

#### BY MR. UPDIKE: (continuing)

Q Constable Wright, if I could refer you on down that same page to the entry under December 22nd. If you could read the next some several lines through black magic, please.

A Yes, sir. It says, "My mother went to her hair appointment three days late. My father and I cut down cedars for Christmas presents. Would it be possible to hypnotize my parents, do voodoo on them, will them to death?

"It seems my concentration on their death is causing them problems. My father nearly drove over a cliff at lunch. He nearly got squashed by a tree when we got home and he keeps falling over. And my mother, drunk, fell into the fire. I think I shall seriously take up black magic."

MR. UPDIKE: And Your Honor, again on this date of January 3rd, Jens Soering

Page 61

responds, "By the way, yes, voodoo, et cetera is possible. In a real sense we are the victims. I will explain in person."

This for the record, again being Page

Nineteen of the Soering diary.

# BY MR. UPDIKE: (continuing)

And Your Honor, just to establish quickly that here is this response, if I could refer you please, Constable Wright, to the top of the next page.

Yes, sir. It starts, "My father calls like an alarm clock. I must turn him off. For the moment I shall descend and put him on snooze."

Q And it continues, please, through William Styron.

A What, sir?

Q Just continue reading the next few lines, please.

Yes, it continues, "Decorated exotic plants, swelling kumquats, my father interrupting my mother's interruption, red wine, probing coffee, the scene of the factless dinner party. William Styron, Sophie's Choice, Gorden Leggett and family, Brokie Abbott, a man of genius because he's a cousin and paints well."

Okay, that's fine; the point being that in that point in the diary she's writing of William Styron,

the author of Sophie's Choice, is that correct?

Yes, sir.

MR. UPDIKE: And Your Honor, on Page
Twenty, this being the next page that I
have been describing, Jens Soering responds
with the reference to Sophie's Choice,
"So some guy who was in Sophie's Choice
visited with your family and you, your dad
told about your past loves and et cetera."

#### BY MR. UPDIKE: (continuing)

Constable Wright, then on the page of Elizabeth Haysom's diary dated December 23, 1984, below the poem there is a reference to her finding a Christmas present for Jens, is that correct?

A Yes, sir. "I have found part of your Christmas present, which is exciting."

MR. UPDIKE: And Your Honor, the next paragraph in this diary continues from Jens Soering, "Christmas presents, there must be the perfect gift for you out there somewhere. The things I have thought of so far seem so trivial and frivializing. I'm confused, I just want to be with you."

#### BY MR. UPDIRE: (continuing)

O Then Constable Wright, I believe she

continues on that same page with a reference to feramones, is that correct?

A Yes, sir. It says, "Can my feramones," which is spelled f-e-r-a-m-o-n-e-s, "reach you in Detroit"?

MR. UPDIKE: And Your Honor, in the next paragraph on Page Twenty of the Soering diary, Soering responds,
"Pheromones! Pheromones!"--and he spells it correctly here, p-h-e-r-o-m-o-n-e-s-"Pheromones! Pheromones! That's what you meant. I'm sorry, but this is exciting."
And he continues with some statements there.

# BY MR. UPDIKE: (continuing)

Constable Wright, then the next page about a quarter of the way down, I believe she's making a reference in her diary to telling her parents that she was going to marry Jens, is that correct? Again, "I told my parents I was going to marry you"?

Yes, sir. "I told my parents I was going to marry you. My mother suggested I visit Detroit. It all came too close to the bone."

Q Thank you.

MR. UPDIKE: And in the Soering diary.

1.1

Your Honor, the next page, Soering—or the next paragraph, excuse me, Soering writes, "Liz, we need to talk not so much about marriage but you telling your parents about us marrying. We need to talk not so much about marriage but you telling your parents about us marrying." What does that mean? Excuse me.

# BY MR. UPDIKE: (continuing)

1.1

Q And then she continues on down with her diary to a reference about Jens and Hitler, is that correct?

A Yes, sir. It says, "My mother noticed with her usual acumen that all the important men in my life have been German. Hans from Munich, a true child of Hitler, Sebastian from Hamburg, an unwitting child of Hitler and Jens from nowhere, a posing child of Hitler.

MR. UPDIKE: Your Honor, again on that same page, Page Twenty of his diary, he quotes specifically from that letter, "Jens from nowhere, a posing child of Hitler.

I'm too stupid, Elizabeth, I don't understand."

# BY MR. UPDIKE: (continuing)

Q I believe the next page is she makes the

reference to Christine.

Yes, sir. It says "Did you know I spoke to Christine about marrying you." And it continues, "She felt it wouldn't work out because once our passion wore off our wills would wage a new passion. She believed that you might become jealous of any success I had. The rivalry would begin between us.

"I know exactly what she meant, however I would always step down for you. I have no need to prove myself to the world, or financial need. If necessary I will always be a closet writer."

MR. UPDIKE: And Jens Soering writes in the next paragraph on Page Twenty, Your Honor, "Christine is smarter than I gave her credit for. And you are, thank God, I meant that very emphatically, much less idealistic about me than I thought. 'You might become yealous of any success I had.' Damn right, to quote J.R., how appropriate." And that, Your Honor, that he might become jealous of any success I had, an exact quote from the Haysom diary.

And them, Your Bonor, there's another rece on the next page from both diaries to the United States that I won't go into, but

basically this being the response in the Soering diary to the Haysom diary. Thank you, sir.

(Whereupon a recess was taken,)

BY MR. UPDIKE: (continuing)

Q If I could show you another document, please, sir, and ask you what that is.

A Yes, this is another one of the letters taken from the address in London which was acknowledged by Elizabeth Haysom as being written by her.

Q And would this be a copy of that letter, please?

A Yes, sir.

Q We'd like to introduce a copy of this, please.

# (8-3-85 LETTER INTRODUCED AS COMMONWEALTH EXHIBIT 26.)

If you would, Constable, Wright, if you'd read that letter for us, please.

Yes, sir. It's dated 8-2-85 and it has the time 2:36 p.m. at the top. It's on Ramada note paper from Denver, Colorado and says, "My Dearest Darling, This is going to be a difficult and strange letter to write so I'll make an effort to be legible and coherent. I love

you very much, I know now more than ever how much I need you, how much I love you, how incredibly important you are to my life. I cannot conceive of life without you.

"I read Birdy on the flight. Fabulous book in some ways. It was a mistake for me to read it. The relationship between Alfonzo and Birdy was one which I had always envisaged. There are people I have wanted to be.

"I am amazed, Americans are identical everywhere. They are the same faces here as in C'ville. The blacks are the same, everyone is the same. Perhaps here they concentrate more on their feet and hands but they are the same. I find that depressing.

"Denver is grotesque, a truly magnificently hideous place.

"I'm stalling. I'm scared because I believe you love me and I believe we have a fabulous relationship; I don't want it to change. So let me stall a bit longer and tell you a story. Do not skip forward you monkey.

There was once a woman called Nancy
Langhorne who married Viscant Astor. She became
rich and famous and very powerful. She was a fabulous
woman. She was also a bigoted Christian Scientist and
like my mother (a British convert), she held her British

principles more strongly than the queen. She was a good mother and a very influential policy maker in my family because she was also my mother's aunt.

"As a christening present to me she gave me 100 Eaton Square (to be used by her until she died). However, there was a condition; I had to go to Cambridge or Oxford and I had to do something wonderful, i.e. something for the betterment of mankind, England in other words. A board of ministers was appointed, Lord Carrington, et cetera.

Now when I was fourteen/fifteen, I wanted to be an engineer very badly. I wanted to go to MIT or Cal Tech because they are the best for applied science. However, to maintain Eaton Square in my possession I had to go to Cambridge and I couldn't go into Cambridge for engineering because my math was so weak, although I got into MIT.

"So I changed my plans and decided to do history. Many political careers, et cetera, began at Cambridge in the history department.

"So I went to Cambridge with a nice scholarship, everybody pleased except for me who wanted to study physics but who also wanted Eaton Square. I compromised myself.

"And my grandfather was also generous. I

was also much influenced, as were my parents, by Lady
Astor. In the long run I was told when I went to Europe
the first time with Melissa, when I took a rest from
Cambridge, that the authorities were not pleased, I was
being irresponsible and foolish.

"I knew, however, that I couldn't live with myself, the compromise for material possessions was too great, so I went and I returned.

"When I came back Eaton Square was on a lease (you know, ninety-nine year time thing, a favor to the English.) My parents loved it. If you don't do this that and tither, we'll take away your funds, et cetera. They wanted me to re-enter Cambridge, they wanted me to have psychiatric treatment, they wanted me to do the London scene, they wanted me to be their creation.

"I couldn't handle it, I freaked out. I began to believe in the old Puritan principle of doing it on my on. I didn't need their money or anybody else's, so I took off to Europe to prove my point, how much I hated them. I would not sell myself for their easy money.

"Their money was a cop-out and I would have never had full control, i.e. if they couldn't--if they didn't like my beloved, when I was thirty-five, they could still say go out with him, marry him and you will be poor.

"And so I lost absolutely everything except for Henry Leer's money, and that money was used to pay expenses for searching me out in Europe.

"So my parents, when I returned the second time said now if you are very good we'll give you everything back. So I became an Echol's Scholar. So I had ambitions to remodel my soul, to become their dream child but nothing has happened.

"I went to Lynchburg College as they insisted, taking courses they chose for me. I came to UVA as they required. I got a four zero"--I believe that is-"taking astro and fortran as my father insisted.

"But they only insist on more and more.

The are now ruling over me because I'm a good girl. I

will be rich, but until they die they will hold out.

"Who is paying for UVA? I am. My \$25,000 book contract payment is the same. Last semester cost me \$5,000 and this will be about the same, if not more. The \$500,000 I made from insurance I gave to Richard"-and then in brackets it says brother over the top of that-because his business was being coerced by a major architecture company. They wanted to buy him out and were forcing him into bankruptcy by pressurizing his creditors to collect and building up payments, Dallas, Dynasty sort of thing.

"So I bailed him out with that cash. I gave it to him the way I intend to give up other money. I don't expect a return on it." And at that point there's a little star with a note at the top of the page saying, "Also at that time I was asked to believe that if I did my stuff, UVA, et cetera, that on my twenty-first all would be nicely settled; bull fucking shit.

"I think you are getting the picture. I have very wealthy parents who won't give me a dime unless I act out their fantasies, and for a while I believed they would sincerely give me the money when I reformed. I mean, damn it, I am reformed.

"However all this shit about guardians, et cetera, until I finish my education is just another pretense. After that will be another clause until I have an acceptable husband or am president or something.

"I'm not prepared to act it out any longer Why spend an entire lifetime chasing the carrot and being incredibly miserable when the carrot will eventually come your way. They can't write me out of their will completely.

"I'm sorry, I was not honest with you about the situation. I was foolish, gullible enough to think that every"--"I was foolish, gullible enough to believe that every extra little thing I did, new little thing that

I did, they were going to sign the money over. I have no excuse really, I was just so certain something would give but it never will.

"In some ways the prospect of freeing myself from this whole entirely sorted affair fills me with joy. To be completely free with you, reckless adventurers, doing strange things with electronics, rubbing with finesse, maintaining a brilliant lifestyle by sheer force of personality. However I realize, and this is not a judgment or anything else, that the lack of security fills you with borror because you will feel anger because of Connie's future, or however you spell his name.

"I promised you I would help pay for his education, I will. He can have the rest of my book money \$15,000. It's not a vestment, I believe it will pay for about half. I can always dig some up from somewhere. I hate jewelry; I will steal my mother's and sell it. He can go to school or we can live off my platinum junk.

no matter what. So far I've been playing with bank loans et cetera. I will always have \$50 in my sock to buy dinner, so don't feel completely insecure, but I felt I had to tell you. Sorry, not only because I was perverting the truth but because I wanted to share the adventure of it all.

"If one works as a lawyer from nine to five or from eight until ten to become rich, why can't one do the same as an adventurer.

"I know this will upset you greatly. If it will change your position about us or anything else, please shout at me. Here is the ultimatum my parents have put down: If I go to Europe with you, anybody else alone to bum around, I can kiss lots of lolly goodbye." Lolly is a European term for money.

"If I go to summer school or work as an assistant editor to the New Yorker/Harpers, et cetera, I will be on the right road to wealth. If you want to be rich, we can't go to Europe, and if we stay behind, there is still no guarantee as the past year has shown.

"You know my position. I don't give a fuck about money, it can be obtained. I obtained large quantities in Europe while trotting about. I'd only starve because I had it stolen almost every time; other times I just blew it on things which made me happy.

"I have obtained some money to get through at UVA. It is not enough so I will pursue more from somewhere. If I stay I need to find money. If I leave I have to find money. If I leave I will have a damn good time doing it.

"It is a nasty decision for you to make,

especially at the moment. You have a form of security im the scholarship; not a light thing to give up when you're giving it up for nothing.

"I am sorry. That is so incredibly feeble. You don't need to decide anything at the moment; you don't until September. I will stay with you even if I'm not at UVA. In other words, if you wish to keep the scholarship and stay at UVA, I will find something to do in C-ville, maybe even stay at the U. You do what you feel and you do what you feel you must, but I will stay in very close proximity.

"My poor darling, guilt is an awful thing but it is always unbearable where it concerns you. I have really hated myself through all this. Was it better to lead you into security blind and prove that there is no external security or better to completely rock our relationship by telling you.

"I've been completely selfish about it.

I wanted to insure that you loved me absolutely, the way I love you absolutely, absolutely. And because I love you so selfishly, I wanted to make sure you love me completely before I try"--I can't read the next word.

It continues, "You're the inevitable necessity for me to live through living and not living through compromising.

"I hated myself for deceiving you and for my own weakness. I couldn't bear for you to reject a lifetime with me. Now I hate myself even more for the deception because it will cause you so much pain. You will not forgive me I'm sure until I redeem my position, and I can only promise you that I will commit my life to your physical security and comfort.

7.1

"It is not enough I know. Hate me, shout at me, hit me, torture me, make me rob the federal reserve, whatever, but please hug me when we meet. I will do anything to compensate. You're the only person I've ever loved and you're the only one who's ever really loved me.

"You are my life. To have any deception remaining in my life would be unbearable. It is time to risk all for the truth. May some faith, God, tealize that the horror of this truth and confession and all that it entails is more than equal to all my scheming deceptions in the past.

"You know the whole truth. Nobody else on this planet has a glimmer of the whole truth of the RE for I have deceived them all to a lessor or greater degree. Please don't create the greatest irony of my life. All my defenses are down, there is nothing left but raw, raw flesh.

"Love in its real form is a truly revolutionary thing. I love you and no matter what your judgment I will always love you.

"I'm going to write Henry Lear about money.

He's a trustee. Something may be worked out with his personality; i.e. if we go check his mines or his rice paddies in Thailand he may lose \$250,000 somewhere. My father and he have had a big row, so he may like the idea or I may do a book on him or something.

"This is all tittle-tattle, forgive me for being such a wretch. I love you and I just want to be with you. I just want to share every joy on earth with you. I wanted to make you so happy and all I've done is created more confusion.

"I deserve to be rejected, passed over for some true, good and magnificent person. I never deserved you but I'm grateful for having tasted the most glorious of happiness that a person could ever wish. With love and guilt, anxiety, love, love, love, love, or where have you led me?"

- And that's -- that's basically the conclusion of the letter. I think there's some P.S. or something down there.
- Yes, sir. And it's signed E.
- Q All right. Thank you very much. Now that

letter that you just read was posted March the 11th, 1985, then, from the Ramada Inn in Denver, Colorado, as you've already pointed out, correct?

A Yes, sir.

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now we have one here, then, that's written

April the 18th, or a couple of weeks after the murders, is
that also correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q I show you that letter at this time.

A Yes, sir, another letter that was taken from the address in London.

And if we could introduce a copy of that letter, please.

# (LETTER TO JENS INTRODUCED AS COMMONWEALTH EXHIBIT 27.)

Q If you could read that letter for us, please.

Yes, sir. It is in the writing which I now recognize as belonging to Elizabeth Haysom, but there's a footnote at the top which is in a different handwriting which I believe to be Jens Soering.

It starts, "My Dearest Jens, There are a couple of things I wish to say. I love you very much and I'm sorry for snapping at you last night and for being a dragon all of yesterday.

"Why did I snap at you? For the same reason I snapped at you over the Eric business. You immediately assume I have fibbed to you, you said so. Why are you so insecure as to believe that if I had wanted to look at Samuel from the beginning I would have lied about it. Relax a little; I don't see you as my jailer yet.

"When I said I wanted to go to bed I did. When I went to bed, I got a second urge to look over my miserable pet project, a change of mind, surely the privilege of every woman.

"Now you are probably fuming or contorting yourself over I don't see you as my jailer yet. Well I don't, but others do. I don't care for their opinions, but they may have a point.

"I love you completely and if you gave me half a chance I believe I would make you very happy, much happier than you are now; a more peaceful, permanent, universal happiness instead of the kind"--

Cowtowing perhaps?

Yes, and there's no word we use, I'm not quite sure--"of blind devotion which can only be temporary. Blind devotion may seem to you to be the perfect expression of love but it isn't. For you to be blind, I must have double vision. I carry a double responsibility for you and for me.

"I do not sit happily or easily on a pedestal or in a framework of perfection. I need support not responsibility. I need love and not pressure. We must support each other, for as hard as it is or as odd as it sounds at the moment, I support most of you. I would love to support all of you but I can't. I am only a second rate human being coping with the tensions around us.

"You're probably laughing at the idea that I am supporting you when you have been at my arm throughout these past two weeks, but you were the one who was in a hostile or potentially hostile environment. You were the one hating it, upset by my brothers. You were the one claiming possession of the prize.

"You threatened to turn yourself in, to commit suicide. When we returned you placed this incredibly heavy responsibility of your life in my hands. I believe we'll always be together; however, such statements as don't worry, I'll never do anything stupid because I'll always love you don't relieve the pressure, they add to it. And although I'm not sure you don't mean it to be an ultimatum or threat, it is.

"Genesis, i.e. the first book of the Bible says ome of the most worst things of all time about this. Free will and choice are essential to man; otherwise he is

an animal, a slave, a toady.

"The death of my parents released me from that position. I was free to choose to whom I give my love. That free choice was essential because I gave it willingly. We both know, or at least you should, that I would have given it to you but you made the decision for me. I was truly appalled when you said I didn't do this for your brothers to take you away. I thought we did it so that I could be free.

"Jens, I choose you now, I chose you before. But darling, you must let me choose. If you unwittingly coerce me, or perhaps it is consciously, how can you be sure I love you, because I will only love you because I am obligated to do so or because I am frightened of the consequences if I do not.

"I'm being hard on you and I'm going to be harder because I do love you and I always want to love you. I refuse to let you kill that.

"Never again demand money from me. To say to me I want 200 out of you tomorrow morning is to behave like a bastard. You only needed to say, at least to provide me with the thrill of giving you money unasked for as a token of our unity, was I am really short of cash, or could you loan me a couple of dollars. For you to sit there and say have you got the money and not to say thank

you or anything, only to get like it was like the collection of taxes or something, those are the words of a bastard. Remember that it is money I have sort of earned.

"Also--yes there is more--don't you ever assume, verbally to me anyway, that half of my father's estate is yours. If you're so caught up in making money out of my family you better reconsider. I will give you everything I get whether you force me to or not. The freedom to choose what I do with it, with my presents or even my life and possessions, with whom I spend my time, is all that I cherish. Do you have any understanding as to what I am saying?

"It is not a happy feeling that you may need me more than you love me. I love you and I need you, but please give me some psychological or even physical space to show you. For once you allow me to choose you, and I will continue choosing you, you will begin to understand the divine pleasure of being chosen.

"Please my darling Jens, try and understand, I don't want your sacrifice to be a burden to either of us and nor do I want for our love to slip away. Think it over and I will meet you for dinner. I will come to you." And it's signed R.

Q And that's April 18, 1985, two weeks after the murder.

That's the date on the top of the page. A And finally, if I could ask you about one 2 last document. You also recovered a diary from the flat 3 there in London, is that correct? And would this be that 4 diary? A Yes, it is, sir. 6 7 O It begins with the date October 5, Saturday? 8 Yes, sir. And during that same interview that we've 10 been talking about, Elizabeth Haysom admits that she 11 wrote this jointly with Jens Soering. 12 Yes, sir. A 13 And I of course don't want you to read all 14 of this, but just the first, oh, maybe two pages or so 15 through October the 13th. 16 It starts October the 5th, Sunday. "Jens 17 goes to see Officers Reid and Gardner in Bedford. 18 insist on tests. Must phone them by the 9th of October." 19 Then it's dated October 7th, Monday. 20 "Elizabeth discovers she has a brain tumor. Jens gets 21 card to send his traveling passport." Then it's got some 22 words which are crossed out, but it says underneath the 23 crossing out, "Jens phones ML Bank about closing account." 24

25

Page 83

October the 8th, Tuesday. "He has tumor

out, experimental laser tech."

October the 9th, Wednesday. "Jens phones R&G"--which I believe is Reid and Gardner--"that he will do the tests the following Wednesday because of midterms."

October 10th, Thursday. "Jens phones ML Bank about closing account. Account manager incorrectly denies access. Calls father who calls C'ville in evening."

October 11th, Friday. "Both accounts closed. Jens' credit card overcharged \$500. Father's card \$1,600, E closes at \$1,000, Jens closes at \$700. Jens gets call from Richard to go to DC for WE. We go shopping, get only bag, pack Jens. With Christine and David at Martha's Cafe with Christine to"--I'm not sure of the next word but it's something to do with--"to movie, shooting party."

October the 12th, Saturday. "Write letters (Jens). Lizzie throws fit with Chris and David over shopping. Jens wipes fingerprints from room, passport photos done. Leaves C'ville at 1:00 p.m., late, for D.C. in his Sirroco. Off to National Airport, satellite parking lot B. Wipes car. Barely catches People's Express to Newark. Departs end at 7:00 p.m. Preppie Negro girl and Buddhist technician as passengers.

"E begins packing and writes letter. Jens calls from Europe to invite me to join Richard and himsels in D.C. E cooks dinner with Chris and David at 10:00.

"Howard phones. He's arriving in C'ville perhaps tomorrow but will definitely be visiting Wednesday six to eight.

"The case is about to be solved. Perhaps fingerprints on the coffee cup used by Jens in Bedford interview gave him away.

"E&C&D go midnight shopping, walk to corner, Barracks Road Shopping Center, to buy hair dye for E. Walk to Faulkner to pick up stuff. 8:03. Cook cookies while hair is coloring."

Would you like me to continue?

- No, that's fine. And I should have introduced a copy of the entire diary, but that's through the entry of October 12th.
- A Yes, sir.

1.5

- And there is an entry there talking about coloring her hair and things of that nature. When you all apprehended them there in London, did you retrieve evidence of disguises from Soering and Haysom?
- A Yes, sir, the various photographs on the identity cards had different guises, and at the time Soering had died his hair and photographs showed Elizabeth

Haysom with various different color hair.

MR. UPDIRE: Thank you, sir. I don't believe that I have any further questions of you.

THE COURT: Gentlemen, have you any questions of this witness, Mr. Jones or Mr. Davis?

#### CROSS EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. JONES:

Mr. Wright, you indicated that when Jens Soering and Elizabeth Haysom were first arrested that they were together for a period of time, do you recall?

At which stage do you mean, sir?

Q When they were initially arrested.

A They met together outside the store, still being observed by the store detective who had been following Soering.

Q I'm talking about after they were in custody, that there was a period of time that they were together.

A After they were in custody, from my recollection, I believe that they did speak together briefly at about--after 10:00 that evening when we returned from the address.

Q To your knowledge were they together for

any length of time? It would only be--I can't specifically state how long they were together, but it would have only 3 been a few minutes. And after the period you have been testifying about there was a period of time when 6 Investigator Gardner was in London and some statements 7 were made. 8 A Yes, sir. 9 After that period of time, did you have 10 occasion to hear from Elizabeth while she was in Holloway 11 Prison? 12 Yes, sir, I received a letter which was 13 addressed to myself and KS Beaver. 14 And in that letter, among other things, did 15 she express some concern about some threatening letters 16 that she had received? 17 No. sir. 18 You don't recall that at all? 19 No, I do recall; there was no reference to 20 that, sir. 21 Bid she ever have any communication with 22 you after that weekend when she gave the statements about 23 receiving threatening letters?

25

A

Page 87

Yes, I spoke briefly on one occasion on the

telephone, and that was regarding some property which I believe she said had been stolen, some correspondence that she said had been stolen while she was in custody at Holloway Prison.

On that occasion, was anything mentioned to you by her about threatening letters?

A Certainly not, no. She did say that she was worried about correspondence being missing, that she feared that the press would get ahold of it. No mention of any threats.

MR. JONES: No further questions. (Witness stood aside.)

**KENNETH BEAVER**, was called as a witness and having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows:

### DIRECT EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. UPDIKE:

Q State your name, please.

A My name is Detective Inspector Kenneth Beaver; I'm attached to Hammersmith Police Station, Metropolitan Police, England.

Q And your position, please, in April, May, June of 1986.

A I was a detective sergeant at Richmond Police Station, same force.

And during your stay there at Richmond during the period that we've described, you also worked on the investigation of the charges pertaining to Elizabeth Haysom and Jens Soering, the charges there in London that were described by Detective Constable Wright. Yes, I did, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And before I begin asking you some questions concerning a period of time when Detective Gardner and myself came to England the first part of June, 1986, I would like to show you a custody record. This would be the actual original custody record, the second one, that is, pertaining to Elizabeth Haysom, would that be correct?

That is correct, sir, yes. A

And if you need to refer to that, sir, during your testimony; we would like to introduce a copy of that particular custody record.

#### (CUSTODY RECORD INTRODUCED AS COMMONWEALTH EXHIBIT 29)

Detective Inspector Beaver, what I'd like to do would be to, since we've covered basically what was done before the trip to London on our part, if you would start at that particular point in time and describe how this remand came about that's reflected in the custody, why it was necessary and the developments thereafter.

Yes, sir. At the time we found out that the murder had occurred in Bedford County, Elizabeth Roxanne Haysom and Jens Soering were in custody but appearing at Richmond Court once a week to answer to the charges and to answer to their custody, they would then be remanded back into custody at one of Her Majesty's prisons.

On this occasion, this was the 5th of June of 1986, a decision was made beforehand that an application would be made to the Court that Elizabeth Haysom and Jens Soering would be remanded into police custody. This was to allow myself and Mr. Wright and Investigator Gardner to question both parties regarding the murder of Mr. and Mrs. Haysom, question them regarding frauds that had taken place throughout Europe and question them regarding suspected drug involvement in Thailand.

This request was made at the Court; in fact the request was made by Detective Inspector Shepardson, and the request was granted by the magistrate and both defendants were taken to Richmond Police Station and according to this, sir, Elizabeth Haysom arrived at 12:30 in the afternoon that day.

Now after the arrival of Investigator

Gardner there in London, you did have the occasion to

participate in an interview with Elizabeth Haysom I

believe on the 6th day of June, 1986, is that correct?

Yes, sir, I did.

And would you just explain the circumstances leading up to that interview.

Well the circumstances leading up, firstly, when Ms. Haysom arrived at the police station she was told her rights by the desk sergeant; the first right that she was told, that she could have a solicitor to represent her during her stay. She didn't make this request and signed for that request.

She was also told that she could contact any person that she wished to; in fact she didn't take advantage of that, sir.

And thirdly, she was told that she could have a copy of the code of conduct that we must apply when we have prisoners at the police station; in fact she didn't ask for those. But from that point she got a solicitor anyway who was aware of the code, sir.

Going on to the interview, we had spent some time with Jens Soering initially and the first available time that we had to interview Ms. Haysom was about 2:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. to be exact on the 6th of June. A message was left for her solicitor, Mr. Barker. He attended the police station at 3:57 that afternoon and was given further access to his client and at 4:42. After

this access had been allowed, we took Ms. Haysom to an interview room in the presence of her solicitor, solicitor's clerk and the three officers were there also, sir, including myself.

And that interview was recorded and I believe we have a copy of that interview at this time.

Let me find, first of all, my copy of the statement.

This would be a transcript of the interview that occurred at that time, wouldn't it, Detective Inspector Beaver.

A This is a copy, yes, sir.

Q And we would like to introduce a copy of that.

(6-6-86 INTERVIEW OF ELIZABETH HAYSOM INTRODUCED AS COMMONWEALTH EXHIBIT 30.)

MR. UPDIKE: Your Honor, we would like to play this tape at this time if we might.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. UPDIKE: Your Honor, upon reflection of reviewing this particular tape, I think I have introduced a copy of it, and if we could just introduce that particular transcript and make it a part of the record and proceed to the next interview, if that would be permissible.

Page 92

## BY MR. UPDIKE: (continuing)

However, before proceeding to June the 8th if I could ask you during this particular interview, June 6th, did Elizabeth Haysom continue professing her love for her parents and-well basically that's the question, that she did love her parents.

A And if I could suggest it, her exact words were, "I have always loved my parents."

And also during the latter part of the interview, I believe that you confronted her with some of the segments that Constable Wright has read from the various letters, is that correct, various--

A Yes, sir, I read some rather prominent extracts that most certainly came to our notice. And when I put each one to her and asked her what she meant, how could she love her parents always when she writes in the letter I despise my parents, why don't they drop down and die, I was putting that to her farther firmly and she chose to make no reply, sir,

And after putting excerpts such as those to her, how did you conclude this particular interview?

A Cam I refresh my memory from the transcript?

Q If you would, please, uh-huh.

A I go on to say, I'm pointing out to her why do you say--why do you write these things, how much you

wanted them dead, how much you despise them and most certainly the letter dated the 18th of April, '85, two and a half weeks, when you mentioned about Jens threatened to give himself up, commit suicide and how he did it for you.

1.5

And then I said to her, if you don't want to answer me now, I would ask you to go downstairs and consider your position. If you do want to speak to me about it, just please reconsider, let me know. Okay, I'm going to terminate the interview now.

Q And that interview concluded at what, June 6th, 1986, at 6:20 p.m., would that be correct?

A It did, sir, yes. Mr. Gardner noted the time for the tape.

Q And did there come a later time when Ms. Haysom did in fact initiate contact with you that she wanted to further discuss her statements?

Yes, she did, sir; that was on theinitially it was on the 8th of June, and that would be the
Sunday evening and she initiated contact at 9:55. She was
kept in a cell and there's a bell inside the cell that
attracts the desk sergeant when it's rung. Ms. Haysom did
this and I was summoned to the charge room complex.

I asked her what she wanted and she said can I speak to Jens. And I said no. And she said why not? And I said because I'm still conducting a murder

inquiry and it would be highly irregular for you to speak to Jens. And she said has Jens admitted the murders to you? And I said I'm not going to tell you that, but I'm perfectly happy with the way the investigation is going. And I closed the cell door, sir, and left her there.

And I waited, and then about 10:12 that evening I was called back to the charge room the same way, she'd rung the bell and the custody sergeant sent for me and I spoke to her again. And she said I want to come and talk to you, speak to you alone and discuss my side of the story. And I allowed her to do this, Your Honor.

Okay.

MR. UPDIKE: And if I could, then,
Your Honor, this is the tape that we would
like to play, if I could introduce a copy
of that tape.

THE WITNESS: Excuse me, there wasn't a tape on this occasion; I was talking alone with her on this occasion.

# BY MR. UPDIKE: (continuing)

Q I was thinking of something that developed later. Please continue if you would.

Yes, sir. I took her to a room adjacent to the CID office and I just allowed Elizabeth to just talk freely and tell me her side of the story. And the story

went that on the 29th of March she hired a car with Jens Soering and they went to Washington for the weekend. They slept the night at a hotel, which I later learned to be the Marriott Motel, and that morning they got up and Jens mentioned that he wanted to buy a knife, a particular kind of special knife for his young brother; it was his birthday and he was going to buy it as a birthday present.

They got in the car and they went to this shop in Washington, but they weren't allowed—she told me that they weren't allowed to buy this particular type of knife but they were directed to a shop in Maryland. They drove there and Jens bought the knife ostensibly as a birthday present for his younger brother.

They got back to the hotel and had lunch, and shortly after lunch Jens announced that he was going into Washington to meet some friends. Elizabeth told me that she was surprised and somewhat annoyed at this because it was their weekend together.

In any event, he did go away, according to her, to meet some friends, but he told her that—to go to the cinema that evening and buy two tickets to go—while she's there, while she goes to the cinema she's to buy two tickets and then when she came away, just in case he returned, to order two room meals at the hotel. At that time she had his VISA card and paid with his VISA card.

Jens didn't return at that time, he didn't return during the afternoon visit to the cinema and he didn't return for the meal that she had ordered in the hotel.

So she went on and decided to go and see the Rocky Horror Show, and I believe she left the show at about one, 2:00 in the morning, in the streets of Washington, she's there alone, and Jens drove up in his car.

She told me that she got inside the car and sat alongside him, and as he was driving back to the hotel she noticed he was absolutely covered in blood. She was shocked to see this. And then he turned around to her and said I've just killed your parents.

She said we got back to the hotel and in order to allow him to get into the hotel covered in blood, she told me that she supplied him with some clothes so he could get back into the hotel.

At this stage, sir, I realized the importance of this evidence, the fact that it wasn't on tape, the fact that I was alone with her and there was nobody to corroborate what she was saying to me. So I told her that I was willing to hear her side of the story again, but I told her would she let me tape it and would she let another officer be present. She agreed, she

told me she wanted to get it off her chest once and for But she did request that only Mr. Wright be present. It's fair to say that she did make a specific request that Mr. Gardner didn't be present.

I left her alone -- well I left her in the particular room, the interview room, along with one of our lady officers for some fair time and I discussed the matter with Mr. Wright and other persons that were present, and it was then that we went ahead on a taped interview. And I think by then it was 11:15 in the evening, sir.

And if I could, if you'd hand the original tape recording of that interview, please, to the clerk.

> MR. UPDIKE: Your Honor, we would like to introduce a copy of that interview, provide one to Detective Inspector Beaver. We would like to introduce this one, if Your Honor, please.

No.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(6-8-86 INTERVIEW OF BLIZABETH HAYSOM INTRODUCED AS COMMONWEALTH EXHIBIT 31.)

MR. UPDIKE: Your Honor, if we could ask at this time that that tape be played.

Detective Inspector Beaver, I'm just looking for one portion; I might ask you to

start reading.

Judge, Special Agent McClure tells me
if we use the head sets and we turn the
volume down we might could listen to it. I
don't know if the Court would be willing to
try that rather than--

THE COURT: Well I think we've got to give some thought as to where we're going to end today. Normally I end at 5:00. Now if there's a witness that for some reason should be put on today to avoid an extra night here, I would be willing to stay a little later, but I really don't intend to go into the night.

MR. UPDIKE: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: So I'll leave it up to you, Mr. Updike, as to what you think is necessary. But I'm certainly not encouraging your playing this entire tape.

MR. UPDIKE: Yes, I understand.

Judge, the only thing I can do in that event would be to ask for just a moment's recess, because the only thing I can state is that it was my intent to play this tape and now that we cannot play it because of

the circumstances, if I could just have a moment to reassess things.

Λ

THE COURT: Certainly. We'll take a short recess at this time.

(Whereupon a recess was taken.)

MR. UPDIKE: Judge, we were wondering, if we skip over a few pages to Page
Fifteen. Detective Inspector Beaver said that he can read this and without the pauses that we have on the tape could read through it pretty quickly.

THE COURT: That would be fine.

(Whereupon the transcript of the interview was read by the witness as follows:)

Your parents disapproved of Jens, didn't they? Before you answer that question, it's half a minute to midnight and Mr. Wright, Detective Constable Wright, has just returned to the room with the letters in question. My question, my last question was, your parents disapproved of Jens, didn't they?

Not particularly. They thought that he was too young for me, they thought that he was too possessive of me and that he took up too much of my time. But at the same time they admired his qualities. He had this fantastic brain and they knew that I enjoyed companionship

with somebody other than Americans.

It's hard to describe when you've never lived in America. They have a different way of thinking, of being and it's hard to be around all the time.

They wanted me to have more than one boyfriend. They were a little bit nervous at the thought that I was so keen on Jens, but they didn't particularly dislike him. I mean they took us out together frequently—well not frequently, but you know, several times.

Yes, I understand. Well I can see that you're obviously heading on to the letters that mattered. I just wondered, in that period of time you've been traveling around Europe, something in the region of eight months, okay?

A Since October.

And certainly it's around fourteen months or thereabouts from the actual terrible weekend as it were; obviously you and Jens have talked about this since.

A Yes. I said that when he started to talk about it, and I meant on a couple of occasions, I told him I don't want to know.

O That's not what I'm asking. I want to know whether or not what he has said if he did kill them.

THE COURT: Excuse me, my copy reads

that's what I am asking, not that's not what I am asking.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Your Honor, I beg your pardon.

Well I can tell you some of the things he

said about it.

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

25

Well, no. I told you, but I don't know very much myself because I couldn't stomach it. I mean it was hard enough to work around and sit in closets and sleep in the same bed and say to myself that person over there that you're spending your life with, he killed your parents. Sitting in the kitchen and thinking, Christine, I said, do you realize you have just baked a cake for a

Q You said that in words to Christine?

A No, I didn't, I was thinking those words.

Q How much did you tell Christine as a very

19 close friend?

murderer?

A I said to Christine, I think Jens has killed my parents.

Q Do you know when you said that to her?

Yes, I said it to her in the--several times, two weeks, in the two-week period before we left.

Q In October?

October. It was between when I gave my blood and fingerprints and footprints to Officer Reid and Gardner.

I--at that stage the guilt was weighing very heavily on me and in my sort of odd way I was trying to help them to go and interview Jens.

I, for instance, I knew Jens was at my apartment when they wanted him and I tried to push them in the right direction because they were trying to get him at his place. And so I told one of the other officers he always lives with me, he doesn't live at his apartment really. And they eventually got in touch with him there.

And during this period when--and they said to me, why won't Jens have his fingerprints and all that stuff done. I said I don't know, but I wish you would ask him instead of asking me. Because they always came to me about it instead of--they never went to him.

A Yes.

A And during that time especially, it was Friday, I can't remember, I wrote to Christine and I said to Christine I think Jens has killed my parents. It was along before that because it just didn't work, I just didn't know what to say to her and she called her work and said that she wasn't coming in.

```
Did you have a heart to heart chat then?
    0
                    No, I didn't tell her.
2
    A
                    You didn't tell her?
3
                    I didn't tell her.
4
    A
                    And you've never told her?
 5
                    I've never told her.
    A
6
                    Just implied by saying I think.
7
    Q
                    Yes, I'd ask questions like, you know,
8
    would you betray this person who is himself responsible,
9
    this sort of thing, you know.
10
                    She must have had a very shrewd idea that
1.1
    Jens did kill your parents then, and with all this sort of
12
    questioning going on, supposedly she's an intelligent
13
    girl, is she?
14
                    Yes, she is. I mean--
15
                    It doesn't matter, really. We're not here
16
    to talk about Christine, anyway."
17
                         THE WITNESS: Then Mr. Wright said
18
                    yes, it does matter.
19
                    "Yes, you asked something.
20
                    Well you don't have to.
21
    Õ
                    Oh, about what Jens said.
22
                   About you finding it distressing, but you
    0
23
    don't have to.
                    It's all right.
    A
```

25

Q Did he tell you some of the things that he had done?

Okay. He--one of the first things he said was my God, your father put up, you know, a hell of a struggle. And then he said that dad had said my God, what do you think you're doing. He said that he killed my mother first, that they had been talking to him like forty minutes and then he stood up and slit her throat.

Did he tell you what room they were in?

He said they were in the dining room. He said that—I think he said my mother got up with her throat slit and started walking out of the dining room and he was struggling with my father. I believe he lost control of the knife and I think he lost his glasses in the fight.

And he said to me that my father was very strong and that he just—he said over and over again, he just wouldn't lie down and die, basically. I know he said that. I don't know what happened about my father, but then he went back to my mother, and I don't know if she was standing up or what was going on, but he then attacked her again because he thought that—I don't know what he thought.

And then he, I suppose, came back to my father. He said that my father was struggling right to

```
the very end and calling out with that enormous strength.
    And I don't know any other details than that.
 2
 3
                    He told you how many times he struck your
    father?
 5
                   No, he didn't. He just told me it had not
 6
    been very nice. And when they told me how bad it was, I
 7
    mean I--I didn't know what to say to him, And he kept
    saying to me well, they just wouldn't lie down and die.
8
9
                    And you stayed with him after hearing all
10
    that?
                         THE WITNESS: There was a long pause
11
                    and she said:
12
                    I was very scared.
13
    Q
                    Were you scared or did you know it was
    going to happen anyway?
15
                    I beg your pardon?
16
17
                    Did you know it was going to happen anyway?
                    What, the murder of my parents?
18
                    Yes.
    Q
19
    A
                    No.
20
                   Not when he goes and buys a butterfly
21
    knife that morning?
22
                   No.
                         I mean it never crossed my mind he was
    A
23
    going to go off and murder my parents.
```

Q

25

Let's look at it this way: Let's make a

start with point number one. When is his brother's birthday?

A I have no idea.

And didn't it cross your mind--let's take the buying of the two cinema tickets; the first cinema you went to, what crossed your mind when he asked you to buy two ticket for that cinema knowing that he was away, as you say seeing his friends. You didn't expect to see him that afternoon, did you, most certainly not at 2:30 in the afternoon.

A I didn't question him at all. I was getting out of the car. I was going into the cinema. He said buy a couple of tickets.

Q But it doesn't make sense to me.

A Well--

You bought two tickets for a cinema. You come out of that cinema, you go to another cinema that he didn't know that you were going to. He was still away with his friends for the day as you say and you buy two tickets for another cinema.

You go back to your hotel, he's still not returned, and to me you waste money buying two meals on his VISA card. Did you sign for those on that card?

A Yes, I've already said that.

You forged his signature?

```
I'm not worried about forging signatures.
    A
                    You know what I'm getting at, don't you?
2
    You knew what was going to happen.
                                         I suggest to you
    Elizabeth, you knew what you were doing all day, didn't
4
    you? You did, didn't you?
5
                   No, I did not.
                   You were creating an alibi.
7
    0
                   That is not true.
8
9
                   Why isn't it true?
                   Because that's not an alibi, that stinks,
10
    that's not an alibi at all. You know that, I know that,
11
    it's nothing.
12
                   So you said you went to the movie.
13
    Q
                   Yeah.
14
    A
                   You bought two tickets?
15
                   Well, yeah. Nobody believes that.
    A
16
                   So why did you buy two tickets then?
17
                   I don't know, he asked me to.
18
    A
                   Why did you buy two meals when he wasn't
19
    there?
20
                    I was expecting him to walk in the door.
21
                   You wasn't expecting him to walk in and sea
22
    Witness with you, you wasn't expecting that. I caught you
23
   out on that one, now you're wrong. Now what's going on?
```

You knew when he bought the knife that morning, you knew

25

when buying those tickets, that he was going for a 1 2 confrontation with your parents. 3 A I did not know that. And your parents were probably going to die 5 as a result of that confrontation, you knew that, Elizabeth. 7 I did not. A After writing all those letters to him. 8 Look, I have enough guilt about egging him 10 on so to speak with those wretched letters. 11 You egged him on all right, not only with Q the letters, you egged him on in private, didn't you 12 13 Elizabeth? You knew it was going to happen and you were 14 creating the alibi while he was committing the crime; that's true, isn't it? Tell me the truth Elizabeth. 15 you going to answer me? Well are you going to answer me? 16 You've written letters to him willing your 17 parents to death, you've led the poor boy to it most 18 probably, or are you both as guilty as each other? 19 All right, I led him into it, I did 20 everything. 21 You knew he was going to do it, didn't you? 22 Did you? 23 I did it myself. 24

Don't be silly.

Q

25

A 1 I got off on it. 2 You did what? What does that mean? 3 I was being facetious. 4 Okay, then, now tell me the truth, please, 5 without being facetious. You did hate your parents. I did not hate my parents. 7 So why did you allow him to do that to your parents, then, and why did you create the alibi knowing 8 9 that it was going to happen? Come on, answer me, just 10 give me an answer. 11 If you think I'm going to be stupid enough 12 to believe that, oh, just buy two tickets, so I bought two tickets. Then you bought two tickets at a cinema that he 13 didn't know you was at, the Witness one, the Witness film 14 two meals inside a hotel room where nobody could see you 15 but eventual proof on a VISA card that two meals were 16 purchased and you forged his signature; what do you want 17 18 me to believe? And an alleged birthday present of a 19 butterfly knife that morning. Come on, now are you going 20 to tell me the truth or not? Well are you? I can't sit 21 here all night not getting an answer. 22 Yes. A 23

I'll tell you the truth.

What?

0

24

25

Page 110

ŧ

```
Q
                   Tell me now then, in your own words, come
    on, Elizabeth. Come on, you told me you were going to
    tell me the truth.
3
                        Tell me why you created the alibi in
    the first place.
5
                    Because he was going to confront my
6
    parents.
7
                   Yes, for what? What was he going to
   confront your parents about?
8
9
    A
                   Their attitude towards me.
10
                   Yes?
11
                   And Jens.
   0
12
                   Yes, it's got a ring of truth to it now.
    I've already spoken to Jens; carry on.
13
14
                   He went down there with the knife with the
   possibility of killing them.
15
                   And you knew that, didn't you, didn't you?
16
   A
                   Yes, I did.
17
                   And how long had you been plotting this?
   Q
18
                   The actual planning for the Washington
19
   thing, it took place, it wasn't too long really; that's
20
   why we didn't have enough money.
21
                   How long had you been talking about killing
22
   your parents?
23
                   A month.
   A
24
                   And before these letters in December?
```

Q

25

A No. I think some of the conversations took 2 place in the car, didn't they, in between the letters? 3 In the car? We didn't have a car. 4 The conversations did take place in 5 between the letters? 7 Oh, yes, we'd seen each other in between letters. 8 9 And a lot took place in between then 10 obviously. 11 No. 12 So what's been wrong, or what was wrong with your parents' attitude towards you?" 13 THE WITNESS: There was a long pause 14 and then she said: 15 "My father was violently jealous of anybody 16 really who I associated with. He disliked anybody I knew 17 He was usually possessive of me. If they were invited to a function which wasn't even appropriate for me to go to, 19 they would insist that I came along. They very rarely 20 21 gave me any space or privacy. If I went upstairs to my room, they were 22

always inquiring what I was doing, not to do it and to

THE WITNESS: The tape ended at that

23

24

25

come down."

stage. It was reversed and I went on to say:

"We're continuing the interview. The fresh tape has been inserted into the machine and it's 12:24 a.m. and Elizabeth Haysom is telling me about her parents attitude and continually ringing her at the university. Would you like to go on?

A My father wouldn't even let me work. He wanted me to be with him all the time.

Q For all these reasons you thought it was-you'd built up so much resentment for all these things
that you thought murder was the answer.

Things had been building up for a very long time. In fact, when they sent me away to school when I was quite young, and when I needed adult guidance, a home of any kind, they would brush me off to the next home.

An example of that is when my father chose my A levels for me, my A level chemistry. It was apparent to everybody, it was apparent to the school, it was apparent to me, it was apparent to the teachers that it wasn't my field. He insisted that it was my field. And about three weeks into the course I went to the administrator and said that it was absolutely ludicrous to continue the course because I couldn't do it."

THE WITNESS: I said:

"So basically--2 Can I finish my thought." 3 THE WITNESS: I said: 4 "Sorry, go on. Light your cigarette first." 5 6 THE WITNESS: She went on to say: 7 "So we phoned home and my parents said oh, nothing, put it in the dirt. I asked for their consent to 8 9 change my A levels and they wouldn't give it. So I 10 persevered for a year with those wretched A levels and then they got my school report and decided that perhaps it 11 12 wasn't my field. After a year? 13 A And I would start again in another 14 Yes. year. 15 I understand." 16 THE WITNESS: She went on to say: 17 "So I went back to school and was gone a 18 year, and I started again. And at that time it was B level, 19 I was going to Trinity Cambridge, Trinity College, and I 20 had complete backing from the school to read history and 21 22 was doing better. How was that made possible then, how was 23

that made possible?

24

25

A

Well I'd done my year and I was into my

fourth term, and I asked my parents if they would come over for that period because it was a black period for me. I needed them and I was very troubled and it was a very troubled adventure to go through.

So you're saying from a multitude of events throughout your life that caused you and Jens Soering to put your heads together between about Christmas 1984 up until the end of March to kill your parents, is that right?

I don't think we seriously discussed it, we discussed it in a sort of grotesque way, general grotesque way for a while, but we didn't say start seriously discussing it until I would say March.

Q The beginning of March?

Maybe the end of February or March, something like that, because it became completely apparent that even my doing German at the university was offensive to my parents because that was in some way giving part of myself to Jens.

Q They disliked Jens, didn't they?

As I said, not particularly Jens, but they disliked him because they knew how very much in love I was with him and they had never known me to be in love. They hadn't been with me.

I'we got to ask you one question. Did you

or do you stand to inherit any money from your parents' death?

What I stand to inherit is enough money to put me through the university. My parents left it so that I would have enough to go through the university; not that I would control it, but the executors of the estate would control it and that they would pay for my education and that's all.

And then the interest and capital would be divided between six of us equally and the capital would eventually be divided amongst greatgrandchildren.

Is it obvious that in the event when you talked about this to Jens--no, I'm sorry, let's go back to the last questions and talk about the whole--when you discussed what you and Jens might do, did you discuss how to throw the police off the scent? Did you talk about or did Jens or yourself come up with any ideas to insure that you and he weren't suspects for the murder?

Well I arranged the alibi, great alibi that it was. Other than that, not really. He wiped his car and his place, I didn't wipe mine.

But you traveled all the way to Maryland for the knife, for the weapon.

A Yes, it's not very far. I mean Washington, D. C. is the District of Columbia, so I mean it's just

1	going out of the district and you're in Maryland or in
2	Virginia.
3	Q Why choose a weapon like that? I can
4	understand you didn't choose a gun because it would make
5	noise and attract attention, but why this particular
6	butterfly knife?
7	A I have no idea, it was Jens' choice.
8	Q Did you see others?
9	A I've never seen one before.
10	Q I see. What does it look like?
11	A Well, if I can remember correctly, it was
12	sort ofthe whole thing was about this long"
13	THE WITNESS: And she gave an example
14	with her hands.
15	A "and the handle turns back.
16	Q Just a moment. Take that slip of paper
17	from the top of that file over there and draw it for us.
18	THE WITNESS: In fact Ms. Haysom did
19	draw the knife for us on a plain piece of
0 0	paper and I said to her:
21	Q "Is that a split down the middle did you
22	say?
23	A She said yes, that's right."
24	THE WITNESS: And then she mumbled a

few things and said:

25

"I don't know how to put it, but it pulled A 1 out. Opened out? 3 Extended. Extended, yeah, that's a good word. 5 many blades does it have? 6 7 One. So it's one blade and two edges. 0 8 Yes, one blade with two edges. 9 10 And a point? And a point, it's not much bigger than 1 1 that." 12 THE WITNESS: Indicating the drawing 13 that she made. I then got her to sign the 14 paper as an exhibit and I went on to talk 15 to her. 16 "You talk about really discussing murdering 17 your parents at the beginning of March. Did you before the last weekend in March decide to go to Washington in order 19 to create such an alibi? 20 Yes. Originally we planned to go to 21 Washington twice. 22 So you'd planned to kill them before or 23 planned for Jens to kill them before. 24

A

25

Page 118

Well as I say, we originally talked about

specific that we were actually going to do it rather than 2 just talk about it. We thought we would go to Washington twice, the first time to set up a precedent, and then--5 Before you go any further, do you know which weekend you did what? No, we never actually went. 7 You planned it? 8 We planned it, we didn't actually do it. 9 We were going to set up a precedent and the weekend that 10 we actually did it, did do it, was supposed to be the 11 precedent weekend. But we discovered that we didn't have 12 enough money to go to Washington again and that--13 Not having enough money to go to Washington 14 again for the weekend, did that account for the last 15 minute arrangements to go out and buy the knife in 16 Maryland? 17 Yes. 18 So you got to Washington on the Friday 19 evening and it was -- and was it Friday evening you decided 20 Saturday was the day, or was It Saturday morning? 21 Saturday. 22 A Saturday norming. And then from then on, 23 that Saturday morning, the events took their course. 24

the only lies you have told me now is from possibly the

25

it in a kind of general way, and then we became more

moment you came out of the Rocky Horror Show."

THE WITNESS: Mr. Wright said, I think you're starting to be precise. If you haven't told the truth in any matters now, then it will be thrown out later on.

"What I'm getting at, you see, you wasn't

What I'm getting at, you see, you wasn't surprised.

Oh, I was surprised, I was, because I didn't think he was going to do it. I mean I didn't think--I knew that he might do it, okay, I mean before the knife, and we had made preparations and had the alibithen, but a large part of me didn't honestly believe that he was going to do it.

I thought he was going to come back and say he had spoken to them and give them some excuse for being there and then just come back and that would be it. I mean I just simply didn't think that he would do it.

But the reason you stayed with him and continued to love him, the real reason is, is because he has carried out your wishes because you wanted both your parents dead.

A That's not why I love him.

Q That's why you don't hate him, because he's only carried out your wishes, hasn't he?

A I don't think that's completely fair.

parents are now dead and you are part and parcel of their 3 death, aren't you? 4 5 Because of their death--okay, I don't think 6 I want to say any more at this stage, I will terminate 7 the interview now, and it is now 12:41. I'm switching 8 9 the tape off now." 10 (Whereupon the reading of the transcript was concluded.) 11 12 BY MR. UPDIKE: (continuing) 13 Thank you, sir, for reading that. 14 Now the drawing of the knife that the defendant did in your 15 presence, I believe we have some copies of that, and would 16 this be a copy of the knife that Elizabeth Haysom drew for 17 you during that point of the interview of the knife and 18 she initialled it there at the bottom? 19 Yes, it is, sir. A 20 We would like to introduce this, please. 21 Q (DRAWING OF KMIFE INTRODUCED AS 22 COMMONWEALTH EXHIBIT 32.) 23 Detective Inspector Beaver, at the 24

conclusion of that interview, later on that night which

1

2

25

Okay, it's a suggestion by me. It wasn't

really a question. The truth of the matter is that your

was becoming actually early that morning, the defendant 1 asked to speak with you all again, is that correct? 2 3 Yes, we received another message, she had rung the bell again and it was during the early hours 4 5 of the morning, sir. 6 And approximately--that statement was very 7 brief, wasn't it; just some several minutes as I recall, is that correct? 8 9 A That's correct, sir, yes. 10 The transcript of the statement is just 11 better than two pages. 12 That's right. Who was present during that interview? 13 On this occasion she agreed to come and 14 talk to us, she wanted to make some points clear to us. 15 hadn't chosen to question her, she wanted to make 16 something clear and she didn't object to Terry Wright or 17 18 Investigator Gardner being with us. 19 And according to the Miranda form that we 20 have here that was administered by Investigator Gardner, 21 but you and Terry Wright also witnessed, this interview 22 would have commenced at 2:06 in the morning on June the 23 9th. A That's correct, sir. 24

25

0

Page 122

And would this -- well it just slightly goes

We would like, Your Honor, to introduce 4 then a copy of this statement and accompanying Miranda 5 form. 6 (6-9-86 STATEMENT OF ELIZABETH 7 HAYSOM INTRODUCED AS COMMONWEALTH EXHIBIT 33.) 8 And if you would, if you would read that 9 statement for us as well. 10 Do you want me to go through the Miranda in 11 full sir? We finished the first tape by saying she was given the Miranda and the British caution. 13 Yes, I don't think it would be necessary to 14 go through that again. Thank you for pointing that out. 15 Yes, sir. A 16 She was asked whether she understood the 17 British caution and she said, yes, I do, and I said fine. 18 And Ms. Haysom went on to say this: 19 (Whereupon the following transcript was read by the witness:) 21 I requested further statement to be given 22 because I felt that I had betrayed my love for Jens, my 23 loyalty to him and that I had done him a disservice. I don't know if the charges against me, what it will be, but 25

into the third page, it would be a transcription of the

That's correct, sir, yes.

statement that Elizabeth Haysom gave at that point.

1

2

3

as I said in one of my letters, we did it together and in some ways I'm more guilty than he is, because I mean he loved me beyond reason; I loved him beyond reason, too.

I loved him beyond reason, and I suppose I used that love, and because of my own weakness of character I many times have tried to wriggle out of that responsibility and that guilt of putting him in this position. I can't do that any longer. I can't bear leaving my last statement as it stands.

I believe Mr. Beaver referred to Jens as that poor boy. I suppose that's accurate, for it was my will that made him kill my parents and he wouldn't have done it, I'm sure, if he hadn't loved me so much and I he.

Also, I want to clear up one point about Christine Kemp. My weakness and my confusion of the guilt and conscience and loyalty, I did say to her on one occasion that I thought Jens might have murdered my parents. However, later on I went out of my way to make sure that she was not suspicious of him in any way. She can't be held responsible, in no way responsible, for ever thinking that Jens killed my parents.

THE WITNESS: Mr. Gardner said, you don't have to say anything else. Ms. Haysom said I don't think so. Mr. Wright then interjected and said:

Yes, there is just one little point, when we started--and this isn't a question to continue the last interview, it's just about what you said there. And in the first few sentences you said that we did it together; if you'd just like to explain that.

I believe in my last letter of April the 18th, which date I have now memorized, I wrote to the effect I was talking about him, thinking. He threatened to give himself in, he threatened to commit suicide and it was about an argument we had because he had seen how upset I actually was about my parents' death.

And he felt that I in some way was now turning on him and accusing him of doing something that I hadn't wanted. And so I was trying to reassure him that in my mind we did it together. Although I wasn't physically present, I suppose I was spiritually there.

Q That's fine, that's just you wanted to clear it up, don't you.

THE WITNESS: Mr. Gardner said, okay, the time is 2:13 a.m., June the 9th, 1986, and the end of interview.

MR. UPDIKE: Thank you again, sir.

Now Your Honor, we have here a statement
that Detective Inspector Beaver took from
the defendant during an interview,

Detective Constable Wright was present, it has reference to the charges there in England.

We would not wish to go into them at this time, but we understand that there will be a request for a presentence report, and we think that this interview may become important at sentencing and we would like to introduce it at this time just for that reason.

THE COURT: All right.

# (INTERVIEW OF ELIZABETH HAYSOM INTRODUCED AS COMMONWEALTH EXHIBIT 34.)

MR. UPDIKE: Your Honor, Mr. Davis and I were just talking, and we were wondering under the circumstances whether this matter would be going into tomorrow and whether we should pursue this tomorrow. We just got this once the officers came here from London last week, that was late Thursday, and I've not provided them a copy.

THE COURT: Well it's our usual adjournment time, it's been a long day, it would be my preference to stop. But again,

if it's a question of a short witness and my staying over, I'll be glad to do it.
Otherwise, I would suggest that we adjourn until tomorrow morning.

MR. UPDIKE: That would be my preference, Judge, it really would, if we could adjourn at this time.

THE COURT: How does the defense feel about that?

MR. DAVIS: We of course would have like to have gotten it over with much sooner than even today at this point, but I think with this statement and with what we anticipate Investigator Gardner will testify to, his cross examination, it may carry at least another hour and a half or so with him. And we just put that to the Court and let the Court make that decision based on that information.

THE COURT: Well my decision then is that we stop at this time. Let's recess Court until 9:30 tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon court was adjourned, to be reconvened at 9:30 a.m., August 25, 1987.)

STATE OF VIRGINIA

AT LARGE, to-wit:

I, Jacquelyn Keen, Notary Public in and for the State of Virginia at Large, do hereby certify that the testimony contained herein was and transcribed by me, and that the foregoing Pages 1 through 127 represent a true and accurate transcript of said proceedings to the best of my Stenographic ability.

My commission expires November 18, 1989.
Witness my hand this 15th day of February, 1989.

Notary Public

#### VIRGINIA:

IN AND FOR THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF BEDFORD

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

V.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ELIZABETH ROXANNE HAYSOM

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Testimony in Support of Guilty Plea
August 25, 1987

#### APPEARANCES:

THE HONORABLE WILLIAM W. SWEENEY, PRESIDING

For the Commonwealth: James W. Updike, Jr., Esq. Bedford County Courthouse Bedford, VA 24523

For the Defendant:

R. Andrew Davis, Esq.
307 West Main Street
Bedford, VA 24523

Hugh J.M. Jones, III., Esq. 8800 Timberlake Road Lynchburg, VA 24502

Reported by: Jacquelyn Keen

ASSOCIATED REPORTING SERVICE

111 Euphan Avenue Lynchburg, Virginia 24502 (804) 525-2345

# I N D E X

	PAGE
KENNETH BEAVER Cross examination by Mr. Jones Redirect examination by Mr. Updike	3 ]. 1
R. W. GARDNER Direct examination by Mr. Updike Cross examination by Mr. Davis Redirect examination by Mr. Updike Recross examination by Mr. Davis	13 37 65 68
TERRY WRIGHT Direct examination by Mr. Updike Cross examination by Mr. Davis	70 71
EXHIBITS	
	PAGE
DEFENDANT'S	
Exhibit A Magazine advertisement	10
COMMONWEALTH 'S	
Exhibit 35 Transcript of 5-8-87 interview	14
Exhibit 36 Transcript of 5-14-87 interview	5 7'

, B

# AUGUST 25, 1987 PROCEEDINGS

9:30 A.M.

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

MR. UPDIKE: Your Honor, we'd like to introduce this just as to a sentencing hearing.

THE COURT: All right, the Commonwealth may proceed.

MR. UPDIKE: Your Honor, I think at this point we would have no further questions for Detective Inspector Beaver.

THE COURT: All right, the defense may examine the witness.

MR. JONES: Your Honor, we do have a few questions we'd like to ask Inspector Beaver about some of the pages that were omitted from his reading yesterday. We don't intend to--

THE COURT: That's all right, take your time.

MR. JONES: Do you have a copy of the June 8 statement? June 8th at 11:15 p.m.

#### CROSS EXAMINATION

## BY MR. JONES:

Inspector Beaver, I'd first like to direct your attention to Page Four of the statement, and in the

long response beginning in the middle of the page about eight or ten lines down, Elizabeth Haysom indicates to you that she only purchased one movie purchase ticket to the Rocky Horror Show, is that correct?

A That's correct, sir, yes.

Now it has come out in testimony from yesterday that there were numerous items of correspondence that were seized from the apartment in which they were living at London, some of those letters which were apparently written prior to the weekend of March 30th, 1985.

A Yes, sir.

Q Did you find any movie tickets in any of those items that were seized in their apartment?

A No, sir.

Q And did you find any credit card receipts relating to the weekend of March 30th, 1985?

No, sir.

And then directing your attention to Page Eight of the statement, at the bottom of the page you start out a question, 'I'd like to know why after Jens told you that he had murdered your parents, why you stayed with him since that date, why you went around, travelled with him to Europe and stayed with him without telling any authority. In fact, is it not fair to say that you lied

to the police officers about that weekend, about certain aspects and why you even stayed with him and travelling to Europe until your arrest over there, would you care to explain that?" And if you would, would you read her response?

There were a number of reasons why I stayed with him. I stayed with him because of guilt. I thought I was responsible for what happened. I felt deeply responsible for what had happened.

"I also had a huge loyalty to Jens. I loved him very dearly and I needed him so much, especially since I didn't have my parents. I know that sounds odd, but I couldn't bear the thought of betraying him and losing him as well. And at that time I also believed it would be the third death on my hands because I believed that he would go to the chair.

Q And then your question is, "For killing your parents?" And her response please?

Her response, "Yes. I was also scared. I thought if I went to an authority, especially the authorities who had been speaking to me, that they would believe that I was part of the crime in a physical sense. Uh, I said that quite a bit I think."

Q Okay, thank you. And then if you would move on to Page Ten, please. I'm sorry, the bottom of

Page Nine, same page that we just finished.

A Yes, sir.

Q Okay, you're inquiring about her--you know, why they, you know, left separately.

A Yes, sir.

And would you read her response at the bottom of that page?

Yes. She said, "Well, for--even though I'd gone to Europe on holiday with Jens, I love him very, very much. I--the thought had never really reconciled in my head, the fact that he had butchered my parents and I had often thought of not necessarily going to the authorities but of speaking to other people about what had happened or give them an idea or whatever.

"And when Jens said to me that he wanted to leave, I told him, made up to him that I could arrange to get passports for us through the IRA, and he believed me because I had come into contact with some rather disreputable types in Berlin when I had been there before.

"And I told him that I could only speak to this guy, Rover, who was fictional, and on Saturday night, that's when we had planned to talk, and Jens bought that. He did several times say to me that if I didn't turn up in Europe--I think he was a little bit suspicious because it was all so out of the blue, while before that he would

either kill himself or he would come back and get me and various things like that."

And you say, "In fact you, picking up that point on the 18th of April, you did write him a letter, didn't you, and mentioned in the letter that he had threatened to turn himself in and commit suicide. That's true, isn't it?" And would you read the response, please?

A She said, "Yes. Once Jens realized how incredibly distressed I was and that my love for my parents was very real, and that although I'd had some very evil thoughts about them, that I had a very special relationship with them as well.

"And he was a bit stunned by that, and it upset him and we had a lot of rows about it. And he did threaten to go to the police and turn himself in. And he said other things about the subject, that I would fry with him."

Q She used the terms rows in that response, do you understand that to mean argument?

Yes, sir.

And then moving on to Page Twelve, please.

And at the bottom of the page your last question is: "Now you told me about earlier on, about your love for your parents, but you also did mention earlier on evil thoughts. Would you care to discuss those with me."

Would you read her response, please.

"Well, as you've read in my letters, I had some absolutely appalling thoughts about a couple of times about my parents. I think I can truthfully say that my parents never deserved that sort of remarks and those remarks came from being very spoiled by my parents. And if I didn't get my way, I didn't shout at them then because I had a great deal of respect for my parents. I very rarely quarrelled with them.

"I would take it out in some form on paper because writing is a sort of relief for me. That was perhaps two percent of the time. The rest of the time I had a superb relationship with my father. My father and I were very close. Sometimes I felt—I can't describe it, irritated; sometimes I felt irritated with his—the fact that he was getting old and that he was getting clumsy and fragile when he was such.

"He had such a wonderful mind and he'd do clumsy things that infuriated me. I don't know how to describe it."

Then if you would move on to Page Fourteen, in the last part of your question at the top of the page you state, "At any time did you discuss the murder of your parents or the killing of your parents with Jens; obviously before the event is what I mean." And would you

Page 8

read her response, please.

Yes. "No, we never discussed it in terms of, you know, why don't we go knock them off, that sort of thing. We did a couple of times talk about the difference in life without parents and we talked about it concerning his parents and concerning if his parents divorced. And we talked about it concerning my parents, but never in a sort of conspiracy to sit down and murder somebody type of thing."

And directing your attention back to your testimony yesterday about the apartment in London where they lived and where this correspondence was seized, did you also find some magazines there?

Yes, we did, sir.

Q And were any of those magazines entitled "Soldier of Fortune"?

A Yes, one magazine.

Q And I'm showing you here a copy of a page from a magazine; do you recognize that?

A Yes, I do, sir.

Q And can you tell us what that is?

A It's an advertisement inside the magazine for a butterfly knife, full butterfly knives.

And is that a copy of the page of the magazine that you found in the apartment?

MR. JONES: I'd like to submit this 2 into evidence. 3 (ADVERTISEMENT MARKED DEFENDANT'S 4 EXHIBIT A.) 5 BY MR. JONES: (continuing) 6 Okay, now Inspector Beaver, during the same 7 weekend that you were questioning Elizabeth Haysom you 8 also questioned Jens Soering, is that correct? 9 Yes, I did, sir. 10 And there were one or two occasions in 11 which you had conversations with him that were not 12 recorded. 13 Yes, sir. 14 But you made a written memorandum of those 15 conversations, is that correct? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 18 And I'm showing you a copy of a written memorandum of a conversation on June the 5th at 11:14 19 p.m.; is that your memorandum of that conversation with 20 Mr. Soering? 21 22 It's a statement that I've prepared from the memorandum, but it's a true account of what happened, 23 sir, yes. 24

Yes, sir, it is.

1

25

A

At the bottom of that statement you state,

"I told him that I couldn't really discuss the matter with him but asked him why he was asking such questions"; and he was asking you questions about what might happen to him? 5 A He was, sir, yes. And would you tell us what his response was to that? 7 A This response here on the second page, sir? 8 9 It's quoted on your memorandum, so I assume it's his exact words. 10 Oh, it's his exact words, yes, sir. 11 A Would you read those, please? 12 He said, "Because I murdered two people, 13 you know that." 14 Thank you. 15 MR. JONES: I have no further 16 17 questions.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. UPDIKE:

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If I could ask you just a couple of questions in response, please, Detective Inspector. The references that you were requested to read concerning some threats directed by Soering towards Elizabeth, they were in the context of occurrences after the murders, wouldn't that be correct, rather than before the murders?

A Yes, sir.

And again, to put this reference to threats in perspective, without us having to actually get the letters out and read them and things of that nature, I'd like to establish with you if I might that while the two of them were incarcerated there in London, isn't it true that there were love letters passing between the two of them even at that point in time?

A Yes, sir. That would be about May time, May of '86.

Q '86.

A '86, yes, sir.

And again, without reading the letters, there is one that comes to mind, I hope that you might recall it, but is there one that Elizabeth Haysom wrote to Jens Soering that—in which she describes what she would like to do with him and those descriptions are very sexually explicit?

A Yes, sir, they are.

And again, this particular letter that I'm describing would have been mailed from her--or excuse me, the communication would have been intended to go from her from the prison in which she was being incarcerated to the prison where he was incarcerated.

A Yes, sir. Of course some of the letters

were not posted from the prison, they would be passed at 1 their appearances at the Richmond Crown Court in England 2 as well when they came together on a weekly basis there, 3 sir. They would pass those types of notes there, 0 They would pass notes. It's common 6 knowledge that they do pass little notes to each other 7 when they appear and stand in the dock together, sir. 8 MR. UPDIKE: Thank you. I don't 9 believe I have any further questions. 10 THE COURT: All right, thank you very 11 much. You may step down. 12 (Witness stood aside.) 13 THE COURT: Call your next witness, 14 please. 15 16 17

RICKY GARDNER, was recalled as a witness and having been duly sworn was examined and testified further as follows:

# DIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. UPDIKE:

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Investigator Gardner, I believe the date was May the 8th, 1987; on that date Elizabeth Haysom was returned from England to Bedford County, is that correct? Α Yes, sir. And later that night, upon her arrival Q

Page 13

there at the sheriff's department, is it true that you had the occasion to interview her once again? 3 Yes, sir, I did. 4 And that interview was tape recorded, is 5 that correct? Yes, sir, it was. And we're not going to be playing the recording, but we would like to introduce a copy of the 8 transcript and make certain references to it. This transcript dated May the 8th, 1987, 10 11 the Miranda form and so forth, would that be a transcript 12 of the interview that you conducted at that time? Yes, sir, it is. 13 And we would like to introduce this, 14 Q please. 15 (5-8-87 TRANSCRIPT INTRODUCED AS 16 COMMONWEALTH EXHIBIT 35.) 17 And do you have a copy of that transcript the 18 Yes, sir, I do. A 19 The transcript of course is rather lengthy, 20 eighty-five pages. If I could just refer you to certain 21 portions, I'd like to ask you about certain portions and 22 then perhaps read others. 23

true that Elizabeth Haysom states that, and confirms, "I

24

25

But at the bottom of Page I'wo, it would be

rented a car and we drove to Washington and we booked into I believe the Marriott Hotel." She's confirming that she rented the car at that point.

A Yes, sir.

And on Page Three there's that same confirmation, would that also be correct, and the confirmation from Elizabeth Haysom that she made the reservation at the Marriott Hotel, would that also be correct?

A Yes, sir, that's right.

Now concerning the knife itself, I think she gives a rather long description concerning that. If you wouldn't mind just reading that portion beginning at the bottom of the page, at Page Three through Page Four and part of the way down on Page Five, please, sir.

A Elizabeth Haysom: "The 29th of March."

Question: "The 29th of March, right, okay So on Friday the 29th of March, you rented a car and drove to Washington, D. C. Okay. Now when did--on Saturday morning did you say you went and purchased a knife, is that right?"

Response: "Yes."

Question: "Now Jens was with you when this took place, right? And I believe you said that you went to a martial arts store of some kind in Washington, D. C."

Page 15

Response: "No, in Maryland."

Questions: "In Maryland. Did you go to another shop in Washington and they wouldn't sell it to you and then you went to Maryland, was referred to Maryland?"

Response: "We went to one place in Washington and they told us, I believe it seemed like we couldn't buy and they told us of a place to go."

Question: "In Maryland?"

Response: "In Maryland."

Question: "So you went and purchased a

knife."

3

6

7

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Response: "Yes."

Question: "Now the knife, and I believe I've got in my briefcase here, I've got a diagram; this is a copy that was given to me by Detective Terry Wright on June the 6th--June the 9th, 1986. Did you draw this?"

Response: "Yes, I did."

Question: "It's got folded up here and split here and extended. Do you know what this knife is referred--what it is referred to be?"

Response: "I believe it's called a butterfly knife."

Question: "A butterfly knife, okay. For the sake of asking, why did you buy a knife?"

Page 16

Response: "To kill my parents." 2 Question: "To kill your parents. So what 3 you're saying is you and Jens prior to February the 29th, 4 had talked about killing your parents." 5 "Yes." Response: 6 Question: "Okay. How long or how in time 7 had you and Jens talked of doing this?" Response: "Hmm, a while". 8 9 Question: "For a while?" Response: "Specifically I'd say three or 10 11 four weeks, maybe." Question: "Three or four weeks?" 12 Response: "Yes." 13 Question: "Prior to March the 29th?" 14 "Yes, uh-huh. But I had it in Response: 15 my mind for some time." 16 Thank you for reading to that point. 0 17 again making some quick references, please, on Page Six, 18 she again confirms purchasing the knife, is that correct? 19 Yes, sir. 20 A And then she states that Jens dropped her 21 off in Washington and that he drove to Lynchburg, is that 22 23 also correct? Yes, sir. A 24

25

Q

Halfway down the page there. Now in the

interview that you're familiar with conducted by Officers
Beaver and Wright, Ms. Haysom mentioned something about
going to a cinema and buying two tickets. At the bottom
of Page Six, what does she have to say just basically about
the cinema? She changes that statement, is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q And states that she was supposed to go to
the cinema, and they had agreed that she would go to the
cinema and purchase two tickets, but actually she went to
a bar that was in that same area of the movie house.

A Yes, sir.

And on Page Seven we have the agreement to purchase the two tickets that they had entered into.

A (Witness nods in the affirmative.)

On Page Nine, would it be correct that she describes on that page that she later that day, this being Saturday, went back to the Marriott Hotel, that she--let me ask you to read that, if I might, Page Nine, starting with the question, okay, and what time of day was it.

A Okay. Question: "Okay, and what time of day was it when you think you got back to the Marriott?"

Response: "I don't know, I really can't remember, but it was dark. So it must have been around 6:00 p.m. or 7:00 p.m., and I went in and had a shower and

Page 18

then I ordered a meal, two meals." "Room service?" Ouestion: 2 "Room service." Response: 3 "And it was brought to your Question: 4 room?" 5 "Yes, I ordered quite a bit of Response: 6 alcohol and I signed for it in Jens' name." 7 Question: "What kind of credit card was 8 it?" 9 Response: "A VISA card. It was a VISA 10 card." 11 "It was a VISA card. Ouestion: 12 obviously I know what you were doing, but for the benefit 13 of the tape, what were you doing?" 14 Response: "I was creating an alibi." 15 Okay, thank you. But she goes on to 16 state, continuing there on Page Ten, that after ordering 17 the meals at the Marriott she went to the Rocky Horror 18 Picture Show as they had agreed, is that correct? 19 Yes, sir. 20 And on down Page Ten, does she state that 21 after the movie, "So I stood in the streets outside the 22 building where we agreed to meet and he came along in the 23 car and I got in the car." 24

Yes, sir.

And Page Eleven, she begins describing 2 opening the car door, seeing Jens Soering inside with the 3 bed sheet wrapped around him and she describes the blood all over everything, the sheet itself and all over the 4 car; would that also be correct? 5 Yes, sir. 7 Page Twelve she states that once they got back to the hotel Soering told her to get the garage 8 ticket so that no one would see him and she in fact did this, would that be correct? A Yes, sir. Coming on down Page Twelve, did she state that she was wearing a big overcoat and she told Soering 13 to put the overcoat on--Yes, sir. A -- and to wear that. And that he wore her 16 big overcoat going up the elevator back to the room. (Witness nods in the affirmative.) Is that correct? Q Yes, sir, that's true. A And about three-quarters down Page Twelve, did she state that at some point Soering told her that his hand had been cut at the Haysom residence.

Yes, sir.

10

11

12

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

Page 20

And that brings to mind, when you were in

London in June of '86 and during your interviews with Soering, did you take note of whether or not his hands were 2 3 injured in some fashion? Yes, sir, I did. 5 Tell us about that, please. While speaking with Jens Soering in Richmond, England, we were talking about what happened at the house that night and he showed me his left hand. And 8 he showed me his index finger on his left hand and his 10 small finger on his left hand and he showed me scars, and he said these are injuries that I received at Loose 11 Chippings that might. 12 And I think it's obvious, but Loose 13 Chippings is the name that Mrs. Haysom was it, gave to the 14 Haysom residence? 15 Yes, sir, the Haysom residence on Holcomb A 16 Rock Road. 17 18 Page Thirteen, could you read briefly about the knife once again. By the way, how did you pay for the 19 knife; that's about three-quarters of the way down. 20 Question: "By the way, how did you pay for the knife?" 22

"Cash."

Question: "And whose cash was it?"

Response: "I was carrying all the cash."

Response:

21

23

24

Question: "You were carrying all the cash so you paid for the knife?"

Response: "Yes."

O Thank you. All right on Page Fifteen, would it be true that in this area of the transcript she's talking about, I believe Jens Soering has gone to sleep at this point and she goes down to clean the car.

A Yes, sir.

Q Clean the blood out off of the steering wheel, rear view mirror. And does she also state about three-quarters of the way down that Soering didn't want the dog remains on the front of the car. Had Soering told you something about hitting a dog in the area of the Haysom residence?

A Yes, sir, he did.

And she states here that he wanted her to clean the dog remains off of the car.

A Yes, sir.

Page Sixteen, does she describe that she threw the clothes, Jens Soering's clothes that is, away at the University of Virginia?

Yes, sir, she does.

Page Nineteen, if you would just read some several lines there at the top of the page beginning with your question, were you relieved at this point that your

parents were dead.

A Okay. Question: "Were you relieved at this point that your parents were dead?"

Response: "No."

Question: "You weren't?"

Response: "At no point afterwards was I relieved that they were dead."

Question: "Okay, I'm just trying to--I'm not trying to put words in your mouth, I'm just trying to get your feeling."

Response: "Well afterwards I should have

been relieved. No, my first thought was at least Jens was alive and, uh, my next thought was to save our skins."

Thank you. Page Twenty, does she indicate there at the middle of the page that she didn't sleep that night, but that when the morning arrived she went down and checked the car again to make sure that she had cleaned it properly because the lighting had been poor the night before, or during the night?

A Yes, sir.

Page Twenty-One, she again confirms at the bottom of the page that the business about going to the Rocky Horror Picture Show was to create an alibi, would that be correct?

A Yes, sir.

Page 23

Page Twenty-Three and Twenty-Four, in that area, you actually show her the rental form from the Pantops Texaco in Charlottesville, is that correct, and she confirms that she's the one that rented the car, that that's her signature on it and things of that nature. A Yes, sir, it is. At the top of Page Twenty-Six she again confirms that -- about purchasing the knife, that you and he had purchased the knife, I think you asked her. A Yes, sir. But at any rate, coming on down Page Twenty-Six, could you read the portions there where she's saying what Soering indicated what happened at the Haysom residence, beginning with--well no, a--he said that he went down there and he talked to them, beginning there perhaps, with her response. I'm sorry, Page Twenty-Six?

2

3

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page Twenty-Six, uh-huh. You asked the question--make the statement okay, I'm sorry, but you said he wasn't very lucid about it.

A Okay. Question: "Okay, but you say he wasn't very lucid about it."

Response: "No, he said that he went down there and he talked to them for about three-quarters of an hour."

were drinking or not?" 2 Response: "Hmm, yes, they were drinking." 3 Question: "Were they drinking and did-they were drinking, and did he have any drinks with them? 5 "Yes, he did." Response: 6 Question: "Okay, go ahead. He talked to 7 them for three-fourths of an hour, which would be forty-8 five minutes. 9 Response: "Yes, uh-huh." The way he said 10 it, there was a lull in the conversation and he decided to 11 go for it during this lull in the conversation." 12 Question: "Did he say which room they were 13 in when this took place?" 14 Response: "No, he didn't tell me which 15 room they were in, but he did say he attacked my mother 16 first." 17 Question: "He said he attacked your mother 18 first, are you sure of that?" 19 Response: "Yes." 20 Question: "Okay, did he tell you, was 21 he--" 22 Response: "He said that he attacked my

mother first and that there was one hell of a fight. He

said he got his glasses -- he said he lost his glasses at.

23

24

Question:

"Did he say whether your parents

one point. He said he lost control of the knife one time 2 and then he said my father just wouldn't die. He kept 3 saying that over and again." Okay, thank you. Then coming on down to Page Twenty-Seven, the last response of Ms. Haysom, he didn't really go into description, if you would pick it up there and read just briefly, please. 7 Question: "So he said he attacked your 8 9 mother first. Did he say how he attacked her." "He didn't really go into the 10 Response: 11 description, he just said that -- he just said that he tried to slit her throat and it wasn't like in the movies." 12 Please continue. 13 Ouestion: "Did he say that he had slit 14 your father's throat?" 15 Response: "Yes, he just said that there 16 had been a terrible struggle with my father and that my 17 father would not lie down and die." 18 Question: "How did he get in the house?" 19 "My father let him in." 20 Response: Question--21 All right, please continue, I'm sorry. 22 Q -- "Okay, did he tell you that he was at 23 Loose Chippings twice that evening?" 24

Response: "Yes, at some point, and I

1

really--I don't remember when but it can't be too much later. He told me that he had gone and done it and for some reason, which he never explained to me fully or possibly at all, whatever, he just--he kept saying he thought somebody was watching him or he hadn't done something, he went back."

Question: "Well could it have been that he left the lights on possibly?"

Response: "No. He didn't say anything about the lights; he never mentioned lights to me. He just said that he went back and I think he said he went back to make sure that they were dead."

And at the bottom of Page Twenty-Eight,
does Ms. Haysom indicate that she had given her key to the
residence to Jens Soering?

A Yes, sir.

On Page Thirty-One, the long response at the bottom by Ms. Haysom, I was extremely upset.

A Okay.

Q If you would read that please.

Elizabeth Haysom: "I was extremely upset because I hadn't really heard from various people, and I pointed out to her that yeah, there are many people who would say that I made Jens kill my parents, or I caused him to kill my parents. And that's very possibly true, but

after, after he had done it, he thought that killing people was quite a nice occupation. And I said to him, I think my exact words were something along the line that his parents wouldn't be giving me such a hard time if they knew I prevented him from doing them as he had done my parents.

"And because I'm older than he is and more experienced, whatever, uh, and because he is so innocent and charming and well spoken and has this beautiful past and I have this horrible past and the rest of it, people see me as the manipulator, and I say that's quite possibly true at one stage. The roles quickly reversed, um, after. We had some really different feelings and he wanted to kill Howard, he disliked Howard."

Question: "So he talked of killing Howard."

Response: "Yes."

Okay, thank you. Then just continuing very quickly if I could to go through this, the bottom of Page Thirty-Seven, going to the top of Page Thirty-Eight, is Ms. Haysom indicating there that there was a drastic change in Jens Soering after the murders?

A Yes, sir.

Q And coming on down until almost the middle of the page, she indicates that after they left Virginia,

that he took control, all I can really say is he really took control.

A Yes, sir.

I think there are just a very few more questions I'd like to ask you, but on Page Forty-Six, you put the specific question to Ms. Haysom why are your parents dead, do you see? Begin reading her response there at that question if you would, please. Let me ask you this--

A "Let me ask you this point blank: Why are your parents dead?"

Response: (Long pause) "That's a very difficult question. Uh, they're dead because--see the thing with that is my reasons that I see now may be different from the reasons I had then, uh. And at one time they were going to separate Jens and myself, they were making the arrangements to leave Virginia."

Question: "They were making arrangements for you to leave Virginia or them to leave Virginia?"

Response: "All of us."

Question: "And go where?"

"I don't know, but they talked about me going back to Canada. In Halifax they put the house up for sale. And at that point I could not bear the thought of being separated from--"

And the tape ended at that point, is that correct? 2 3 End of the tape side. 4 But on the next page she just continued 5 that thought with she could not stand the thought of being separated from Jens. But she states, but there's a lot 7 more to the story. Yes, sir. 8 9 Now rather reading all of this, on Page Forty-Seven is she in essence there talking about that she 10 11 was something of a special child and that she was the child of both Mr. and Mrs. Haysom, the only natural child 12 of the two of them whereas the other children were just--13 would be children of either one or the other? 14 15 Yes, sir. And that because of that, Mr. and Mrs. 16 Haysom expected a lot of her, wanted her to be successful 17 and things of that nature; is that what she goes into? 18 Yes, sir. 19 Coming to the next page, I believe you put 20 the question to her whether she resented her parents 21 sending her to Europe to go to school, and she states at 22 one point, "I did feel that very strongly." And does she 23

go on to talk about her father wanting her to be an

engineer and requiring that she take A levels, I assume to

24

be majoring, to be the same as what we call major in science, and that majoring in science didn't suit her and she didn't work out well with that and that at some point her parents called Wickham Abbey and changed her A levels for her without discussing that with her ahead of time; would all that be basically a summary of it?

A That's correct.

And she states that because of these, she resented this type of control over her life. And I'm on Page Fifty-One at this point.

But continuing along that vein, if I could direct your attention to Page Fifty-Two at the top of the page. Could you read your question and her response, staring with okay, let's go back to the original question.

A Question: "Okay, let's go back to the original question, and I think that that was why your parents are dead. Was the main motive for their murders the fact that you and Jens were so much in love and that they were bitterly opposed to any future that you and Jens may share together?"

Response: "Yes. And I also--also looking at my brother's situation, although they were cut away from the fold, my family living far away, their daily lives were still manipulated and interfered with by my parents and I wamted them to, I suppose, really to leave

Page 31

1 me alone." 2 Thank you. Page Fifty-Four, at the top of 3 the page, about a quarter of the way down you ask her 4 whether she loved her mother. Could you read that 5 question and her response please. 6 Okay. 7 That would be fine, just start with about 8 my mother. 9 A Okay. Response: "About my mother?" 10 "Did you love her?" Question: 11 Response: "It's very strange, but when she 12 was living there were moments when I deeply loved her, but for some odd reason I can only remember"--I'm sorry--"but 13 for some odd reason I can only remember the sort of 14 torment she put me through, the taunting, the hysteria. 15 Whereas my father, I miss him a great deal." 16 17 Okay. Page Sixty-Four, at the bottom of 18 the page there is just the one question by you and the response that I'd like to get. But you put the question to her, "So in essence, I guess point blank and to the 20 point, he went there to kill them." And her response 21 22 was, "Exactly." (Witness nods in the affirmative.) A 23 Is that correct? 24 0

(Witness nods in the affirmative.)

A

Starting with Page Sixty-Six, I believe that you're questioning her there concerning the joint diary that has been introduced, and she reads portions of it and confirms portions of it and discusses the diary with you, would that be correct?

A Yes, sir.

Page Sixty-Seven, the business that we may have heard in the diary referring to the tumor. She laughed about that and said no, she didn't have a tumor and she has some explanation here about that. But there was nothing to the tumor business, was there?

No, sir, there wasn't.

And I believe you go through a number of the letters that we have introduced with her as she confirms having written them and you all discussed the letters in detail. But I would like to ask you about one quote that you directed to her attention on Page Seventy-Eight. If you could start reading at her response where she says I woke up and I'm still alone. On Page Seventy-Eight, about halfway down.

Elizabeth Haysom: "I woke up and I'm still alone. A day of raining loneliness. This morning I built my father a desk; it took all morning. I didn't smoke. Then I went shopping; I bought cigarettes. Jens is trying to make me quit. I bought other things, too.

"My father fell down, I prayed, he got up, then I came home."

Question: "You prayed what?"

Response: "I just have written down I

prayed."

Question: "You remember what you were praying?"

Response: "I'm quite sure at the time I didn't do anything of the sort, but in retrospect I prayed that--"

Question: "That what?"

Response: "I didn't like him and I wanted him dead. I mean I'll say it 100 times if you want, I admit my guilt to that and all the rest of it."

Thank you. You asked something of a summary question I guess, beginning on Page Eighty-Two.

Maybe if you'd just start reading there with your question at the middle of the page where you're saying, and this goes along with establishing an alibi.

A Question: "And this goes along with establishing an alibi. So I'm just trying to sum this thing up and if I'm wrong, you jump right in and tell me. And I don't mean to put words in your mouth and I know that you're not going to let me do that. But you and Jens had talked about murdering your parents for some

weeks."

Response: "Yes."

Question: "Months?"

Response: "No, weeks."

Question: "Weeks. Did you ever ask him to

kill your parents, I mean ask him?"

Response: "No, weeks. I don't think so.

I was going to do it myself, uh, he said he wanted to do

it for me because he loved me."

Question: "And you loved him."

Response: "I suppose without ever actually in the letters or the thing asking him in spirit, yes.

You understand what I mean?"

Question: "Uh-huh."

Response: "It was no question it was my fault, all right? Not in my mind anyway. I knew that I couldn't do it, I didn't think he could do it either, but I knew I definitely couldn't do it. So when he said he would do it, I didn't say no."

Okay, thank you. And finally, on Page Eighty-Four, if you could read where you begin with the question there nearly at the top of the page, you think Jens was in this by himself.

A Question: "You think Jens was in this by himself?"

Answer: "No, he was in this with me." 2 Question: Was there anyone else at the 3 house in your opinion?" 4 Answer: "No." 5 Question: "That Saturday evening." "Not at all." 6 Response: 7 Question: "Wasn't nobody else?" Response: "No." 8 Question: "So to sum it up, you and Jens 10 Soering talked of killing your parents some several 11 weeks." 12 Response: "It was premeditated." Question: "Prior to March the 29th. And 13 he went to the house and murdered your parents and you 14 assisted him in establishing an alibi both before and 15 after the two murders." 16 17 Response: "Yes." 18 Thank you. And after that occasion on May the 8th, 1987, you also interviewed her on two occasions 19 after that I think, what were they, May the 11th and May the 14th? 21 22 A Yes, sir. 23 I don't think that I will be asking you questions about -- the May 11th interview was not taped, is 24

that correct?

No, it was not. The May 14th interview was taped, and I'm not going to be asking you any questions about it, but I would like to introduce a copy of the transcript of that. Would that basically be that you went through items of 5 correspondence that she had written and she read them for you and explained some of the contents? 7 Yes, sir. 8 9 If we could introduce a copy of that, 10 please. Of course throughout this, as we've been 11 referring to Elizabeth Haysom, we've been referring to the defendant seated over here, is that correct? 12 Yes, sir. 13 14 And the Haysom residence, where the bodies were found and where all of this occurred is of course located here in Bedford County, is that correct? 17 Yes, sir, it is. MR. UPDIKE: Answer any questions, please, that defense counsel may have for

## CROSS EXAMINATION

you.

## BY MR. DAVIS:

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Investigator Gardner, I don't know whether you've had an opportunity to take up to the stand with you the statements that you took from Jens Soering on June

No, sir, I do not. I have about ten questions combined to ask you about those statements. Can you come down and get copies of those transcripts? MR. UPDIKE: Sure, we can provide them to you. You think that you could use my copies here? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. DAVIS: (continuing) Investigator Gardner, if I could, you did take a statement from Jens Soering on June the 5th in Richmond, is that correct? Richmond, England? Yes, sir. And if I could direct your attention to Q that statement that was taken on June the 5th at 8:05 p.m. A Yes, sir. And direct your attention to Page Three. Yes, sir. About halfway down you ask Jens Soering, did she--and you're referring to Ms. Haysom here, did she

know the reason you were going there. And by the context

of the statement, you're talking about going to the Hayson

residence in Bedford County.

5th, 6th 7th and 8th, 1986; do you have those with you?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Yes, sir. 1 A 2 In response to that question did she know 3 the reason you were going there, what was Jens Soering's response? "Did she know the reason you were going there?" 7 Jens Soering: "(Long pause) I would have to say that we had discussed it obviously, but I don't 9 think that either she or I were truly clear about what was going to happen at all." 10 Go ahead, the next response. 11 Okay. Question: "I want"--Jens Soering 12 "We were--sooner or later I was going to have response: 13 to speak, okay, to Mr. and Mrs. Haysom about their 14 feelings about me anyway, and I don't know Elizabeth -- I 15 don't know how Elizabeth felt about me driving down there 16 or what, what her--what she thought my motives were or 17 what she thought." 18 All right. And continuing on in that 19 statement on Page Nine. And I believe you were present 20 when Sergeant Beaver asked the question of Jens concerning 21 the reason for going down there, about halfway down Jens 22 answers, um, well; can you pick up what his response was? 23 "Um, well, I think the problem with that is

these are the words going to--and as I was saying earlier

Α

24

25

before the tape was on, unfortunately certainly in my mind I was not at all concerned as to what was going to 3 happen." Could that word concerned in fact be 4 1 certain on the tape, did you listen to that? Certainly in my mind I was not at all certain as to what was going 7 to happen? It could be, yes, sir. A 8 9 Because concerned doesn't really fit in 10 with that --11 Right. A --is that correct? 12 I'd have to listen to the tape. 13 And at the bottom of that page in response 14 again to Sergeant Beaver's question about Soering's intent 15 for going down there, could you read the last response of Jens Soering? Between her? 18 19 Yes, sir. "Between her, right, I think the way I 20 would view the situation was that I wanted to see what the 21 parents' attitude really was, because after all, I had 22 only met them once for a very short lunch, and uh, I 23 obviously went there with certain preconceptions,

expecting certain things, all right, certain attitudes of

24

25

Uh, but God knows I was not at all set." theirs. Set to do what, and he says, set to kill them. 3 Sergeant Beavers, question: "Set to do A what?" 5 Jens Soering: "Set to kill them." 6 7 And that would be on Page Nine, I believe. On Page Eleven, at the top of that page, does he again say 8 that I think it would be fair to say that my mind was not 9 set to do anything in a firm way? 10 Yes, sir. 11 On Page Twelve, I believe you asked Mr. 12 Soering about the altercation that took place and about 13 how the situation between Elizabeth and her parents was to 14 be handled, that is concerning her relationship with Jens 15 Soering, is that correct? 16 A Yes, sir, I did. 17 And what was Mr. Soering's response to how 18 that situation should be handled starting with started. 19 Page Twelve? 20 Yes, sir, at the very top. 21 Okay, I said "Okay, you were saying the 22 altercation." 23 "Started about painting, but it Response: 24

quickly cleared over into the -- into a -- I can't remember

the other subjects we discussed, too. It ended up being about, you know, about Elizabeth and me and how inappropriate that relationship was. After that, I think it would be fair to say that Elizabeth agreed it was inappropriate but completely disagreed on how to handle the situation or what to do with it.

"I unfortunately don't remember details, okay, of what was said or who said what."

So basically Jens Soering is saying that Ms. Haysom agreed--disagreed, Elizabeth Haysom disagreed with him on how to handle the situation between the two of them.

A That's what it says.

Could I direct your attention to June the 6th, the next day, 11:40 p.m. Again, you had the opportunity to talk Mr. Soering, and if I could direct your attention to Page Eleven, and this would have been, again, the next day. Does Mr. Soering reiterate the reason that he did not go to Loose Chippings in the middle of the page where he begins that same statement I made yesterday?

Jens Soering: "That was the same statement
I made yesterday. I did not go to Loose Chippings to kill.
Mr. and Mrs. Haysom."

Q On Page Twenty-Three of that same

statement, did Jens Soering say what role that he felt that Elizabeth Haysom would take in the responsibility for what had happened? Beginning with well, like I said yesterday?

A Twenty-Three?

Q Yes, sir.

ì

A Well like I--yes, sir.

Q What did Jens Soering say?

"Well like I said yesterday, I'm afraid that she might try to fabricate a story. I would think that originally she would try to—and I'm not going to make a statement about my guilt or innocence with that, but I assume that she is the reason, she is the reason"—excuse me—"I assume that the reason she will try to make up a story which would be to the point we're innocent, and I assume that you will confront her with the evidence you have and that she will then change her story, perhaps to one in which she makes more or most—takes more or most of the blame for herself in an effort to do me a favor by that."

Could I refer you to June the 7th, the next day that you spoke to Mr. Scering on Page Forty-One. Are you at that page?

A Yes, sir.

I believe that you confronted Mr. Soering

with a threat that he had supposedly made towards Elizabeth Haysom that he would kill her, is that right? 2 3 I asked him about that, yes, sir. And in response to that, after, it appears to be about twenty lines going back and forth, he finally 5 says that he denied making that statement, isn't that correct? A Yes, sir. R 9 But it takes him, based on here, probablybased on the tape we heard earlier and the length of time 10 that it would take, it probably took him three or four 11 minutes to deny making that statement, would that be a 12 fair estimate of the time? 13 Yes, sir. 14 15 On Page Forty-Nine, on June the 7th again where it has Investigator Gardner there in the middle of 16 17 the page; are you at that page? 18 Yes, sir. I believe that Jens requested that 19 something be taken off the -- that the statement be taken 20 without benefit of the tape recorder. And is it at that 21

point that Jens Soering again told you that he did not go

to Loose Chippings that night with the intentions of

A Yes, sir.

killing Mr. and Mrs. Haysom?

22

23

On June the 8th, I believe you took one final statement from Mr. Soering, and if I could direct your attention to that, it was basically I think an oral 3 statement. (Witness nods in the affirmative.) Would that be accurate? Q 6 Yes, sir. A And I believe at that point, in the middle of the page, Mr. Spering said that he had thrown away two knives; almost in the middle of the page. 10 Page One? 11 Page Three? 12 Yes, sir. 13 And on the next page, eight lines down, 14 Mr. Soering makes the statement that we've heard before. 15 "I fell in love with the girl, we talked about killing her 16 parents, I didn't want to do it but I drove to their house 17 to kill them, I got caught." 18 Yes, sir. 19 And according to your statements, he 20 further states that even though he and Elizabeth had 21 considered the possibility of killing her parents, that 22 that was not his intent or the sole reason that he was 23

going to Loose Chippings, and that he and Elizabeth hoped

very much that nothing was going to happen that night and

24

25

that possibly by him going to Loose Chippings the Haysoms may give their blessing to their relationship.

A Yes, sir.

Investigator Gardner, when you got--when Ms. Haysom here arrived at the Bedford County Jail on May the 8th late at night, you took a statement from her I believe at 10:55 which the Commonwealth has already gone over.

A Yes, sir.

Q So you have that statement there with you?

A Yes, sir, I do.

And if I could just refer to it briefly, beginning with Page Five, and Mr. Updike has mentioned some of these things already, but Elizabeth Haysom on Page Five in fact said that she never did attend the cinema with the exception of the Rocky Horror Show.

A That's true.

And so based on questions that Mr. Jones asked previously, there were not tickets found of any type.

A No, sir.

And in fact the room service bill which has the listing of room service on March the 30th, of 1985, does not have any signature on it, it just says for signature seen on file, would that be accurate? It

doesn't have Soering's signature on it? 1 I don't believe it has a signature on it, A 2 no, sir. 3 And I believe earlier in a statement 4 Ms. Haysom said that she had signed her name to a VISA 5 card when the room service came up. Yes, sir. 7 And in fact that did not check out. 8 9 Well I can explain that, but--10 I mean there was no VISA card with her name signed to it that you're aware of. 11 Right. 12 On Page Nine of that May the 8th statement, 13 I believe you state that Elizabeth's first reaction upon 14 seeing Jens was one of oh, my God, what happened, about 15 two-thirds of the way down? 16 I'm sorry, what page, Mr. Davis? 17 18 Q It would be on Page Nine. Yes, sir. 19 And on Page Eleven, according to what 20 Elizabeth says, upon his return, and this is two-thirds of 21 the way down on Page Eleven, she states that Jens, he was 22 more concerned about me doing my bit, he gave me a set of 23 instructions, would that be accurate?

Yes, sir.

25

A

And in fact on Page Seventeen, Ms. Haysom 2 admits that she really only purchased one ticket and not 3 two which she had earlier admitted to. It would be on Page Seventeen about midway down. I think Mr. Updike has asked you about that, about the creating of an alibi but that she really only purchased one ticket, the one for the Rocky Horror Show right in the middle of the page? Yes, sir, I'm trying to find it but I can't 8 9 find that. Seventeen? 10 Yes, sir, right in the middle of the page. 11 I only ever purchased one, the one for the Rocky Horror 12 Show. I'm sorry, I don't see that here. 13 Okay, that's fine, I'm just rehashing that 14 anyway. And I will attempt not to do that any further. 15 On Page Twenty-Eight, did Ms. Haysom refer 16 to Jens Soering's threat to kill her? That would be on 17 Page Twenty-Eight, sixth line from the top? He was going 18 to turn you in or do something else? The first reference 19 to yourself there. 20 Yes, sir. No, wait a minute. 21 On the top of Page Twenty-Eight. 22 over into May the 9th, a continuation of May the 8th 23 interview, the morning.

24

25

A

Page 48

I believe what's happened is the transcript

that was corrected, I've got the corrected version. Well would you recall this to be accurate, that Elizabeth Haysom said that if she were ever to do 3 anything that he would kill her? 4 Yes, sir, I believe I remember that. A 5 And that she took that threat seriously? 0 6 7 Yes, sir. Α Do you recall that during your initial 8 interview, one of the initial interviews with Ms. Haysom, that -- and this is referred to in the continuation of the 10 May the 8th statement -- that Elizabeth Haysom said in 11 response to some questions, why don't you, Investigator 12 Gardner, go talk to Jens Soering about that question, I 13 don't know. 14 Yes, sir. 15 And this was during the initial stages she 16 was directing you towards him. 17 That's what she said. A 18 But you recall that specific statement that 19 was made during the April 8th and April the 16th interview, 20 some questions that she could not answer and she said go 21 talk to Jens Soering about that. 22 Well I remember her saying that you're 23

going to have to ask Jens about that.

25

Now there's been a previous mention of the

"Soldier of Fortune" magazine and a copy of a page with an 1 2 advertisement for a butterfly knife. Have you seen that 3 page? No, sir, I haven't. A 5 Judge, I believe that was introduced as Defense Exhibit A. THE COURT: Uh-huh. 7 Is that the first time you've seen that 8 9 photograph? Yes, sir. 10 And it's -- for a little background, is 11 "Soldier of Fortune Magazine" a type of mercenary type of 12 publication that advertises exotic weaponry? 13 I'm not that familiar with it, but I A 14 believe it's along those lines, yes, sir. 15 And in fact that shows a butterfly knife or 16 what appears to be a butterfly knife and it's advertised butterfly knife for mail order. 18 Yes, sir. 19 Now looking at that photograph, would you 20 say that that butterfly knife is depicted as having one 21 edge? 22 Yes, wir, it looks that way. Ä 23 So it's not a double edge weapon. 24 This one doesn't appear to be.

A

And in fact the one that Elizabeth Haysom drew for you, drew for the London police officers, in fact was shown as having a double edge, wasn't it? I believe so, yes, sir. And you've got it and I've seen it numerous times, but it really doesn't look anything like what is depicted there as a butterfly knife other than it has a blade and a handle. Yes, it's folded up here. During the continuation of the May 9th statement, you went into some of the background with Elizabeth Haysom into her family, her younger years. Page Forty-Two, did you discuss her early years when she was in Switzerland? Yes, sir, I remember that; that's not my forty-two, but that's true.

Well let me show you my Page Forty-Two and ask you this specific question you said to Elizabeth: "In our investigation we found that you were raped when you were in England, is that true?" And could you read her response?

A Okay. "Let me ask you this: In our investigation we found that you were raped when you were in England, is that true?"

Response: "It wasn't England."

1

2

3

Δ

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

"Where were you, Switzerland?" Question: 2 "Switzerland." Response: 3 "Switzerland, okay. Were you Question: attending school at that time?" 4 "Yes." 5 Response: "Did your parents know of this?" Question: "Yes." 7 Response: Question: "They did know it. What was 8 their response or feeling or whatever about this?" 9 "My mother said I was a whore." Response: 10 11 Question: "How about your father?" Response: (Pause) "It didn't happen." 12 "He didn't believe you?" Question: 13 "Oh, no, I mean I was only ten." Response: 14 "What do you mean it didn't Question: 15 happen?" 16 "It's a--he ignored it, he Response: 17 blanked it." 18 "He wouldn't accept it?" Question: 19 "For him it just didn't happen." Response: 20 And how old did Elizabeth say she was at 21 that time? 22 Ten. A 23 I believe you also during your 24 investigation were provided some photographs, is that 25

right? 1 Yes, sir. 2 And I believe they were nude photographs of 3 Q Elizabeth Haysom, is that correct? 4 5 Yes, sir. And were you told who took those 7 photographs? Yes, sir, I was. 8 And who was that? 9 Elizabeth's mother, Mrs. Haysom. A 10 How old was Ms. Haysom, Elizabeth Haysom, 11 at the time those photographs were taken? 12 I believe she was nineteen, twenty; twenty, A 13 I believe. It's on Page Fifty-One in my statement, 15 Investigator Gardner, and I believe you asked Elizabeth 16 why Jens went to Bedford County on the 30th. 17 I asked him why? 18 Yes, sir, and this may be on the very same 19 statement that you provided on the corrected type; it 20 looks like it is Mr. Updike's computer matrix print, but 21 it states on Page Fifty-One, "Did he go there with the 22 hope of possibly changing their mind," referring to Jens, 23 why he went to the Haysom residence. Do you have that one

with you?

Is that June the 7th? A 2 No, this would be a continuation of the May 3 the 8th statement, into May 9th. Oh, okay, May 8th; okay, I've got that. On Page Fifty-One, what was Elizabeth's response when asked why Jens Soering went to Bedford County, at the bottom of the page there? 8 Page Fifty-One? 9 0 Yes, sir. 10 I'm afraid that's going to be on another 11 page here. 12 Let me show you mine then again and just ask if you could just read those last two responses when 13 asked why he went down there; I believe I've got it marked 14 15 in green. Question: "Did he go there with the hopes 16 of possibly changing their minds?" 17 18 Response: "He went down there to see if I 19 was exaggerating about their attitude towards me." "Repeat that." 20 Question: "He went down there to talk to 21 Response: 22 them to see if I had exaggerated about their attitude 23 towards me." All right, thank you. And I believe you 24

further questioned Elizabeth about the diary entries, is

that correct? Yes, sir, I did. About when they were written and how they 3 were written? 5 Yes, sir. And you have talked numerous occasions with Elizabeth, but you have indicated that she obviously had a 7 love of writing. 8 Yes, sir. 9 A And when you brought up the mention of the 10 diaries, did she state to you when some of those entries 11 were written, that is whether they were written on the 12 date that they were dated or whether they were written 13 later on? 14 You want me to read this? 15 Yes, sir. 16 Okay. Elizabeth Haysom: "Can I point 17 something out about it all being written in retrospect. 18 It was all written in Thailand." 19 Question: "Okay, it was written -- " 20 Response: "This book was bought in 21 Thailand and written in Thailand." 22 Question: "Okay, whose writing is it?" 23 Response: "That's mine." 24

Q

25

So much of what she said was written in

that diary was written in retrospect. Yes, sir. Did you also ask her when we referred to 3 these specific letters or the Commonwealth referred to 4 specific letters that were written to Mr. Soering wherein 5 she talks about her parents drinking and I wish one would 6 fall into the fire or my mother would take a poker to my 7 goading father, that sort of thing, did you ask her 8 whether she was on any type of drugs or alcohol? That particular letter I remember she said 10 11 she was drinking. 12 You remember specifically--Yes. 13 --that she stated that she was drinking 14 when that letter was written. 15 I do remember that. A 16 Investigator Gardner, you also I believe 17 took an oral statement from Ms. Haysom on May the 11th, 18 is that right? 19 Yes, sir, she requested that it not be put 20 on tape. 21 And she wanted to talk to you? 22 A Yes, sir. 23 And did she tell you that she did not want 24

a tape made of that statement because of her continuing

25

fear of Jens Soering? 2 I think it was something to that effect, 3 yes, sir. And you talked for some time on the 11th as 5 well, is that right? 6 Yes, sir, Investigator Huddleston and 7 myself spoke with Elizabeth on the 11th. 8 Did she tell you during that statement that Q 9 Jens had kept writing her letters wherein he would say 10 cooperate with Jens' solicitor, and if I come out of this 11 all right, you'll come out? 12 A Yes, sir. 13 So Jens was continuing to tell her how to 14 conduct any potential defense that she might have? 15 Yes, sir. 16 So you talked to her on the 8th, the 9th, 17 continuation into the 9th, an oral statement on the 11th 18 and I believe you also talked to her on May the 14th, is 19 that right? 20 Yes, sir, I did. 21 (5-8 AND 5-14 INTERVIEWS OF BLIZABETH RAYSOM INTRODUCED AS 22 COMMONWEALTH EXHIBITS 35 & 36.1 23

24

25

And of course on the 14th. Would it be a

fair statement that Ms. Haysom arrived in Bedford on May

the 8th and that she was appointed attorneys on May the

18th? A Yes, sir. 3 So during that ten-day period you really took about four different statements from her, oral and 5 taped? A I did, but at her request. 7 Right, I understand that. But you 8 continued to talk with her and in fact take different statements from her? 10 Yes, sir. 11 Do you have that statement of May the 14th 12 there with you? 13 No, sir, I do not. 14 I believe that's been introduced. And I 15 won't take long with this. If I could direct your 16 attention to Page Four of that letter of the 14th, at the 17 very bottom. And what Elizabeth is doing, and you correct 18 me if I'm wrong, but she's going through letters that she 19 received from Jens Soering, isn't that correct? 20 Yes, sir. 21 While the two of them were incarcerated in 22 England. 23 Yes, sir.

24

25

Page 58

Beginning with the seventh line from the

bottom, I'm very sorry that I cannot give you any direct

indication.

Yes, sir.

And I'll read it, but are these words that she's taken from the letters from Jens Soering? "I'm very sorry that I cannot give you any direct indication of direct benefits for you in all this, I simply do not know. So I'm asking you to save my ass in the hope that someone—in the hope that somehow that will help save yours."

A Yes, sir.

On Page Seventeen does she refer to a letter written to her on September the 26th of '86?

A Page Seventeen?

Yes, sir. And I believe it deals with her conversations with her solicitor, at that time co-solicitor, Mr. Keith Barker, and I believe at the time he represented both of them?

Yes, sir, in England.

And would this be an accurate excerpt from that letter of September the 26th from Jens Soering to Elizabeth? "When discussing things with him the best attitude to take is to ask him what I have said and then second the motion. Some of the things might sound a bit strange to you but believe me, this stuff has been cooked up by very experienced people. Just play along. Getting

me to Germany is not only important for my survival but 2 also to you, what type of conviction and sentence you'll 3 get. As you can tell from the top of this page, your cooperation is important." Would that be accurate? Yes, sir, it is. On Page Eighteen she refers to the October 7 4th letter, and I believe therein does Jens Soering again 8 tell her to follow his lead? 9 Yes, sir. Α 10 Through that, those letters that you have, 11 does she make it clear to Mr. Soering that she's pleading 12 quilty and that she's admitting involvement and that she 13 wants to get this behind her? 14 Yes, sir. A 15 On Page Forty of that statement--let me--16 before we get to Page Forty, Page Twenty-Six, at the very 17 top of the page you ask her whether she's remorseful. 18 Yes, sir. 19 And this is I guess perhaps one of the very 20 last statements overall on the 14th that you gave her. 21 What does she say to you when you questioned her 22 remorsefulness? 23

24

25

Question: "You're remorseful."

great remorse. I can't even begin to describe it."

Response: "I have a great shame and a

Question: "Remorseful, you're remorseful, you're sorry that it happened."

Response: "Yes."

- On Page Forty-Eight, does she state to you that throughout different context of statements that she has given that she has lied on behalf of Jens?
- A I'm sorry, what page?
- Page Forty-Eight, at the very top of the page. Can you just read the response that Ms. Haysom said.
- "Yes. I mean he makes me feel guilty for telling the truth. He makes me feel like I'm betraying him because I'm telling the truth. I don't know what he wants from me. I mean it's a horrible position to be in, but, uh, he wants me to carry on lying and to lie for him because I love him. And I'm not prepared to do that anymore because the whole thing is so ghastly and so wrong, and I've betrayed so many people and I feel so lousy about that. And now I feel completely lousy about that as well, you know."
- All right. And one last reference to that statement. On Page Fifty-Three, and keeping in mind that you're going over letters that she has voluntarily chosen to show you that she received from Jens, is that right?

Page 61

1 A Yes, sir. 2 And as far as you know, she did not 3 withhold any of the letters she had received while she was 4 incarcerated from Jens. 5 As far as I know she didn't. And she allowed you to take possession of 7 the letters, make copies of them. 8 Yes, sir. 9 And you kept the originals and returned the 10 copies to Ms. Haysom. 11 Yes, I did. 12 Do you recall making a reference to the 13 November 11th of 1986 letter in that conversation 14 beginning on Page Fifty-Two, at the very bottom of the 15 page, she's quoting from that? 16 Yes, sir. 17 And she states Jens' words as this: "I 18 really don't think you have anything to worry about, 19 especially since you're not even guilty." 20 That's true, correct. 21 And as you understand, that's an accurate 22 excerpt from his letter to her of November the 11th, 1986. 23 Yes, sir. 24

25

Page 62

MR. DAVIS: No further questions.

(Whereupon a recess was taken.)

THE COURT: All right, let's resume the hearing, please. You may proceed, Mr. Updike.

MR. DAVIS: Judge, I'd just like to ask a few more questions of Investigator Gardner.

## BY MR. DAVIS: (continuing)

Q Investigator Gardner, did Ms. Haysom ask to speak to you on May 14th off the record?

A Yes, sir.

Q And by off the record, I mean she did not want her remarks recorded on tape.

A Yes, sir.

Q And she had done that previously on May the lith?

A Yes, sir.

On May the 14th, did she tell you off the record that the butterfly knife, a diagram of which she had drawn, was not used by Jens Soering in the deaths of her parents?

A Would you repeat that question again?

Q Yes, sir. On May the 14th--two questions then--the statement that she gave you off the record, did

she first tell you that she did not purchase the knife, the butterfly or otherwise, that was used in her parents'

deaths? 2 Α Yes, she did. 3 And she told you in fact that was not used. She said that she wasn't with Jens when the 5 knife was purchased. 6 And did she state to you whether or not she 7 knew whether that knife was actually used or not? 8 She said she didn't know. A 9 And when she told you this, did she also 10 say I'm not telling you this to lessen my guilt, I just 11 think it's something you ought to know. 12 She said that she was telling me that so 13 that I would know that and that she wasn't telling me that 14 to lessen her involvement in any way, it was just that she 15 wanted to tell me that. 16 So that you could use it for whatever 17 purposes you saw fit now or later on. 18 A Yes, sir. 19 During that particular conversation and 20 more particular on May the 11th when you interviewed her 21 and Investigator Huddleston was present, was she

physically shaking when she was talking to you about Jens

She was nervous, yes, sir.

Soering? Not--was she trembling?

Trembling?

22

23

24

25

A

0

Α

Yes, sir.

MR. DAVIS: Thank you very much.

3

2

A

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. UPDIKE:

Investigator Gardner, I just have a couple followup questions if I may. Concerning the statements that Mr. Davis referred you to, the statements that Jens Soering gave you there in London, he was interviewed, I can't recall the exact dates, but it would have started or June the 5th, perhaps the 6th, 7th and 8th if I recall, but some several interviews during that period of time, nearly every day.

Yes, sir.

And there were references made to some of the initial interviews, but would it be a fair characterization to say that he, during those first interviews, was basically denying everything and the more that you talked to him, then the more admissions that he would make concerning the murder of Mr. and Mrs. Haysom.

A That's very true.

And it was the last interview on June the 8th where he made the statement -- and this not being verbatim -- but that he had fallen in love with a girl, went to their house, to the parents' house, killed them and he

got caught. Yes, sir. 3 And that's again not a verbatim at all. But he did admit to you, at least during the last interview, that he killed Mr. and Mrs. Haysom by slitting their throats, is that correct? Yes, sir, he did. And did he actually demonstrate for you how 0 he did this? 10 Yes, sir, I--when we were talking, I asked 11 Jens would he demonstrate with just he and I present how-12 what actually happened that day. And so I got up and 13 walked around and sat in the chair and he walked me through what actually happened. 15 And when you would discuss certain things 16 with Soering, particularly the knife, would be come back 17 with responses to the effect, well if I admitted that I 18 took the knife there, that would demonstrate premeditation 19 on my part? 20 Yes, sir, he did. 21 And he is the one who used the word 22 premeditation initially and he's the one who brought that 23 into the conversation; it wasn't you, it was Soering. 24 That's right.

25

And did you get the impression through

these interviews then that he was knowledgeable concerning the element of premeditation and how it would pertain to a murder charge?

A Very knowledgeable.

Did he also discuss with you the trip to Washington, going back to Washington after the murders and things of that nature; there was a trip to Washington just as Elizabeth Haysom described.

A Yes, sir.

You were asked by Mr. Davis concerning the receipt from the Washington Marriott Hotel. He was asking you, and I won't take the time to get the actual item of evidence over there, but that credit card receipt on the back that refers to the signature being in the file and not actually seen on the receipt, that receipt refers to the checking out, in other words the total bill and not the bill specifically for room service, would that be correct?

A That's correct.

And as Mr. Feldman informed us that though they have those original records and still have them, of the copies that we've introduced, some of the lessor records shall we say pertaining to such things as room service, telephone calls, that they don't maintain those kinds of records for any great length of time.

That's true. 2 Did Elizabeth Haysom in her interviews with 3 you indicate that these references to Rover were 4 fictitious, that Rover was a fictitious individual? 5 That's what she told me. 6 Q Just made that up. 7 MR. UPDIKE: Thank you, I have no 8 further questions. 9 MR. DAVIS: Judge, if I could follow 10 that up just a little bit. 11 RECROSS EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. DAVIS: 13 Investigator Gardner, keeping in mind that Ms. Haysom has--for whatever reason has pled guilty to the 15 charges which she faces, and that's not the reason I'm 16 asking you these questions, but any reference that Jens 17 Soering made to a knife, he referred to a Swiss Army 18 knife, didn't he? 19 I believe that's what he referred to it as, 20 yes, sir. 21 And he said it was one he had had for some 22 time. He found it very useful. 23 I think that's the way I remember it. 24 And again, keeping in mind that Ms. Haysom

has pled quilty and Mr. Updike has asked you about the

1

more you talked to Jens Soering the more he admitted his involvement. Through none of those four statements did he ever say that Elizabeth Haysom knew what was going to happen when he went to Bedford County, did he?

No, he wouldn't really talk about Elizabeth.

But he never admitted that she--or stated that she knew what was going to happen.

A No, sir.

Q And neither did Ms. Haysom say that in any of her statements.

A No, sir.

MR. DAVIS: That's all I have. Thank you.

THE COURT: That's all. Step down, please.

(Witness stood aside.)

THE COURT: Next witness.

MR. UPDIKE: Your Honor, we can call
Detective Constable Wright back to the
stand, but we would like to introduce a copy
of a letter that was retrieved from the
prison where Elizabeth Haysom was
incarcerated in England, and this was
retrieved after Mr. Garaner and I left

London in June of '86, and we'd just like to introduce this, pointing out that it is addressed to, "Dear Rover."

MR. DAVIS: We'd like to know the date of it.

THE COURT: The date of it? It's not dated.

MR. DAVIS: Or some idea of when it was retrieved, where it was retrieved and how it was retrieved. I think Mr. Updike was just made aware of it this morning and he showed it to me about ten minutes ago.

MR. UPDIKE: Okay, we'd ask that Detective Constable Wright come to the stand.

THE COURT: All right, you remain under oath.

TERRY WRIGHT, was recalled as a witness and having been duly sworn was examined and testified further as follows:

### DIRECT EXAMINATION

### BY MR. UPDIKE:

And Detective Constable Wright, if you would just explain. I believe that I just became aware of that letter just a little while ago, is that right?

Yes, sir, I presented this to you this Α morning. 3 If you would, just explain the circumstances under which you obtained that copy. Yes, sir. After the Investigator Gardner 6 and yourself left England, Elizabeth Haysom was remanded in custody at Holloway Prison. During her time in 8 Holloway Prison, she wrote to various people, and this 9 letter here is actually a photocopy of an original letter 10 that she sent out from the prison; the envelope says Sean 11 Collin and a post box in Dublin, which is in Ireland, and 12 it's addressed to "Dear Rover". So the specific date I 13 can't tell you. There may be a record in England at the 14 prison, but it's some weeks after you left England. 15 And we left England the first part of June 16 1986, it would have been. 17 That's right. 18 MR. UPDIKE: Thank you, then. 19 would still ask, Your Honor, that it be 20 introduced. 21 CROSS EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. DAVIS: 23 Has Elizabeth Haysom admitted writing that 24

letter?

25

Page 71

Elizabeth Maysom wasn't aware that I have

this copy. 1 Haysom's. 2 Has she admitted writing that letter? 3 To me, no. To anyone you're aware of? 5 No, sir. 6 Has it been authenticated in any way? 7 It has her prison number on the top, sir. 8 Has it been authenticated by handwriting 10 sample or analysis? 11 No, sir. 12 0 And I believe that Mr. Updike was just made 13 aware of it a few minutes ago? 14 Yes, that is correct. 15 MR. DAVIS: Judge, for whatever 16 reason, and I think Mr. Updike has been as 17 straight as any prosecutor possibly can be 18 and we had no idea about this letter until 19 twelve minutes ago and we would object to 20 its admission into evidence at this point. 21 THE COURT: Can I see it? (Pause for 22 perusal.) 23 MR. UPDIKE: Your Honor, we would just 24 ask for the basis of the objection, I'm not

25

exactly sure what it is, it just now came

to my attention. But at any rate, the purpose of it we think is if Ms. Haysom is saying that there was not a Rover, that it was fictitious, the mere fact of there being a letter addressed to such a person we think is probative and important.

g

We have established the general time frame from which it was recovered or when it was recovered, the name is there, the prison number is there. We have an individual here who has focused upon these letters quite a bit and we think that the law is clear that even if we had a jury the jury would be in a position to compare handwriting to known handwriting samples such as we've introduced and draw their own conclusions as to whether or not the handwriting is consistent.

And we think that she has a distinctive handwriting, and we have all these letters that have been introduced, we have this statement from Detective Constable Wright and we think that the arguments of counsel would go to any weight if this were being tried as opposed to

admissibility.

THE COURT: All right, any further comment?

MR. DAVIS: Yes, Judge, the motion for discovery was continuing and by no fault of Mr. Updike it just now came into his possession. We've had no opportunity to discuss this matter with our client other than the five minutes we've had here.

We've had no opportunity to find out who this person may or may not be, whether it's a legitimate address or anything of that nature and that's the specific reason the discovery is granted and a cut-off date is generally allowed.

And for that reason Your Honor, without having any probability of confirming or denying the existence of that person, we would respectfully ask the Court to deny its introduction.

MR. UPDIKE: Your Honor, we think the rule of discovery provides and pertains to matters that come within the custody or control of the Commonwealth or if the Commonwealth has reason to know about it.

This matter just developed, I didn't know about it and I can't give something to the defense if I don't have it or know about it. When I learned about it it was turned over.

THE COURT: All right, thank you. The defense motion is sustained. The letter will not be entered as an exhibit. It will, however, be marked filed and today's date put on it. Proceed.

MR. UPDIKE: We have no further questions then. Thank you.

THE COURT: Any further questions?

MR. DAVIS: Yes.

### CROSS EXAMINATION

## BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Did you try to find out whether such a person existed?

A Yes, sir.

Q Could you confirm that such a person existed?

A I can confirm that the post box exists.

There is--

THE COURT: You didn't let him finish. What's the answer?

THE WITNESS: The answer is, Your Honor, that I made inquiries to establish whether or not a Sean Collin was known on police files in our records and I can say that he was not. But I can't confirm whether that person exists or not.

# BY MR. DAVIS: (continuing)

You found nothing to suggest to you that he did in fact exist.

I found the letter, sir, that suggests he exists.

Other than the letter, you found nothing to suggest that this name belongs to a person.

No, sir.

MR. DAVIS: No further questions.

MR. UPDIKE: I was a little confused. You didn't find him I guess, but that's what it comes down to. Thank you, sir.

(Witness stood aside.)

THE COURT: Does the defense have any evidence to put on at this stage of the case?

MR. DAVIS: May it please the Court,
Your Honor, we'd have no evidence to put or
at this stage of the proceeding, but if I

could just mention a couple of things and perhaps Mr. Jones would follow.

END OF REQUESTED TRANSCRIPTION.

Page 77

STATE OF VIRGINIA

AT LARGE, to-wit:

I, Jacquelyn Keen, Notary Public in and for the State of Virginia at Large, do hereby certify that the testimony contained herein was and transcribed by me, and that the foregoing Pages 1 through 77 represent a true and accurate transcript of said proceedings to the best of my Stenographic ability.

My commission expires November 18, 1989.
Witness my hand this 15th day of February, 1989.

Notary Public

4 -

#### VIRGINIA:

IN AND FOR THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF BEDFORD

3 COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

1

2

5 V.

ELIZABETH ROXANNE HAYSOM

7

9

10

# TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Sentencing Hearing

October 5, 1987

12

14

15

17

18

19

11

APPEARANCES:

THE HONORABLE WILLIAM W. SWEENEY, PRESIDING

16

For the Commonwealth:

James W. Updike, Jr., Esq.

Bedford County Courthouse

Bedford, VA 24523

For the Defendant:

R. Andrew Davis, Esq. 307 West Main Street

Bedford, VA 24523

20

21

Hugh J.M. Jones, III., Esq. 8800 Timberlake Road

Lynchburg, VA 24502

22

Reported by: Jacquelyn Keen

23

24

ASSOCIATED REPORTING SERVICE

111 Euphan Avenue Lynchburg, Virginia 24502 (804) 525-2345

# INDEX

II .	
	PAGE
R. W. GARDNER	
Direct examination by Mr. Updike	3
Cross examination by Mr. Davis	5
Redirect examination by Mr. Updike	6
CHEETAH HAYSOM	
Direct examination by Mr. Davis	8
ELIZABETH WATSON	
Direct examination by Mr. Jones	11
Cross examination by Mr. Updike	15
JEFF TAYLOR	
Direct examination by Mr. Jones	17
Cross examination by Mr. Updike	25
JOHNNY HORTON	
Direct examination by Mr. Davis	26
Cross examination by Mr. Updike	38
Redirect examination by Mr. Davis	41
CHRISTOPHER KELAND	
Direct examination by Mr. Jones	42
Cross examination by Mr. Updike	5 5
Redirect examination by Mr. Jones	57
FAYE BRAMLETT	
Direct examination by Mr. Davis	58
Cross examination by Mr. Updike	62
PHYLLIS WORKMAN	
Direct examination by Mr. Davis	62
Cross examination by Mr. Updike	68
VERIAN HAYSOM	
Direct examination by Mr. Jones	71
Cross examination by Mr. Updike	75
Redirect examination by Mr. Jones	76
ELIZABETH HAYSOM	
Direct examination by Mr. Jones	77

OCTOBER 5, 1987 PROCEEDINGS 9:30 A.M. 1 2 R. W. GARDNER, was called as a witness 3 and having been duly sworn was examined and testified as 4 follows: 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. UPDIKE: 7 You are, of course, Investigator R. W. 8 Gardner, Bedford County Sheriff's Department. 9 Yes, sir. 10 Investigator Gardner, when you testified 11 previously, I believe Mr. Davis asked you something about 12 a statement of Jens Soering pertaining to his Swiss Army 13 knife. And if I could, I'd like to clarify that. Did 14 Jens Soering indicate whether or not a Swiss Army knife 15 was the instrument that he used to kill the Haysoms? 16 He said it was not. 17 Was he definite about that? He was emphatic. A 19 Did he ever mention the term butterfly knife to you? 21 No, he didn't. 22 And was it Elizabeth Haysom, the defendant, 23 from whom that you heard this terminology butterfly knife?

Yes, sir.

25

Page 3

And she was the one who drew the picture of the knife, is that correct? Yes, sir, she was. A 3 And you are familiar with the transcript of 4 the interview conducted on June the 8th, 1985 with Elizabeth Haysom by Detective Sergeant Kenneth Beaver and Detective Constable Terry Wright on that date, is that correct? Yes, sir. And in that interview Ms. Haysom indicated 10 that she was present with Jens Soering when they were 11 going to Washington to try and buy the knife and then into 12 Maryland where the knife was actually purchased, is that correct? 14 Yes, sir. 15 And on May the 8th of this year when you 16 interviewed her here at the Bedford County Sheriff's 17 Department, did she state that she was the one who 18 actually paid cash for the knife? 19 Yes, sir. 20 MR. UPDIKE: Thank you. If you'd 21 answer any questions, please, Mr. Davis may 22 have. 23

24

25

Page 4

### CROSS EXAMINATION

### BY MR. DAVIS:

3

7

8

9

11

13

- Investigator Gardner, I believe that during the testimony at the previous hearing it came out that there was discovered in the flat or apartment which Ms. Haysom and Mr. Soering resided several issues of "Soldier of Fortune" magazine, isn't that correct?
- Yes, sir.
- And it was also introduced at that hearing a picture, a photograph, of an ad for a butterfly knife, isn't that correct?
- Α Yes, sir. 12
  - Now on May the 14th I believe you spoke with Ms. Haysom again at the Bedford County Jail.
- Yes, sir. 15
- And you took an oral statement from her which she requested not be recorded. 17
- That's right. A 18
- And basically the part of the statement 19 that she gave was that she was telling you what she was 20 about to tell you not to lessen her guilt but that it was 21 something she just wanted you to know about the knife. 22
- A Yes, sir. 23
- And in that statement she said to you, I 24 believe, I did not purchase the knife nor was I with Jens

1	Soering when the knife was purchased.
2	A Yes, sir.
3	Q And yet she emphasized that she didn't war
4	that recorded or written down.
5	A Yes, sir.
6	Q Did she tell you that the reason was that
7	she was afraid of Jens Soering?
8	A Not at that particular time she didn't.
9	Q Subsequent to that statement on May the
10	14th she told you that?
11	A Yes, sir, I believe she did.
12	Q Mr. Updike had asked you about the Swiss
13	Army knife. And as I recall, Jens Soering was questioned
14	extensively on the use of a knife in the murders that
15	occurred.
16	A Yes, sir.
17	Q And whenever he was questioned about the
18	knife, wouldn't he reply I'm not going to talk about a
19	knife or whether I had one because that would indicate
20	premeditation.
21	A He did say that, yes, sir.
22	MR. DAVIS: No further questions.
23	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. UPDIKE:
25	Q Concerning the picture of the Soldier of

1	Fortune Magazine", wasn't that picture oh, an inch or so,
2	inch and a half?
3	A In the ad, yes, sir, it was.
4	Q The picture she drew with the descriptions
5	of the clamps and everything, that was an actual size
6	drawing that she provided, wasn't it?
7	A Yes, sir, it was.
8	Q And as far as Ms. Haysom saying one thing
9	one time and another thing another time, is it accurate to
10	say that through this she has made many statements that
11	were contradictory of one another?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	MR. UPDIKE: Thank you, no further
14	questions.
15	(Witness stood aside.)
16	THE COURT: Does the Commonwealth have
17	further witnesses at this time?
18	MR. UPDIKE: No, sir, we don't. Than
19	you sir.
20	THE COURT: The defense may proceed,
21	Mr. Davis, Mr. Jones.
22	
23	
24	

CHEETAH HAYSOM, was called as a witness and having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. DAVIS: State your name, please, ma'am. Cheetah Haysom. Ms. Haysom, where do you live? I live in Warwick, New York. And if you would, Ms. Haysom, what relation were you to Mr. and Mrs. Haysom and Elizabeth Haysom? Derrick Haysom was my uncle and Elizabeth is my first cousin. I believe on many occasions you would have the opportunity to see Elizabeth and her mother, is that correct? From time to time over the last five years that's true. And during the last five years, what would be the circumstances under which you would see Elizabeth and her mother together? Well most recently Elizabeth's mother and

father had--were moving from Halifax in Canada down to

Lynchburg and we lived halfway. And whenever they came

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

through they would stop at our house and spend the night. So we saw them fairly frequently, sometimes by themselves, sometimes with Elizabeth.

Q Would you and your family ever stay with Elizabeth's family when you were in Bedford County?

A Yes, we came and we stayed with Nancy and Derrick about two weeks before they died.

Q If you could, please, describe Mrs. Nancy Haysom as a person, the personality that she seemed to portray.

Well she was a very archaic person, she was a very strong personality, very affectionate, generous; she was an overwhelmingly affectionate and generous person.

She was very creative. She was quite forceful and very kind to me and to us.

Q If you could, could you describe the young lady that Elizabeth portrayed to you.

Well I didn't really know her or have much contact with her until about five years ago, she was at school overseas. But I guess—we went up and stayed with them in Canada, the first time I really had contact with her as a young woman. She was eighteen then and she was then a very—I mean I was very impressed, I thought she was a remarkably responsible and well behaved teenager.

There was--I mean I know at that age I was

Page 9

fairly rebellious and there was absolutely no sign of that in Elizabeth. She was asked to do a lot. There were four of us, I went up with my husband and my two stepsons who are about the same age as Elizabeth and there was a lot to do and she did everything. She was exemplary. If you would, knowing Elizabeth's mother and Elizabeth, how would you describe the relationship that you saw when they were together? Elizabeth appeared to be a very dutiful and respectful daughter. You know, they seemed to be--she was very often very shy, she wasn't--but she was articulate and she responded very well to her mother. She never, you know, behaved in a way that drew attention in fact. Her mother was a very sort of warm, effusive person and Elizabeth was very often--you know, didn't ever really in her personality come above that. Have you been in touch with Elizabeth since her arrest in England; in fact, I believe you visited Elizabeth in Holloway, is that correct? Yes, I was in England about thirteen months ago, I saw her in Holloway. And in fact you've been in touch with her by telephone and by letter since she's been incarcerated here in Bedford County, is that correct?

Yes, I have.

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A

Page 10

1	Q	Did she ask you to come to Bedford to
2	testify on her	behalf?
3	A	No, she never asked me to do anything on
4	her behalf.	
5	Q	Has she ever requested you to do anything
6	for her?	
7	A	No. We offered to send anything she might
8	need and she never asked for anything.	
9		MR. DAVIS: Thank you. Answer any
10		questions, please, that Mr. Updike or the
11		Court may have.
12		MR. UPDIKE: No questions, thank you.
13		(Witness stood aside.)
14		ELIZABETH WATSON, was called as a
15	witness and having been duly sworn was examined and	
16	deposed as follows:	
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
18	BY MR. JONES:	
19	Q	Would you state your name, please.
20	A	Elizabeth Watson.
21	Q	Ms. Watson, where do you live?
22	A	On Sherwood Place, Lynchburg.
23	Q	And are you in any way related to the
24	Haysom family?	
25	A	Very remotely. I think we have a mutual

great, great grandmother. 2 Did you know Mr. and Mrs. Haysom? Very well. And did you also know Elizabeth? 5 Yes. And do you recall when you first met 7 Elizabeth? 8 I do indeed. I was to a dinner party and 9 was seated between Elizabeth and her father. And 10 Elizabeth I think had just come from England for a 11 vacation. 12 And at that dinner party, did you have any 13 conversation that involved Elizabeth and her father? 14 I did indeed. 15 THE COURT: Could you establish the 16 time, please. When is she talking about? 17 THE WITNESS: Shall I go --18 (continuing) BY MR. JONES: 19 Go ahead, Ms. Watson. 20 I think--I can't remember exactly the year, 21 but it was after the Haysoms of course had moved here. 22 And Elizabeth had come for, it might have been a Christmas 23 vacation. 24 But it was sometime after Mr. and Mrs.

Haysom moved to Lynchburg, is that correct?

Yes.

I think we'll establish that time by later witnesses.

Okay, you were going to tell us about the conversation that you had that involved Elizabeth and her father.

Well Elizabeth was telling me about when she was in school, I don't know whether it was in Switzerland, somewhere on the continent of Europe, that a Middle Eastern sheik had offered her father a number of camels for her hand and her father -- it was an amusing story and her father corroborated it.

And I said Elizabeth, how old were you and she told me; I don't remember exactly what age, but very young.

And she said I have been in boarding school since I was eight years old. And I turned to her father and I said Derrick, how could you have done that, and he said we had no choice.

And then directing your attention to later, sometime not long before the murders of Mr. and Mrs.

Haysom occurred, do you recall or did you receive a letter from Elizabeth?

I did. And it was in the month of March, that same month, and--I'm trying to think. Oh, I know the

Page 13

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24.

letter was postmarked Colorado, and I believe she was there on a vacation, it must have been a spring break at the university.

Q Was she a student at the University of Virginia at the time?

She was a student at the university at the time and she wrote to me in this thing that she had met the man that she wanted to spend the rest of her life with, but that her relationship with him was destructive to her relationship with her parents and vice versa, and that actually she didn't think her parents had the same aspirations for—this is the gist of it, not her wording—for her as she had for herself. But that this feeling of—well the difference in how it affected her relationship with them affected her relationship with the young man and vice versa, that bothered her very much, and that she wanted to—she wanted advice and something had to be done about it.

So I wrote to her a letter to the effect that there are frequently as we're growing we differ with our parents, but in the end we would find what they wanted for us was the best that they knew.

Q And did you attempt to find that letter that you received from Elizabeth?

A No, because I had destroyed that letter.

Page 14

2	Did for dicempt to lime it de nome.
A	Yes, yes, yes, I went through everything.
Yes, I ha	we other letters from her but not that one. I
don't thi	nk I attached the importance I should have to
that lett	cer.
Q	And have you visited Elizabeth since she's
been in t	the jail in Bedford County?
A	I have.
Q	And how often have you visited her?
A	Not as often as I would have liked,
probably	three or four times.
Q	And do you intend to continue keeping in
touch with her?	
A	I do.
	MR. JONES: Answer any questions
	Mr. Updike might have.
CROSS EXA	MINATION
BY MR. UI	PDIKE:
Q	At any rate, you know Elizabeth Haysom
quite wel	ll obviously, is that correct?
A	(Witness nods in the affirmative.)
Q	Known her for some time, most of her life?
A	No, no, first time I ever met her was at
that dinr	ner party there.
Q	I see. But during the time that you've

known her, have you become aware that she can be quite an actress? 3 She is a very talented young lady. And she can act; would you say that you'd given me that impression on the one occasion that we talked? 7 Yeah, I think she's very versatile. And aren't there also occasions where you have to doubt the veracity of what she tells you? 10 I think there certainly were, but I attribute that to drugs. 12 You attribute that to drugs. 13 I don't think today you need be concerned 14 about her veracity. 15 Now as far as Mr. and Mrs. Haysom are 16 concerned, you'd known them. Did you ever get any 17 indication that Derrick Haysom and Nancy Haysom had 18 anything in their minds but the best interest of Elizabeth? 20 Oh, I'm sure of that. 21 And they tried the best they knew how to be 22 good parents to this girl, didn't they? 23 A I think so. 24 0 You've spoken of holiday, they would send

her various places, Europe.

1	A	She was in Europe a good part of her life.
2	Q	I understand.
3	A	And I don't think she had the opportunity
4	of close famil	y ties.
5	Q	But it was Mr. Haysom's opinion that he had
6	no choice in that regard, isn't that true, isn't that wha	
7	he told you.	
8	A	That's what he told me.
9		MR. UPDIKE: Thank you, ma'am, no
10		further questions.
11		THE COURT: Anything else?
12		MR. JONES: No, Your Honor.
13		(Witness stood aside.)
14		JEFF TAYLOR, was called as a witness
15	and having bee	n duly sworn was examined and testified as
16	follows:	
17	DIRECT EXAMINA	TION
18	BY MR. JONES:	
19	Q	State your name, please.
20	A	Jeff Taylor.
21	Q	And where do you live, Mr. Taylor?
22.	A	2216 Oriole Place, Lynchburg.
23	Q	And did you know Mr. and Mrs. Haysom?
24	A	Yes, I did.
25	Q	And how is it that you knew them?

```
1
                  When they first came to Lynchburg they
   moved into a house that I was living in, it's Apartment
   House 4402 Boonsboro Road. I lived upstairs, they lived
   directly under me.
5
                   And do you recall approximately when that
6
   was that they first came to Lynchburg?
7
                  It would be probably January of February of
8
   1983 I believe.
9
                  And how long did the two families live in
10
   that?
11
                   Six to eight months they lived there and
   then they moved out to Holcomb Rock.
13
                   And do you also know Elizabeth?
                   Yes, I do.
15
                   And how did you happen to first come in
16
   contact with her?
17
                   I first met her when her parents had her in
18
   for, I believe it was spring break from school that year.
19
                   1983?
20
   A
                   Yes.
21
                   Have you had occasion to visit Elizabeth in
22
   the Bedford County Jail since you've been here?
23
                   Yes, I have.
24
                  Can you recall approximately the number of
25
   times?
```

Four times.

2

Q And why did you go visit her, what prompted

occurred back then. I handled the Haysoms' mail when they

were out of town, also Elizabeth's and Elizabeth's mail

came back to me. And when I questioned her mother about

it, her mother was kind of vague as to what was going on.

And I made a comment about Elizabeth taking time out from

school and Mrs. Haysom kind of gave me a little motherly

advice, she said something to the effect that, you know,

since I was a father and had a child that sometimes your

children do things that you don't like but you've got to

Well what prompted me was an incident that

I thought that was appropriate for me as

3

you to go?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

stick by them.

15

16

17

18

20

her.

21

You don't condone what happened.

advice and I also thought that since she's in the

situation that she's in, she needed somebody on the

outside who sort of supported her, but not -- you know,

maybe not agreed with what happened, but of course help

22

No, not in any sense, no.

23

Q Have you also had some correspondence with Elizabeth during the last several months?

25

A

Yes, I do.

And do you have an original letter in your possession dated July the 6th of 1987?

A Yes, I do.

And is that a letter that you received from

Elizabeth?

Yes, it is.

THE COURT: This is a letter written from jail?

MR. JONES: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. UPDIKE: Your Honor, as I recall it, the last time that we had it there were all kinds of objections from the defense about a letter that I wished to introduce, objections as to prior disclosure, objections to verifying the authorship of the letter and things of that nature and I don't think that any foundation has been laid in that regard as far as this letter is concerned unless Mr. Taylor can recognize the writing.

I've never seen the letter before. It may very well have been that if I had been provided a copy of it I'd have no objection at all; I haven't even read it. But having not read it, voice the customary

MR. JONES: Your Honor, let me--3 THE COURT: Well do you wish to lay a 4 foundation for the letter? 5 MR. JONES: I think I can lay a 6 foundation. 7 THE COURT: Proceed. 8 BY MR. JONES: (continuing) Mr. Taylor, is that attached to the 10 original letter that you have there, is there the envelope 11 that you received it in? 12 A Yes, it is. 13 And is there a post mark on that envelope? 14 Yes, it is. A 15 And can you tell us what it is? Q 16 A 7 July 1987. 17 And is there a return address on the 18 envelope? 19 Yes, it is. A 20 And what does the return address say? 21 E. Haysom, Box 507, Bedford, Virginia, 22 24523. 23 And you have indicated that you had some dealings with the Haysoms' mail prior to this time. 25

Yes, I did.

objection.

A

1	Q	In the course of those dealings, did you
2	become familia:	with Elizabeth's handwriting?
3	A Q	Yes. Can you identify the handwriting on that
5	letter?	
6	A	Yes, it is.
7	Q	What is it?
8	A	It is Elizabeth's handwriting.
9	Q	Any question in your mind about it?
0	A	No, sir.
11		MR. UPDIKE: I'd like to cross
2		examine.
3	CROSS EXAMINAT	ION
4	BY MR. UPDIKE:	
5	Q	Have you ever seen her sit down and write a
6	piece of corres	spondence and then take the opportunity to
7	compare that wa	ith an unknown sample of her writing?
8	A	No, sir, I haven't.
9	Q	In other words, you've seen samples of her
20	writingyou ha	ave seen handwriting samples that had the
21	signature Eliza	abeth upon it and you assumed that was the
22	handwriting of	Elizabeth Haysom?
23	A	Yes, I did.
14	Q	And all you're prepared to say today is

that this letter appears to have been written by the same

person who wrote other letters displaying the signature Elizabeth, wouldn't that be correct?

This is true.

MR. UPDIKE: We would renew our objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I will apply liberal interpretation of the rules of evidence in this hearing for reasons which I think are good reasons. The objection of the Commonwealth is overruled, the letter will be admitted.

# BY MR. JONES: (continuing)

Mr. Taylor, will you read the letter?

"Dear Jeff, I have thought a great deal about the things you have said. I could see that you were right, but how to go about dislodging the burden has been the question.

"Well the process has begun. I had in fact begun some time ago, but I was not letting go. Let me see if I can explain.

"For a long time I had a serious drug problem. Even after I was arrested in April of '86, I was still using. In fact my habit grew with easy availability of drugs and alcohol in the English prison system. It took me eight months to finally realize that I indeed had

a problem and that my situation might have something to do with it, so I became a member of Narcotics Anonymous and have been clean since January 2nd, 1987, seven months.

That was or is something to be proud of and grateful for. It was not easy but my goodness, it has been better.

"Although the NA program has made some extremely important and fundamental changes to my attitude, my manner of living, there were other issues preying on my mind which I refused to deal with because of the general guilt and horror.

"Well you may or may not know, probably do, I went off to the shrinks last week to cut through all the gray areas of what I'm allowed to talk about; you know how possessive attorneys are. I have finally been forced to reach for help. They have put me in contact with the National (090) Crisis Center and Daughters United.

Confronting these two issues is not going to be pleasant, but I am sure that I do and will truly be able to close this past thirteen-year era of my life on August 24th and begin to build a real a positive and active future without anger and bitterness, fear and resentment.

"The guilt and shame will never leave but they can be utilized for construction rather than defenses and destruction. As we say in NA, I am not responsible for my disease, but I am responsible for my recovery. So

Page 24

I shall be and thank you for helping me jiggle the process into motion.

"I hope all is well with you and your family. I am most grateful to the support you have given me and that is why I had to write and share how much you have indeed helped. Best wishes, Elizabeth."

Now Mr. Taylor, you said that you visited her I think on four occasions?

A Yes.

Based on your personal contact with her at the Bedford County Jail on those four occasions, do you feel the thoughts she expressed in this letter were sincere?

Sincere, very sincere, yes.

MR. JONES: I have no further questions, Your Honor.

### CROSS EXAMINATION

### BY MR. UPDIKE:

Mr. Taylor, so that your contacts with

Mrs. Haysom, mother of the defendant as I understand it,

indicated that she was very supportive of her daughter.

A Yes.

And believed that she should remain supportive of her daughter even at times when she may do things that the mother may not approve of.

This is true. A 2 And as I understand it, you found that advice to be helpful in your own life as a parent. 4 Yes. 5 MR. UPDIKE: Thank you, I have no further questions. 7 (Witness stood aside.) 8 JOHNNY HORTON, was called as a witness 9 and having been duly sworn was examined and testified as 10 follows: 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. DAVIS: 13 State your name for the Court, please. 14 My name is Johnny Horton. 15 And Mr. Horton, where do you live? 16 I live in London, England. 17 And I believe you're an English native, is 18 that correct? 19 I am. 20 Are you employed presently? 21 I am, I'm a general foreman on a site. 22 Would that be on a construction site? 23 That would be. A 24 Let me ask you about your involvement with a fellowship called Narcotics Anonymous. Are you involved

with that fellowship? 2 I am. 3 And if you would, please tell the Court 4 what your involvement is with that and how you became 5 associated with that group. In my past? 7 Yes, sir. 8 I have been clean from drugs and alcohol for seven and a half years now. And my past, I was 10 labeled as a hopeless case and a menace to society. I 11 have spent five years in jail. I have been to a mental 12 hospital for my drug addiction. I was a legally registered 13 heroin addict in--14 What does that mean? 15 That means that because I was addicted to 16 heroin, in England at the time you can get it legally if 17 the doctors see right. At the time there were only 2,000 18 legally registered heroin addicts. 19 When you came out of jail--and how many 20 times have you been incarcerated, Mr. Horton? 21 How many times? 22 Yes, sir. 23 About fifteen times to court. My cases 24 involved -- do I say? 25 Go ahead.

My cases involved armed robbery, robbery, drug convictions and there was an attempted murder. 3 You said that you have been clean of drugs 4 and alcohol for seven and a half years. Yes, sir. 6 What brought about that change? 7 Well in the late -- in the early seventies I 8 became physically and mentally ill through my drug addiction. I was arrested in London for being involved in 10 drugs in the west end of London. I was given an eighteen-11 month prison sentence. 12 Whilst I was in prison, I knew that once I 13 walked out of prison I wouldn't have much chance because I 14 knew I needed help. So what I did, I went to a 15 therapeutic community, and it's not an easy place to 16 be, where you confront yourself. And I went there freely 17 of my own mind. 18 I became a part-time counsellor and I also 19 did something I always dreamed of doing once I got 20 straightened out and I became a ladies hair dresser. 21 also worked in the top places in London. But since I've 22 got clean, I prefer to work on building sites.

that ordeal, what did you do once you left there?

23

25

When you went to the therapeutic house and

I was--like I said, I was a part-time

counsellor and I was also training as a hair dresser and I passed my degrees.

But what happened was that I became an obsessive drinker. I started drinking alcohol which is another drug. And in the process, by 1980 I lost everything and ended up on skid row.

What happened after that?

I made a phone call to a self-help group for alcoholics. And I found people who had been where I had been, and they were sober and they supported me because I had the willing desire to stop and change my life.

After a few months I felt that drug addicts needed this in England and we had nothing like this in England where addicts could walk in and meet other people and get their support. So that's when I got in contact with the fellowship in America, which started in 1953 in California.

Q What fellowship are you referring to?

A Well we say NA because we are anonymous to protect ourselves, so I always refer to it as NA or the fellowship.

Q Were you and another individual co-founders of that fellowship in England?

A Yes, I was a co-founder with two other people and we started our first group. And in that time

we now have over 200 groups in London, England. I also travel worldwide.

Q To speak on behalf of the fellowship?

2.0

To speak--not really, because we have no leaders, we have no--we are only trusted servants and no one is a spokesman. But I am willing to do this in this situation.

But I do speak at conventions, I've been to America, this is my fifth trip and I would like to say also that I spent my own money to come here and I don't ask for any money back because I do this because I trust the situation on me. And this is how we do it, we never have outside help.

Q When did you first become acquainted with Elizabeth Haysom?

A I first became acquainted with Elizabeth when I came back from America last year, and I'd been on a three-month trip traveling around America and visiting places like Harlem, the Bronx and other hardship places where there is serious drug problems.

And I came back and one of our members--we have an office in London, we have two offices--and one of our members, Sally, was seeing Elizabeth because she made a call.

Who made the call?

Elizabeth. I believe Elizabeth got someone
else to make the call for her because she's not allowed to
use the phone in England.

And the call was placed to the fellowship.

To our fellowship, and that is a call for

Q Based upon that call, did you go see Elizabeth?

help.

Yes, because like I said, I had more or less just got back into the country and I was looking around for work. And Sally told me, and I went to see Elizabeth when she was transferred to the holding cell at the Holloway Prison.

And I would like to say that these visits were valuable visits. She could have had anybody coming to see her. Also, what impressed me about Elizabeth was that the situation that she was under, because some come into the system that have in the past led decent honest lives and are respectable. And I saw that she was trying and saw that she was very mature and very honest and I trusted where she was coming from.

And I said would you like me to bring anything up on a visit and she said no, just bring yourself, that's all I want.

Why did you ask whether she would like to

Page 31

have anything brought?

Well they're allowed cigarettes—I believe she's stopped smoking now—they're allowed cigarettes, and what else is there, candy, chocolate. I said now, you know, I can bring it, so what would you like and I more or less forced her and she said okay, I wouldn't mind some coffee and things like that. And alcohol wasn't even mentioned.

Q Was alcohol available to her in the British penal system?

A Oh, very openly.

Mow many contacts would you say that you made with Elizabeth personally and through your organization on a regular basis?

A On what regular basis?

O Yes.

A From going to the prison and also every now and then she would go to court, every three or four weeks, which is a custom when you're on remand, to be remanded or dealt with. And because the prisons in England are so filled up, she may not get back to that prison. So it was sometimes difficult to keep track, but I would say between twenty-five to thirty times I saw her.

Q Would that have begun in January after this call was made?

Yes, until about April I believe.

Q Was there a particular trip you made to see Elizabeth when she was in remand?

There was. And this impressed me even more because--before I say that, I would like to say what impressed me even more with Elizabeth than it did the other two members that were seen was that she was concerned about her own private life. And I suffered a lot of physical pain due to past experiences and I do not take any mind altering chemicals, so I talk about it. And I found I was very open, I could be very open with Liz and she showed concern.

And but getting to the situation when she went to court and she didn't get back to Holloway because we phoned the prison, and she was in a holding cell about fifteen miles away; in a holding cell is where they just hold them until they can get them back into prison.

When I went to visit her, it took some finding and some time, they let me in to see her and she came out and she look frightened and she looked scared, very vulnerable. And on the notice board there were five prisoners' names. Under four prisoners' names there was medication three times a day, under Elizabeth's name there was none. And I said to her, you know, this is what it's all about. I could see in a lot of ways how she was

Page 33

trying to cope with that situation. I assume then on your visit you would talk about drug dependency and the ways to relieve oneself of that? Yes. And she was interested in how the meetings ran, what we said at meetings and how we did change, because she felt that -- she had future plans, et 8 cetera, and she wanted to change her situation. And she was very interested. I found her 10 very easy to talk to, very trustworthy, very honest. 11 Since she has been back to the United 12 States, particularly here in Bedford, have you been in 13 touch with her? I have, and so have several other members of 15 our organization. 16 Were you able to see Elizabeth on Saturday 17 of this past week? 18 I was. 19 You obviously have been in contact with many drug addicts. 21 A (Witness nods in the affirmative.) 22 In seeing Elizabeth and speaking with her 23 on Saturday, what is your opinion concerning her at this

point about any abuse of drugs and alcohol or her desire

24

25

to?

A Well on Saturday I didn't see her for long, but what I saw in that five minutes or ten minutes, it was no more, and I was grateful grateful for that, was again a very vulnerable, frightened person, this is worried on her. But I could see that she was coping with that.

And afterwards she said to me that I had

And afterwards she said to me that I had lost weight and I said start eating. But that's the way she is. I see that good side to her.

And you had talked about how so easily it is to be conned.

A Yes.

You talked about Elizabeth conning you and everybody else.

A Yes.

What did you tell me about that?

I used to be a con man. I lived on the streets for fifteen years, from the age of fifteen. It was a hard, tough life but that's the life I chose and I have been with some of the hardest criminals. And I was also a con man; that means I could manipulate and lie.

And I can tell if somebody else is doing the same and I believe what Elizabeth had said to me was real. And she was honest. And there was no way, because I wouldn't be over here away from England if I didn't

Page 35

trust what she was doing, what she was saying, just to be able to stand by her and say well, we do get better, we're okay. Is it unusual for you to testify on behalf of someone in a situation like this? Yes, it is because in the last seven years--I'd also like to say that we do have a letter, two letters, and one is from a Judge who once jailed me and I'll now go out to dinner with him, we socialize, and he 10 trusts me. 11 He had sentenced you at one time, is that 12 correct? 13 He sent me to prison once, yeah. And he 14 knows about our organization and he wrote a letter for me 15 that you have as a character reference. I've lost track 16 of what you were saying. 17 I had asked about the phrase, initially 18 I started with the phrase you had for a con man, what is 19 that phrase? 20 You can't con a con man. 21 And you consider yourself a con man, at one 22 time? 23 Not now. But in our organization--yes, you 24 asked me how many people, I've spoken for seven. I have

refused about fifteen other people to talk for them in

court because I felt they were coming from the wrong places. And a couple of them even threatened in their own way that I should and I said no, I stand my ground and I won't because, you know, I feel that it's not right.

So everything going good and what I've spoken to Liz about, and what she's spoken to me about, I have seen someone who is trying, and that has impressed me because I have been there and a lot of other people have been there and I know how people come to cope with the situation.

Mr. Horton, as far as the future support that you can give Elizabeth through the fellowship, is that available to her?

A It's available; people have offered their homes, have offered their support, she's had phone calls and she has responded to that and people have responded to her.

And we do support people, we do have a lot of support. And we're not easy either, we're pretty tough with ourselves because we know what it's like.

One thing that Mr. Jones had reminded me about was he mentioned the easily available alcohol that is in the English penitentiary system.

Yes.

Q Is that--

Page 37

1	A	That's only if you're on remand, sir.
2	Q	And that is provided by the penal system
3	itself?	
4	A	Oh, no. I believe they're allowed a
5	certain amount	of alcohol brought in by the friends who
6	are visiting.	
7	Q	But that's what I meant, they have it with
8	the blessings I guess of the penal system.	
9	A	Oh, yes.
10	Q	As far as illicit drugs, are they easily
11	available in the British penitentiary system?	
12	A	I believe they are, yeah, because at one
13	time two years	ago I went and spoke to some prison
14	officers about drug addiction and they shared their fears	
15	about the drugs that were in prison and they felt that at	
16	some times they were a bit frightened of people who are	
17	under the influence of drugs and they don't know how to	
18	stop it. And	they're also concerned about around
19	children.	
20		MR. DAVIS: Thank you. Answer any
21		questions, please, Mr. Updike may have.
22	CROSS EXAMINAT	ION

It's Mr. Horton is it?

Mr. Horton, yes.

23

24

25

A

BY MR. UPDIKE:

1 As I understand it, she contacted you in January of this year? 3 Yes, sir. That would have been right after she was 5 served with the warrant of extradition to the United States, wouldn't it? 7 I don't know about it. 8 You don't know about her completing her 9 sentence on fraud charges the last part of December, 1986? 10 Yes, sir. 11 And her completing a twelve-month sentence 12 at that time and upon the completion of that sentence she 13 was served with the warrant of extradition from this 14 country? Do you know those circumstances as a result of 15 your conversations with her? 16 Yes, I knew of those circumstances, but we 17 were talking about --And actually she had been in the 19 penitentiary--well the penal system there in England since 20 April the 30th, 1986, hadn't she? 21 (Witness nods in the affirmative.) A 22 She'd been there quite a while. 23 Yes. A 24 And during that time had made no efforts to 25 contact your organization. But in January for some reason she did contact you.

A The I

The reason being that I know of is that at times, because we're still only seven years in the country, in fact the prison that Elizabeth was in we now have a meeting in there and I know Elizabeth did speak with some of the staff. And the reason was that she met somebody who was drug free in prison and they had contacted Narcotics Anonymous and that was what she wanted.

I understand, sir, and I'm not challenging you and your organization, we're happy to have you here. But that doesn't change the fact that it was January of this year that she contacted you all, does it? I mean that's when it happened.

A Yeah, we have to do it sometime.

Yeah, that's true. And you said that you were a con man. She of course was locked up there for being a con, wasn't she, defrauding, bouncing checks, flim-flam, that kind of thing as we call it here?

A I'm sorry sir, but I don't judge other people.

No, I'm not asking you to, I'm asking what your English judicial system did; they found her guilty of that, didn't they?

A Yes.

Q And they incarcerated her as a result of

that. Yes. So she's something of a con herself, isn't she? I mean you have been asked your expert opinion as a former con man. Exactly. And what I see is that we all make mistakes. Yes, that's true. Sometimes people die as 8 a result of those mistakes, too, don't they? 10 (Witness nods in the affirmative.) 11 MR. UPDIKE: I have no further 12 questions. Thank you, Mr. Horton. 13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. DAVIS: 15 Mr. Horton, I believe you do know that 16 Elizabeth pled guilty to the charges that were pending in 17 England, is that your understanding? 18 The charges of fraud? 19 Yes. She in fact pled guilty to those 20 charges. 21 Yes. 22 And maybe I didn't understand you, but did you say that there was not a meeting of your fellowship in 24 the prison that Elizabeth was in at the time she there? 25 No, we'd been trying for four years to get

a meeting in the prison and I have a new government there now. And I know Elizabeth and people like her approached the system and this is the way we do it. But at the time when Elizabeth was there, there was no Narcotics Anonymous. MR. DAVIS: Thank you very much. (Witness stood aside.) (Whereupon a recess was taken.) 9 CHRISTOPHER PAUL KELAND, was called as 10 a witness and having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows: 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JONES: 14 State your name, please. 15 Christopher Paul Keland. 16 And how old are--state your name again. 17 Christopher Paul Keland. 18 And how old are you? 19 I'm twenty-three years old. 20 And where do you live? 21 Charlottesville, Virginia. 22 And what are you doing in Charlottesville 23 at the present time? I'm a restaurant manager.

And what's your level of education?

25

I've got a BA from the University of Virginia. 3 And do you know Elizabeth Haysom? Yes, I do. 5 Do you recall when you first met Elizabeth? 6 Yes, I met her in the spring I gather, 7 fall, spring, of 1982. She came to the University of Virginia to tour the campus to see if she would like to 9 attend there, and through a scholars program we have at the 10 university she came to stay at my freshman dorm and I 11 showed her around the campus that day. 12 And what were the scholarship programs that you referred to? 14 This is the Echols Scholarship Program. 15 She was named Echols Scholar, and in that program they keep 16 all of the people who are named Echols Scholars in one or 17 two dorms and when the prospective scholars come to UVA 18 they are brought to that dorm and the students there are 19 just asked to give them a hand. 50 Were you an Echols Scholar yourself --21 A Yes. 22 --at that time when she visited initially? 23 Yes, sir. 24 0 Was she alone on that? 25 Ά

As far as I know she was alone, yes.

And how much time did you spend with her on that occasion? 3 Probably about four to five hours in the I took her around to a few fraternities, walked her around campus. What were your initial impressions of 7 Elizabeth at that time? Extremely neat lady. 9 What do you mean by that, can you explain 10 that? 11 Just a very interesting girl, she was of a 12 rather exotic background, she was debating whether to go 13 to the University of Virginia or Cambridge University in 14 England which is a rather unusual predicament to be in for 15 a prospective UVA student. 16 And do you remember when you next saw 17 Elizabeth? 18 Yes, it was the fall of 1984 when she did 19 in fact come to UVA a year after she had plans originally 20 and I just ran into her at a party on the university 21 campus and went oh my God, I remember you, oh my God, I 22 remember you. 23 Was this your final year? 24 This was my third year at UVA. 25

And it would have been her first year.

Page 44

That would have been her first year. And how much did you--how much did you see 3 her during that fall of 1984? Just periodically, maybe once or twice a week we'd run into each other on campus. I know one particular place that many students ate, we saw each other 7 there frequently. We'd occasionally go out socially at night. And did she date other people as well during that period of time? 11 A As well as who? She never dated me. 12 Well you said that she would go out with 13 you socially. 14 A Socially. 15 Meet on social occasions. But did she 16 actually go out with other students there? 17 Oh, yes, she did. She went around with her 18 suite for a while, she developed friends outside of her 19 suite within the dorm. She went out with the people she 20 met at the dorm and people she met through those people. 21 And tell us if you would your impressions 0 22 of Elizabeth at this time when she came there to school. 23 She was a very hard working student, she was a very social person, she was a very garrulous person who

likes to meet people and go out and do things with them.

Active socially but also very active academically. 2 And was there also a student there by the name of Jens Soering? Yes, sir. And did he begin his education at the 6 University of Virginia at the same time she did? At the same time she did, and they lived in 8 the same dorm with the same scholars program. Was he in another scholar program as well? 10 Yes, he was also a Jefferson Scholar which 11 is a scholarship program where you not only receive little 12 perks as far as what you're required to do academically 13 but you also get your entire education paid for. 14 Okay. But as an Echols Scholar you don't 15 get your --16 You don't get your education paid for, no. 17 So he had two different scholarships. 18 And did there come a time that they began 19 going out with each other? 20 Yes, sir. I'm not exactly clear on when 21 that was, but at one point Elizabeth was free agent as they say and the next time I saw her she was seeing Jens, 23 so. 24 Can you state when that occurred in relation to the Christmas break of that school year,

before or after?

A I think after but I've never--as I said,

I know two other gentlemen she also dated before this and

I don't know exactly--only knowing all of this after the

fact, I never really got an exact time table of when she

was seeing him.

Q Who were those other two gentlemen that you

remember her--

A Eric Ingalls and John Grouper.

10

11

13

15

16

18

19

21

23

25

And did you have occasion to observe Elizabeth and Jens Scering together as their relationship developed?

A Yes, to a degree. As I said, just periodically running into them on campus.

Q To that extent, can you tell the Judge what you observed as far as the development of their relationship.

That it was a pretty naturally developing relationship. Just as anyone would, they got to know each other and saw each other more and more and all of a sudden they were a one unit; they saw no one else and decided they were quite happy with each other.

Q Did they keep to themselves or did they get involved in the social group activities?

A I think at first, as natural at first, they

were pretty much involved in other social activities and going out with other friends and then they started to be a little bit more reclusive. But just as any couple just becomes more and more reclusive as they get to know each 5 other for a while, that's about what they did. Now did you continue to know the two of 7 them until the next fall of '85 when they left Charlottesville? 9 Yes, sir, I did. 10 Did you ever know Jens Soering to use 11 drugs? 12 No, I did not. A 13 How about Elizabeth? 14 Not while she was going out with Jens 15 Soering, no. 16 How about on other occasions? 17 Previous to that I think she undoubtedly 18 used drugs but nothing particularly serious. There was other people in the dorms that would have done a lot more 20 drugs than she would. / 21 After the murders occurred, do you remember 22 the first occasion that you saw Elizabeth in 23 Charlottesville? 24 Yes, I do. 25 And would you tell--you know, just describe

what you observed of her, about her at that time. I just ran into her in the place called 3 the Cave, a place where a lot of students eat on campus, and she walked into the Cave and she didn't look too great, she looked like, you know, her parents had just 6 died. Her face was very puffy, she was extremely pale, she looked extremely worn out and tired and just aggitated 8 with the world in general. How would you characterize her state of 10 mind? 11 A State of mind seemed relatively clear, but she did seem upset as if she was--13 Did you ever see Elizabeth with her 14 parents, either one or both of them? 15 Yes, I saw her on one occasion with both of 16 her parents. 17 And where was that? That was in my mother's house in Cambridge 19 Square Apartments in Charlottesville when they came to 20 help aid Elizabeth in finding an apartment to live in the 21 next year. 22 And can you tell us approximately when that occurred? Excuse me? 25 Can you tell me approximately when that

occurred?

A Oh, yes, sir, that would be either late January or early February of 1985 which is when most students go looking for their next year housing.

And would you tell us what you observed of that encounter, particularly how Elizabeth seemed to relate to her parents.

She seemed--it was very interesting because she did what a lot of college students would do when their parents are around, which is act a lot more like your parents. She seemed perfectly happy to be with them, but they are people who, they really did just drip with charm.

They were utterly charming people, and when you walked into the room with them in the room you kind of straightened up your backbone and decided to all of a sudden be very witty and very clever and very charming.

And I think the same thing--Elizabeth had the same natural reaction; she acted a great deal like her mother and father, was extremely charming to my mother and as I said, quick wit. The ideals of British charm in effect.

Did you detect any animosity between them?

No, certainly no animosity. Everyone was concentrating on the project of finding an apartment and they were fairly working well together to do this.

Q Now did there--after the murders occurred,

Page 50

was there a time--period of time when Elizabeth and Jens Soering were living in the same housing as you were? 3 Yes, over the summer, the month of July 4 especially, that year. 5 In July of 1985? 6 1985. 7 And what were you doing in Charlottesville at that time? 9 That summer I was working as a pool guard 10 for Management Services Corporation and I was living in 11 Virginia Avenue in this large house. I was staying in the 12 house for free with the girl that was renting the house for the next school year because she was going to be alone in 14 the house for the summer and wanted just someone else in 15 the house in fact. 16 And so there were two of us, the girl who 17 lived in the house and myself and we had a lot of spare 18 bedrooms. And so they took a bedroom. 19 And what were they doing in Charlottesville that summer? 21 I'm not exactly clear on this, but I 22 believe they were both taking classes. I believe that

Jens was taking something in economics and Elizabeth was

either taking Chinese or was talking about taking Chinese

next year and was taking something else. But they were

23

24

there clearly to take some courses, to get courses out of the way that they would like to get out of the way so they 3 could do the major they wanted the next year. 4 And during this period of time in 5 particular, were there any--did you observe any indications in the personality of Jens Soering, any 7 tendencies towards violence or inability to control his temper or anything like that? 9 Inability to control his temper, yes, 10 violence, no. He did throw temper tantrums, but they 11 never became violent. 12 They never manifested themselves in any actual violence? 14 No. 15 Can you give us any examples of what he 16 might throw a temper tantrum over? 17 Just if a piece of machinery weren't 18 working properly and it was going to be a problem if it 19 weren't working properly, if the toilet plugged up or something wasn't working in the kitchen, he would just 21 lose his temper over that, over things that, you know, you 22 didn't really have that much control over and just really 23 get extremely upset for no reason. 24 Was there a particular instance about a

25

toilet?

A Yes, there was one time when a toilet did plug up and it was--he got really mad because he couldn't plunge it successfully so it would work again.

Q Was there a time when Elizabeth made a comment to you about her parents?

A Yes, sir.

Q Tell us the circumstances.

I do not remember exactly the circumstances because I thought of--I remembered this comment after the murder had occurred, so that's the only reason I still remember it was it was triggered by the murder having occurred.

But I believe the potential--maybe the situation was that we were in my apartment having dinner or we were at a party somewhere talking, but we were just talking about how recently something had gone wrong that goes wrong with every college student, something like she had bounced a check or something else similar, she'd forgotten to pay rent or something like that and she said that her--she and her mom and dad were upset about this and it was no big deal.

And I said well how are you and your parents getting along, do you guys really just disregard each other or do you like each other? And she said well, a year ago or two years ago I really didn't like them that

Page 53

\_

much but I'm really starting to like them and we're really starting to get along.

And that was spurred--I remembered it afterwards because I thought if she really had not liked her parents a great deal, there was no real great reason to feel too sorry for her. But remembering that comment, I then thought oh, this really is sad, because she really was for the first time starting to really like her parents. And now for them to die, it was in fact unfortunate.

In relation to when the murders did occur, in late March of 1985, are you able to recall when that statement was made, when that conversation took place?

Probably two to three months before the murders.

And then when Elizabeth and Jens came back in the fall of 1985 to being that fall semester, did you have any contact with him from that time in late August, early September until the middle of October when he left?

A Very, very little. At that point they were relatively reclusive. People would run into each other in the Cave and go what's going on with Elizabeth, and no one would hardly know because they were very reclusive at that point.

And over the course of approximately the

year that you knew the two of them and observed their relationship, lived with them, who in your opinion was the dominant personality?

I do not believe that there was a dominant personality in the relationship. They worked very well together as a team and they both had very clear goals in life, they wanted to take certain courses, get into business school and then open up a particular kind of business they had in mind later on in life, and they both worked very carefully together to achieve those goals.

And I didn't really see one domineering the other either in personal moments or in what they wanted to do with their lives and not in any direction. I'd say they were very much equal partners in the relationship.

MR. JONES: Answer any questions Mr. Updike has.

## CROSS EXAMINATION

## BY MR. UPDIKE:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

O So they became very reclusive, stayed together, the two of them, and worked together very well as a team.

(Witness nods in the affirmative.)

Q I certainly won't take issue with you there, sir. Let me ask you about some of the earlier things that you stated concerning her being there at the

University of Virginia. She was an Echols Scholar, that's a very prestigious scholarship up there at the University of Virginia, isn't it? 4 Yes, sir. There are about 150 or so of them awarded each year out of a class of about 2,000. Quite an honor, obviously. Yes. 8 And I understand that you were one as well? 9 Yes, sir. 10 And that's quite an honor for you as well. 11 I certainly wasn't one there, I assure you. But as you described her being there and her student life, I believe 13 you were saying that she was garrulous, social, got along with people, would all that be accurate? 15 A Yes, sir. 16 She'd date certain boys or perhaps go out 17 with people like you who she was not actually dating, but 18 had a normal social life. 19 Right. 20 Got along pretty well and seemed to be adapting fairly well to the life there in Charlottesville 22 I take it. 23 Yes, sir. 24 Dabbled in drugs, but unfortunately that is 0

not uncommon on college campuses today.

A No. 2 And when you met her parents, I believe you 3 described them as being utterly charming. (Witness nods in the affirmative.) Yes, 5 sir. 6 MR. UPDIKE: Thank you, no further 7 questions. 8 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. JONES: 10 Mr. Keland, do you recall how Elizabeth and 11 Jens would go about choosing their courses of study? 12 Yes. 13 And what influence would Jens Soering have 14 as far as that decision was concerned? 15 Are you saying what influence he would 16 have on what courses Elizabeth would choose? 17 Right. 18 Only as much influence as they really seemed to work as a team and he would be looking at her, 20 she was choosing courses and would just be--stay on track, 21 take what you need to do, what you want to do. But 22 Elizabeth was definitely in control of her courses she was 23 taking.

(Witness stood aside.)

24

25

FAYE BRAMLETT, was called as a witness and having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. DAVIS: 6 State you name for the Court, please. 7 Sir? 8 State your name, please. Q 9 A Fave Bramlett. 10 And Ms. Bramlett, I believe you're a deputy 11 and matron at the Bedford County Jail, is that correct? 12 Yes, sir. 13 How long have you been employed at the 14 Bedford County Jail? 15 Nine years. 16 And during the nine years that you have 17 been there, has your capacity been as a deputy and matron? 18 A Yes, sir. 19 What do your duties include as matron of 20 the jail? 21 Taking care of the female inmates. 22 And in fact you were employed in that position on or about May the 8th of this year when 24 Elizabeth Haysom came to Bedford County, isn't that 25 correct?

Yes, sir. If you would, describe to the Court how much contact you had with Elizabeth either through the normal daily jail schedule or through the visits that would be made at the different times of the day. Well I have contact with her every eight hours that I'm working because we make our rounds twice in an hour, or every thirty minutes. 9 And are you on a swing shift, a different 10 shift? 11 Yes, sir. 12 So would you have an opportunity to see her 13 and visit with her at all different times of the day and night? 15 When I was working eight to four and four 16 to twelve on midnight, I didn't talk to her that much 17 because they're sleeping. 18 But other than that, you would have daily 1.9 contact. 20 A Yes, sir. 2.1 If you would, describe the relationship you 22 have had with Elizabeth since she's been in the jail as 23 far as the social, if there can be described such a thing

in jail, when you would see her, what the circumstances

were and what if anything would be said or done.

24

She's been very cooperative the whole time that we've had her down there as far as I'm concerned. Just talk, general talk, that's it. Q Has she been polite to you and all the other employees and inmates? A Very polite. Q Has she caused any trouble whatsoever? 8 No, sir. Has she been abusive to you or anyone else 10 that you're aware of? 11 A Not that I know of. 12 Would you ever have any extended 13 conversations with Elizabeth? 14 Sometimes I would go up at the cell block 15 and talk to her, especially when she was in the cell by 16 herself, for maybe forty-five minutes or an hour. 17 And would those conversations cover many 18 various topics? 19 A Yes. 20 Did she ever talk to you about her future? 0 21 Not really. A 22. Did she talk to you while she was there 23 about what she hoped to do while she was in jail or later 24 on? 25

No, sir.

```
1
                   Out of the--I assume there have been
 2
   hundreds of inmates that have been there, perhaps
 3
   thousands in your nine years.
 4
                   That's correct.
 5
                   At the jail, would that be an accurate
 6
   statement?
 7
                   Uh-huh.
8
                   Where would you rank Elizabeth in relation
9
   to all of the inmates in relation to her congeniality, her
10
   willingness to cooperate?
11
                   She's been a model inmate.
   A
12
                  Would you place her at the top?
                   Yes, sir.
14
                   How many visitors would you say on the
15
   average Elizabeth has had since she's been there, per
16
   week?
17
                 Well see, I don't work every Wednesday
18
   during visiting. It's three or four regular visitors that
   I know of that she has.
                   Did Elizabeth request that you come up
21
   here to testify on her behalf?
22
                  No, sir, she did not.
   A
23
                  In fact I subpoenaed you, is that correct?
24
                   That's right.
25
                  And you're not real comfortable about being
```

up here, is that --That's right. 3 MR. DAVIS: Thank you very much. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. UPDIKE: 6 Ms. Bramlett, would you sit around and talk 7 to this woman for forty-five minutes at a time? 8 A Yes. 0 While you were working? 10 If she's my only charge, that's my job is 11 taking care of the female inmates. 12 Just sit there and talk to her? 13 Not unless something happened that the 14 jailer needed me downstairs, he would call me. 15 MR. UPDIKE: All right, ma'am, no 16 further questions. 17 (Witness stood aside.) PHYLLIS WORKMAN, was called as a 19 witness and having been duly sworm was examined and 20 testified as follows: 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. DAVIS: 23 State your name for the Court, please, 24 mafam. 25 My name is Phyllis Workman.

```
And Ms. Workman, where do you live?
2
                  I live in Ivy Hill here in Bedford County.
3
                  And how long have you lived in Bedford
   County?
5
                  Thirteen years.
6
                  Did you know Nancy and Derrick Haysom?
7
                  I did.
   A
8
                  And I believe you know Elizabeth Haysom
9
   also.
10
                  I do, yes.
11
                  Are you any relation to--were you any
  relation to Mrs. Haysom, to Nancy Haysom?
13
                  Yes, distantly. My mother and Elizabeth's
   grandmother were first cousins, Nancy's mother and my
15
   mother were first cousins.
16
                  And do you recall when it was that you
17
   first met Elizabeth?
18
                  Yes, I do.
19
                  When was that and where was that?
20
                  Elizabeth's parents, Derrick and Nancy,
21
   were in Lynchburg visiting in the home of a Buffy Abbott
  and they had a dinner party. Elizabeth's cousin Risk was
  here and they had a dinner party, a rather large party,
24
  for relatives and for close friends. I was there, my
25
```

husband and I were invited because we were related and I

think that information came about through the Kempers, Al 2 and his wife were there. Judge Dale Harris and Ted were there and Judge Sweeney was there. Was Elizabeth there? 5 Elizabeth was there very briefly. She was on her way out with a group of young people when my husband 7 and I arrived. Did you meet her on that occasion? 9 I did. 10 You met Elizabeth at the cocktail party. 11 Yes. It was more of a dinner party, but 12 Elizabeth and some young friends were on the way out for 13 an evening on the town I believe just as we were arriving, 14 so we met them in the front hall and I was introduced to 15 Elizabeth. 16 And I believe you saw her a couple more 17 times, but you were not well acquainted with her up until she came back from her arrest in England, is that correct? 19 That is true. 20 What--before I get to that, did you attend 21 the funeral of Mr. and Mrs. Haysom? 22 A I did. 23 Did you see Elizabeth there? 24 Yes. When I got to St. Paul's Church, I 25

was there fairly early, and I sat pretty close to the

front, ended up sitting with the family. I think the family took up maybe -- I was in the second row there and 3 Elizabeth came in and sat just in front of me over to the right a little bit. Can you describe her appearance? Elizabeth was a very distraught, upset, you 7 could tell visibly that she was shaken, that she was crying and had been crying. She was, as I might put it, a basket case. She was really upset. 10 Have you had an opportunity to see her at the Bedford County Jail as I was going into? 12 A Yes. I have been visiting her there. 13 What prompted you to go see her at the 14 jail? 15 I felt very deeply that someone needed to 16 go to Elizabeth and tell her that she was loved, and just to let her know that someone cared about her and wanted to be her friend. 19 Have you been seeing her on a regular basis 20 at the jail? 21 I have. Since June I think I've missed 22 maybe two Wednesdays, as we can only go on Wednesday. 23 I asked you at one point whether she'd ever asked for anything from the jail and you told me she had.

Yes.

```
What was that?
                   She asked me for some coffee, some instant
   coffee, and she asked me one time to bring her some good
   smelling soap and some good smelling powder and some good
 3
   smelling shampoo; that was when we were having a horrible
   heat wave. And then on another occasion she asked me to
5
   bring her a towel.
                   In her five months of incarceration at
7
   Bedford, is that basically the extent of what she's asked
   for?
q
   A
                   Yes.
10
                   Has she ever asked you for any money?
   Q
11
   A
                   No.
12
                   Did she ask you to come and testify on her
13
   behalf?
14
                   She did not.
   A
15
                   Have you been corresponding with her as
16
   well through the mail?
17
                   Yes, I have.
18
                   Now there has been some mention that
19
   Elizabeth is a great actress, was a great actress.
20
                   I have heard that.
21
                   And how did it come about that you heard
   Q
22
   that?
23
                  One of her brothers mentioned that to me
24
```

25

and another friend in Lynchburg mentioned it to me. To me, what is your response to that claim? Well I can only speak for Elizabeth since I 2 have known her and I have never known her to be an actress. Elizabeth to me is a very sincere, humble, loving, straightforward, honest--most everything good I can say about Elizabeth at this point I would. And of course you're aware of her background? Yes, of course I am. And the criminal offenses in England and 10 what have you. 11 Of course I am, uh-huh. 12 Did you mention something else, that if she 13 wanted to act she would have acted in a different manner? I did. It came to me that if Elizabeth 15 wanted to be an actress, certainly she would have picked a 16 much more flamboyant role. She could have pled insanity 17 and put on a good show. 18 But Elizabeth has done just the very 19 opposite of acting, which is pretending, pretense. 20 Elizabeth has chosen to tell the truth, she has chosen to 21 face what she has done, to admit what she has done; 22 that's to me the exact opposite of acting. 23

Page 67

Is there any question in your mind about

24

her sincerity? There is no question in my mind whatsoever about her sincerity. CROSS EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. UPDIKE: 6 Sincerity in what respect, ma'am? 7 tell you that she provided an alibi for the butchering of her mother and father? 9 A We never discussed that. 10 You never discussed the murder of her 11 parents. 12 No, we did not. 13 Then as far as your elaborate description 14 of her being an actress, that description would apply to 15 subjects other than the murder of Derrick and Nancy Haysom 16 then, wouldn' it? 17 I'm not sure I understand your question. 18 just know that in my relationship with Elizabeth, she--19 Let me explain my question then please, if 20 I might. How can you say that she would be acting or not 21 acting under certain circumstances if you'd never 22 discussed those circumstances with her? 23 A If she were not acting under what circumstances?

It's very simple, ma'am. When she talks

1 about the murder of her parents, you're not in a position 2 to say whether she's acting or not because you've never 3 discussed that with her, wouldn't that be correct? I have never discussed that subject with 5 her. 6 Thank you, ma'am. I'm a little bit 7 intrigued, if you could explain. You've sat there and 8 you've said what, that she's loving, she's honest, 9 everything good that you can say about this woman. 10 A Uh-huh. 11 And she's pled guilty to first degree 12 murder of her mother and father. 13 (Witness nods in the affirmative.) 14 Now how can you sit there and say something 15 of that nature? 16 I--are you Mr. Updike? 17 I'm Jim Updike, yes, ma'am. 18 I can say that because number one, I would 19 like to think in my years of experience with children and 20 in some work with other people that I have some sense of 21 discernment. Number two, I can say that because I know 22 full well that a person can change, and I believe 23 wholeheartedly that Elizabeth has made mistakes, we all 24 know that, she admits that. 25 0

Mistakes?

A Errors. 2 Q Errors? 3 Yes. 4 That's how you would categorize this? 5 For lack of a better word. Maybe you're 6 challenging my vocabulary here. Elizabeth has made--7 No, I'm just interested in your 8 description. I mean we're not talking about making a 9 wrong turn or something like that, we're talking about 10 murder, two counts of it. 11 Yes, sir, we are, we're talking about a 12 life that was headed in a wrong direction. It was headed 13 full speed in a wrong direction, but we're also talking 14 about a life that has changed direction. 15 I see. 16 And I would say that Elizabeth reminds me 17 of a person who might be on Route 460 between Lynchburg 18 and Roanoke and you get as far as Bedford and turn around, 19 you're no further away from Lynchburg, you're no closer to 20 Roanoke, but you have turned around, and she has started 21 in the other direction. 22 I see. In your opinion, though, based upon 23 your visits at the Bedford County Jail where she's been 24 incarcerated on these charges of murder, isn't that

correct?

1	A It is.		
2	Q And of course she'd previously been		
3	incarcerated for quite some time in England.		
4	A And my opinion is also based on		
5	correspondence.		
6	Q From her that she's written to you?		
7	A Yes.		
8	Q Your opinion then is based entirely upon		
9	what she has told you, is that correct?		
10	A No. I've heard good comments about		
11	Elizabeth from other people who have had close contact		
12	with her.		
13	MR. UPDIKE: I'm sure, ma'am. Thank		
14	you very much, I have no further questions		
15	(Witness stood aside.)		
16	(Whereupon a recess was taken.)		
17	VERIAN NEAL GRAHAM HAYSOM, was called		
18	as a witness and having been duly sworn was examined and		
19	testified as follows:		
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
21	BY MR. JONES:		
22	Q State your name, please.		
23	A Verian Neal Graham Haysom.		
24	Q And how old are you, Mr. Haysom?		
25	T was born in 1947		

Q	And where do you live?		
A	Mahone Bay, Nova Scotia, Canada.		
Q	And I believe you're the natural child of		
Derrick H	Taysom?		
A	That's correct.		
Q	What is your occupation?		
A	I'm a lawyer.		
Q	And Elizabeth is your half-sister?		
A	That's right.		
Q	We spoke briefly last night and you		
indicated	to me at that time that youone thing you were		
concerned about in coming here was detecting a sense of			
remorse,	is that correct, on Elizabeth's part?		
A	Yes, that's been a major concern to me and		
I think a	very important issue. I took it as a very		
positive	sign when Elizabeth didn't contest her		
extraditi	on and I also took it as a positive sign of		
remorse w	then she entered the guilty plea to the charges.		
	THE COURT: Excuse me, sir, would you		
	move your chair up a little bit further,		
	we're having a little trouble hearing.		
A	I also took it as a positive sign of		
remorse w	then she entered the guilty plea to the charges.		
And for m	e, that has been important in terms of her		
	recent actions. I did have some reservations as		

a result of things that I'd read in the newspaper on the question of remorse.

Would it be that you're saving that her

Q Would it be that you're saying that her actions are speaking louder to you than the words?

Well we haven't exchanged that many words, really. The reports that I received from the newspapers and clippings that were sent down to me suggested--left me with some questions about the remorse on her part. But, you know, I accept that as being what I have read in the newspapers.

But I took that as a very positive sign, the way she had dealt with admitting her guilt.

And waiving the extradition.

Yes.

And one other thing we discussed was your personal feelings regarding the length of the jail sentence in this particular situation.

MR. UPDIKE: Your Honor, we would object to that. I haven't objected to any other questions, but we do not feel that is appropriate.

be appropriate for him to suggest to me a particular length of sentence, Mr. Jones.

But I do think that he could state general

feelings about it as long as he stays away from specific matters. I think by and large that's my job, not his.

MR. JONES: I agree completely, Your Honor, and he didn't indicate anything to me in our discussion that was specific. It was a general feeling on his part and particularly being a member of the family, I think it would be relevant and appropriate.

THE COURT: I'll hear his general feelings about the matter of punishment.

term question of what happens to Elizabeth is something that's of real concern to me, it's something that I think about the long-term, what is going to happen to Elizabeth. And I accept that one day she will be back in society, and I just question what it will be that returns to society, whether there is a person there who is capable of rehabilitation, who can be rehabilitated and returned and it would be my real desire to see that happen.

I don't want to see her languishing in jail for a period of time that does nobody

Page 74

any good, and that's my concern. And I think one of the questions is what happens to Elizabeth in the future, and that's really the concern that I had. And I just worry that simply forgetting about her and putting her in the prison system is not going to serve her or anybody's long-term interests.

MR. JONES: Thank you, Mr. Haysom.

Answer any questions Mr. Updike may have.

## CROSS EXAMINATION

## BY MR. UPDIKE:

- Mr. Haysom, were some of the concerns that you had from the newspaper reports, the concerns about the reports of sexual abuse by your mother directed towards Elizabeth Haysom?
- A That's correct, yes.
- Q And to your knowledge and understanding, those allegations are not true?
- Those allegations are unfounded in anything within my experience within anything within my knowledge of my family, and I was very disturbed and upset by them.
- Q Would it be true that your parents tried to provide for Elizabeth Haysom in the best way that they knew how as far as providing the best education that they

Page 75

7 8

were capable of providing financially, would that be true?

A I believe that's true, yes.

And would it also be true that at all times in their conduct toward their daughter, their primary exclusive concern was her own welfare, would you agree with that?

A Um, yes, I have nothing to suggest otherwise.

MR, UPDIKE: Thank you very much. I have no further questions.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. JONES:

Mr. Haysom, is it correct that the education of not just Elizabeth, but you and the other children, was a very high priority with your parents?

A Yes, we were a very privileged family in terms of our education. We were all very well educated. My grandfather was a school teacher and it's a value that has stemmed from that.

(Witness stood aside.)

	ELIZABETH ROXANNE HAYSOM, was called
as a witne	ess and having been duly sworn was examined and
testified	as follows:
DIRECT EXA	MINATION
BY MR. JON	IBS:
Q	Would you state your name, please.
A	Elizabeth Roxanne Haysom.
Q	And how old are you, Elizabeth?
A	Twenty-three.
Q	And where were you born?
A	Salisbury, Zimbabwe.
2	And in what year were you born?
A	1964.
Q	And how long did youZimbabwe was in
Rhodesia a	t that time, is that right?
A	Yes.
Q	And how long did you live there?
A	Approximately three years.
Q	And where did you next live?
A	We moved to Luxembourg.
Q	And do you recall approximately how long
you lived	in Luxembourg?
A.	About two years.
Q	And then where did you go from Luxembourg?
A	To Nova Scotia, Canada.

And do you recall about how old you were 1 when you moved to Nova Scotia? 2 I was about five years old. 3 Now you've got -- you have four half-brothers 4 and one half-sister, is that right? 5 Yes, sir. 6 Now during those first five years of your 7 life, do you remember them living at home or being around very much? 9 In Luxembourg my two youngest brothers, 10 Howard and Richard, they were going to school in 11 Luxembourg. And so they would be in school, but they were 12 around for the holidays. And after you moved to Nova Scotia at about 14 age five, how long did you live there before you went to 15 school elsewhere? 16 I went to boarding school when I was ten. 17 And did you go to school in Nova Scotia? 18 Yes, I did, sir. 19 From the time you were five years old, or 20 when you started school, until when you were ten years 21 old? 22 Yes, sir. 23 And do you recall how the decision was made 24 or why the decision was made as to your going to boarding 25

school at that time, at age ten? Well I was very young, so I wasn't very 2 aware of the discussions that were going on. I know that 3 I liked the idea of going away, I wanted to go away, I 4 appreciated the idea of going to school away. 5 And I believe that you have two front teeth Q 6 that are discolored, is that right? Yes, sir. 8 Did that come as a result of something that 9 happened while you were living in Nova Scotia during those 10 years? 11 Yes, sir. 12 What was that? 13 The year before, when I was nine, it was 14 just before Christmas and I was going to the local 15 elementary school and some young men--I don't recall 16 recall what happened specifically, but they just grabbed 17 me and took my head and smashed it against the corner of a 18 wall at the school, and breaking my front teeth and 19 damaging my jaw. 20 And I spent the next, I believe it was five 21 or six years having corrective surgery and orthodontistry 22 done. 23 And was there any reason that they did this 24

to you that you're aware of?

25

I later discovered that they were the sons of union agents at the steel works where my father worked, where my father was the head of the steel works, he was the 3 president of the company. And there some some strife going on at the plant and they took it out on me I suppose. And I suppose that was one of the reasons why my parents felt that I should be sent away to school, because they were concerned about my welfare, that my father was very concerned about my welfare. You also have a couple of scars on your 10 face, one underneath your eye and under your chin. that also come about from something that happened while

A Yes, sir.

And what were they?

you were living in Nova Scotia?

Well the year before my accident with the teeth, it was the summer I had turned eight, the previous April, and I was at home, my father was obviously at work.

Where was your mother?

My mother was out collecting rocks. She was interested in making stone walls and she went to collect rocks. So I was at home and I was to feed the dog.

And I fed the dog and returned to his bowl to take it away because I had forgotten to put some

25

5

6

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

(8)

19

20

21

22

23

ingredient in the dog food and the dog bit me across my face in three separate bites. 2 Was anyone home at the time? 3 No. 4 And how old were you? 5 Eight. And I returned to the house, tried to call my father. I wasn't able to use the telephone. And then I went up the driveway and went to the next-door neighbor's, who was approximately a quarter of a mile away. 9 and she took me to the hospital. And it was at the 10 hospital that my father appeared and then later my mother 11 appeared. 12 And then where did you first go to boarding 13 school? 14 In Switzerland. A 15 And do you recall the name of the school? 16 Yes, St. Georges. 17 And you were ten years old at that time? 18 Yes. 19 And how long did you stay at St. Georges in 20 Switzerland? 21 For one year. 22 And it was brought out at the previous 23 hearing that you reported to the police about being raped 24

while you were at that school in Switzerland, is that

correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q Would you just explain briefly how that came about?

It was at the end of the second semester, towards the end of the second semester in March, and I was playing tennis with a group of friends. And by the tennis courts there was a public walkway which people passed by frequently.

And as we were playing tennis I knocked a tennis ball over the back wall of the tennis court, which was a practice court. And I went around to retrieve my tennis ball and there were two young men there and what developed developed.

Did you report it to the school officials?

Well while it was taking place, obviously my friends were playing tennis and they saw something was going on, and they went and they notified the school authorities. And I was coming back from the incident when the head mistress was coming to find out what was going on.

She took me to--it was a hospice, she contacted the police. Several officers came and they interviewed me. I was taken to see a doctor. And I had--at the time I was having dental work done from the

previous year's accident on my teeth and some of that had been damaged. So I had to have some emergency dental 2 treatment done on my mouth. 3 I talked to the police officer several 4 times, I went with them on a walk around to do an ID of these people, but I didn't have any recollection of what they looked like. Were the perpetrators ever located? Not to my knowledge. 9 Did you ever seek any counseling as a 10 result of this episode? 11 No, sir. 12 And where did you next go to school? 13 The following September I went to a school 14 called Riddlesworth Hall in Norfolk, England. 15 And how long did you stay there? 16 It was two years. 17 And then where did you go after that? 18 I went to Wickham Abbey. 19 And how long did you remain there? 20 Six years. 21 And did you graduate or complete your 22 studies at Wickham Abbey? 23 Yes, I did. 24 And how old would you have been at that

time? 1 Nineteen. 2 Describe these two schools to us, what 3 types of schools were they and what their reputation was. Riddlesworth Hall was considered one of the 5 best, what we called proper schools, I assume the 6 equivalent of an elementary school, goes up to age twelve 7 or thirteen. 8 And then you have to take what's known as 9 common entrance or exams to get into your senior school, 10 which we call public school, and that goes from age 11 thirteen to eighteen. And Wickham was considered one of 12 the most academically and sportswise most prestigious 13 schools in England. 14 Okay, is this -- can you identify that, 15 please? 16 This is a transcript of extracurricular 17 activities from my school. 18 This is not a school transcript but a 19 transcript that you prepared and you provided the 20 information to use that we put on it. 21 Yes. 22 (TRANSCRIPT MARKED DEFENDANT'S

EXHIBIT NO. 2.)

23

24

(continuing) BY MR. JONES: And does this describe the activities that 2 you were involved in at both Riddlesworth and Wickham 3 Abbey? 4 Just Wickham. A 5 Wickham Abbey? 6 Yes. 7 And it includes such things as music and 8 sports, drama, public speaking, indicates you did some 9 writing; was that one of your particular interests? 10 Yes, sir. 11 You were in Switzerland or England from age 12 ten to nineteen going to school, is that right? 13 I was in Switzerland for a year and then 14 to--15 All together, about age ten to age 16 nineteen, you were either in Switzerland or England going 17 to school. 18 Yes, sir. 19 During those nine years, how much contact 20 did you have with your mother and father? 21 It would depend from year to year. 22 Generally what would happen is that I would come home for 23 Christmas, Easter and some holidays, Christmas being a 24 three, four-week holiday as was Easter, which was my 25

birthday, and summer being approximately eight weeks. And did these times become less as you grew 2 older or increase or stayed about the same? 3 They grew less, certainly. For instance I A 4 spent my eighteenth birthday in England at that Easter 5 holiday. 6 Did your birthday generally come during the 7 Easter break? 8 Yes. It wasn't so much really that I spent 9 I suppose less time with my parents, I spent very little 10 time at home, I guess. We would--I would go where my 11 parents were, which meant a great deal of my holidays were 12 in one country or another or hotel rooms. 13 Now your parents were both divorced, is 14 that correct? 15 Yes, previously. 16 Previously divorced. And do you know where 17 they met? 18 I believe they met in South Africa in 19 Johannesburg. 20 And you are the only child of their 21 marriage, is that correct? 22 Yes, sir. 23 And how many children did you father have 24 by his previous marriage? 25

1	A	Three.		
2	Q	And can you tell us their names?		
3	A	Verian, Julian and Myra.		
4	Q	Myra being your only half-sister?		
5	A	Yes.		
6	Q	And how many children did your mother have		
7	by her previou	as marriage?		
8	A	Two, Howard and Richard.		
9	Q	And I believe that three of them are		
10	present here today?			
11	A	Yes, sir.		
12	Q	Who is here today?		
13	A	I believe Mr. Updike saidVerian said it		
14	and I believe he said that Howard and Richard were here.			
15	Q	And how much older were they than you?		
16	A	My youngest brother Richard was ten years		
17	older than I am and then Howard is eleven years older a			
18	Myra is elever	years older and I lose track after that.		
19	It's about twe	elve or fifteen years after that; Julian is		
20	about twelve	years, Verian's fifteen.		
21	Q	Now during the time that you were in		
22	boarding school from age ten to age nineteen, how much contact did you have with any of your brothers and			
23				
24	sisters?			
	A	Some I had more contact with than others,		

depending on their movements. While Howard was in medical school I saw a little bit of him. It was when we were living near where he was going to medical school at that time. So I would see him when he wasn't studying off and on, I'd be approximately age twelve. But I would see maybe Howard once a year.

I would see Verian -- it would depend on when the holiday was. If I was in Nova Scotia I would see Verian, and Julian was in Nova Scotia so I would also see him occasionally.

Richard I didn't see for many, many years.

I went to visit him out in Calgary, him and the girl he married.

- Q Is that where the two of them live at the present time?
- A Yes. And I hadn't seen him for years before that and I haven't seen him since except for the few--.
- Your parents were obviously ones that moved frequently from place to place.
- Yes, they moved frequently.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Was there any particular reason for that as you look back?
- A Well my mother originally said that they moved a lot because of my father's job. He traveled a great

deal and mamma would be at home. But after my father retired, they moved actually a whole lot more than they had before. We moved three times in one year in Virginia. 4 How did it go with you and your parents up 5 through your completion of Wickham, especially during the 6 years that you were away? Well certainly in the holidays that I 8 spent with my parents, they were very concerned, very supportive of what I was doing in school. I did have some 10 resentments that had stayed. They never came to my 11 successes or failures while I was out at school. 12 never saw me play a lacrosse match, they never saw me 13 perform, the--14 What do you mean when you say perform? 15 On stage. 16 You were involved in drama. 17 Yes. They--but as I say, in the holidays 18 they were concerned and wanted to know what I was doing. 19 Then for a long time it was the successes that I suppose I 20 was resentful of, that they weren't there to share them 21 with me. They did share those moments with at least some 22 of my brothers, they have homemade movies of the sporting 23 events. 24

25

England contribute to that?

Page 8-9

Did the fact that you were all the way in

Yes, they were overseas in Canada and it was impossible for them to come over just because I was 3 playing a lacrosse match. But did they visit you in England from time 5 to time? They moved to England for a year, but they In later years I started having some problems never came. at school, they were academic problems, they were social problems, and the school themselves tried to contact my 10 parents on a number of occasions about academics, about 11 social issues, about drug use, and my parents never could 12 be located when they were in Africa for instance. Or they 13 just--14 My father was quite funny. He didn't 15 believe what the school had to say, he didn't think it was 16 a problem. He adored me and he saw me as being perfect 17 and so he didn't believe what the school had to say. 18 Was there a time during this period that 19 you wanted to come home to Canada to go to school? 20 Yes. When I was about fifteen or sixteen, 21 that's the end of a segment of school, you take what's 22 known as A levels. 23 What, A levels being a type of examination? 24 A Yes, B levels are an examination you take

at approximately sixteen years old and then the A levels

are an exam you take when you're eighteen years old, standardized tests to get into the university.

And it was impossible for me to change schools in the middle of the course, but I wanted desperately to leave Wickham after my A levels and go to school near my home in Nova Scotia. And we looked at a number of places in Nova Scotia, I visited them with my parents, and my father wrote to several of the heads to see if I could come and what level I could come at.

- Q Why didn't that work out?
- A My father thought that Wickham was very prestigious and that to break up my schooling career would be inappropriate. And so I just carried on at Wickham.
- Q Did they become at any time involved in your A levels or the courses you were taking?
- Yes, it was at the same time. We discussed changing schools before I actually took my A level exams, but in the year that you take your A levels you have to decide what A levels you're going to take because we specialize. There are eight different subjects, and in A levels you specialize and do two, three, four single subjects.

And my father, he saw me to have abilities that I don't suppose I really had and he thought I was a scientist, he thought I was an engineer. And I was at

Page 91

:25

fault as well because I pandered to his dream to follow in his footsteps. And I adored my father, and I wanted to make him happy and do what he wanted me to do so I led him to believe that I was capable of something that I wasn't capable of.

The school had contacted him and said that it was out of the question for me to take those subjects, but he had total confidence that I could do anything that I put my mind to. And so my A level subjects were chosen for me and I started off doing maths, we call it maths, further maths, physics and chemistry, which was an extremely advanced program of study in science.

I began in the subjects but as I got into them it was blatantly obvious that I was not a candidate of A level standards in those subjects. I spoke to my head mistress and all of my teachers spoke with my head mistress and said she's a bright girl but she can't add.

And my head mistress and I both spoke to my parents about changing my A level subjects and my father said it was nonsense.

Your father said what?

It was nonsense. So I studied for the year in subjects not doing very well, but doing my best. And he following year. September of the next year, I continued on into the final year of my A level courses.

And I think it was approximately late September, beginning of October, my parents phoned me up. I was in the middle of a physics problem at the time, I remember it very clearly. And I went to the phone and my parents had changed my A levels to completely different subjects. 6 Now your information you submitted in this 7 exhibit indicated your interest was in the arts, is that 8 correct? 9 Yes. 10 Upon your graduation from Wickham, what was 11 going on just before that occurred in your life? 12 Well as I started all these new A level 13 subjects, I went from the maths, further maths, physics 14 and chemistry to--15 I'm not talking so much in your academic 16

just before you left Wickham?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q What were those problems?

Yes.

Well there were a number of problems. In that last semester I was working towards my A levels, I was working towards interviews at Catherine's College, Cambridge. I have a very close association with a girl there. My parents decided they didn't want me to go to St. Catherine's and I overreacted I guess.

life, but in your social life. Did some problems come up

I had been working to go to Cambridge since I can remember and--well I suppose what I did when they said to me we don't want you to go to St. Catherine's, we want you to come back to America. We had planned that I would do things, and then just go to the friend's birthday party, things like that. We want you to come back to America, we want you to go to UVA, I completely overreacted. I threw everything up, I threw my school career up by stealing, I threw up my academic career by forfeiting my class at Cambridge, by not completing my A levels properly and by also not attending the interview because I told the school and the school notified Cambridge. Was drugs a part of your life at that time? Very much so. And then I compounded the error, not only throwing all of that away, I ran away. And approximately when would this have been? I believe it was in June. My mother mentioned in the letter that it was July, but I believe it was June. Of what year? '83. And I ran away.

Did anybody go with you?

3

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Yes, I went with this girl. Would that be Melissa? Yes. How long did you stay gone? 3 I believe it was about five months. Q And did you stay in Europe the whole time? 5 Yes. And how did this journey terminate? 7 Well I was using drugs extensively and I 8 eventually ended up in Berlin in a commune-type place; it was a place called Cook Cook. And I had some sort of 10 accident; I was drugged up so I can't really relate what 11 precisely happened. I lost several days. I was found--I had 13 fallen down some stairs into an elevator shaft. The Cook Cook place was a converted railroad station and it was an old abandoned elevator shaft. 16 And after this accident, I was obviously 17 ill, and I was also ill from the drugs, and I was 18 improperly clothed and I wasn't properly fed. 19 Did you have any money? 20 Beg your pardon? 21 Did you have any money? 22 I had some money previous to this accident. 23 I had been working and I had quite a considerable amount 24

of money which I had worked for, but it was -- one of the theories was that I had been hit over the head and my money had been stolen from me. I did have a very large egg on the back of my head. 3 What did you do at that point in time or who did you come in contact with? Well I was still with Melissa at this time and she was also a British subject. So we went to the 7 British Embassy. I wasn't very coherent at the time because I was suffering from a very severe concussion and I was also drugged up. 10 So we went to the embassy and it was from 11 there, I don't remember the details, that I first came 12 into contact with Colonel Stuart Harrington. Colonel Stuart Harrington? 14 Yes, sir. A 15 And was he a member of the United States 16 Army? 17 A Yes. 18 Stationed in Berlin at the time? Q 19 A Yes. 20 Is he here today? Q 21 I believe so. 22 Q You saw him last night at the jail, didn't 23 you? 24

```
Yes, I did.
   A
                   And did you stay with Colonel Harrington
   for a number of days in Berlin?
                   Yes, I did. I believe I stayed with him
   A
3
   for a week or so.
                   And did Melissa also stay with him?
5
                   Yes, she did.
6
                   And did you correspond with Colonel
   Harrington after that?
                   Yes, I did.
9
                   Did you ever see him again?
10
                   Yes, I did.
11
                   And when was the first occasion that you
12
   next saw Colonel Harrington?
                   He came to visit in, I believe it was May
14
   of '84.
15
                   Where did he come to visit?
16
                   In Lynchburg.
17
                   At your home?
18
                   Yes.
19
                   And at that time had your parents moved out
20
   to Loose Chippings?
21
                   Yes.
22
                  And how long was he there?
23
                  He spent the night. He never met my
   A
24
25
```

father. Pardon me? He never met my father. He was not home at 2 the time. Your father was not home at the time. 4 No. And your mother and you were there? Q 6 Yes. A And did you see him one other occasion? Yes. After my parents were killed Jens and I went to Europe for a month and I paid a surprise visit 10 to Colonel Harrington in Berlin at that time with Jens. 11 Would that have been in the summer of 1985? Q 12 Yes, sir. NO TAPE 13 And you said you have corresponded with 14 Colonel Harrington. Are you familiar with this document? 15 Yes, sir. 16 And are you familiar with the signature 17 that appears on that document? A Yes, sir. 19 And what is that document? 20 It's a letter written on the 2nd of 21 November, 1983, from West Berlin, Germany, from Stuart Harrington to my mother. 23 Q 24 Is it a letter recounting his--what

25

occurred during the week you and he were together in Berlin following your running away? Yes. 2 MR. JONES: I'd like to submit that 3 into evidence, Your Honor, if there are no 4 objections by Mr. Updike. 5 MR. UPDIKE: No objection. 6 (LETTER MARKED DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 7 NO. 3.) 8 BY MR. JONES: (continuing) 9 At this point in time, you know, when you 10 arrived in Berlin, to what extent were drugs a part of 11 your life? Considerable part. 13 And what types of drugs were you using? 14 I was doing a lot of LSD that was being 15 manufactured where I was staying and I was doing a lot of 16 heroin. What? 18 Heroin. And there was a lot of marijuana 19 and hashish. 20 Now where did you go when you left Berlin? 21 I flew back to London. 22 And who did you meet up with there? Q 23 Julian was waiting for me at the airport, 24 25

my brother.

Q And did your father meet you in London shortly after that as well?

A Yes, I believe he came a few days later,
I'm not sure how much later.

Q And did you stay in England for a period of time?

A Yes, we did.

Q How long was that, approximately?

A Three weeks. I'm not sure.

Q And from the time your father arrived, was he there in England with you the entire time?

Not the entire time. The first day or two I continued to stay with my in-laws who I had been staying with before he came, but after an incident--my father was staying at his--at Picadilly and my in-laws lived in North London, it's quite a distance.

And I was still wandering around like an idiot with a hole in my head and bandages on things, and one evening after I had been to a concert with my father, he put me on the tube, the underground, to return back to London. And I had some sort of blackout or something and didn't hitch up with him that morning.

I didn't get home to my in-laws, I didn't arrive on the doorstep until about three in the morning

25

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

```
and they were really concerned because I was obviously not
   real well. So after that incident I went and stayed with
   him and after that I was with my dad the whole time.
                  And when you and your father left London,
3
   or England, where did you go?
                  We flew to Nova Scotia.
5
                  And would that have been in mid November,
6
  sometime in mid November of 1983?
                   I believe it was November 21st.
                  And did you and your father stay in Nova
9
   Scotia for a period of time?
10
                  Yes, for a month.
11
                  And when did you return to Virginia?
12
                  Not until just before Christmas.
13
                  And were your parents living in Virginia in
   November and December of 1983?
                  Yes, they had been living there for a
16
   period of time by that time.
17
                  Where was your mother while you were in
18
   Nova Scotia?
19
                  She was in Virginia.
20
                  Did she come to Nova Scotia?
21
                  No.
22
                  Did you see any of your brothers or sisters
23
   during that period of time?
24
```

I believe I saw Verian, I can't remember 2 whether I saw Julian. 3 Verian and Julian live in Novia Scotia? 4 Julian does now. I can't remember when he moved there. 6 Was there any particular reason that you and your father stayed on in Nova Scotia for a month before coming here to Virginia where your mother was? My father and I, it was a time for us to 10 talk, and we got quite close. He was very concerned about 11 my mother's relationship with me. He warned me that she 12 had been drinking, she was drinking quite a lot, and she 13 wasn't quite herself sometimes and that I would to have 14 control, try not to aggravate her, be good, be as 15 forgiving and also to try and make amends for the terrible 16 distress I caused them. 17 You know, my mother aged considerably 18 because of my running away and she was deeply distressed 19 about it and she was on the verge of hysteria about it and 20 he wanted to prepare me for how she was going to receive 21 me, how she might receive me. 22 And was there some concern on his part 23 about how she might perceive your relationship with 24 Melissa? 25 A little bit. My father wasn't the kind of

man who would discuss personal things like that, he found it extremely embarrassing to discuss anything of a personal nature. But he did--we did discuss it in general terms about corresponding with Melissa and whether I was permitted to maintain contact with her, to be careful about it with my mother, because she was very upset. I'm showing you now a group of seven letters and ask you if you can identify the handwriting on each of those letters. 10 A The first one, September the 8th, '83 to my 11 Colonel Williams, it's from my mother. 12 Written by whom? 13 It's written by my mother. It's signed by 14 my mother and it's signed by my father, but it's written 15 by my mother. 16 Are all the letters in fact written by your 17 mother? 18 Α Yes, sir. 19 Now looking back at the first letter of 20 September 8th, 1983, I believe except for the first 21 letter, which is a letter to Colonel Williams, and all the 22 rest of them are letters to Colonel Stuart Harrington. 23 I believe there is one to his parents. 24 And who was Colonel Williams? 25 I don't know who he is, I have never met

him. But I gathered he was the superior officer to Colonel Harrington; he was his boss so to speak. 3 Was it your understanding that someone in the Lynchburg community may have some contact with him? 5 Yes, it was. 6 Going back to the letter of September the 7 8th, 1983, there is a mention in there about some grades, 8 or your grade on your Echols Scholarship Achievement Test. What is the comment that's made in there and what comment 10 would you have about that? 11 She says -- it's on Page Two. In advanced 12 placement exam she obtained an A and two credits in her 13 degree course. The advanced placement is based on not 14 letter grades, they are based on number grade, they go 15 from one to five, the lowest being a one. 16 I received a four, which isn't an A, but my 17 mother chose to tell people that I had an A in my advanced 18 placement. 19 THE COURT: I'm sorry, I didn't hear 20 that. 21 My mother writes here that I obtained an A 22 in the placement examination. I didn't get an A and I 23 couldn't hope to be graded as an A. 24 Again, in relation to grades in school,

later after your first semester at the University of

Virginia, did something come up about your grades involving your mother?

Yes, sir, it did. My first semester I did start out doing very well academically, but by the end of the semester, as my grades show, I had a very poor grade average after my first semester.

When I was returning from Yugoslavia on the Christmas holiday, '84, it was now the beginning of '85

I talked to them over the telephone, because my parents called at a certain time, and over the telephone my mother told me that I had a 4.0 grade point average for my first semester. We--that was--that attitude about my grades was maintained until approximately March when my dean, the dean of the Echols scholars contacted me and he said that he had spoken to my mother on the telephone and that he had been concerned about my grades and what did she think.

And she said that I had a 4.0 and that she was very happy, a straight A average. And he was talking to me on the phone because he wanted me to sort out what had happened.

I went around to my professors and I went to the student office on Barracks Road and discovered that my grades were very poor; I had obtained C's and an F.

Q When you got home from that Christmas trip

Page 105

after you had been told on the phone that you had got a

4.0 average, did you not see your report card or whatever
they send home?

No. sir. My parents never showed me my

A No, sir. My parents never showed me my reports.

And Mr. Arthur reports in his presentence report regarding your grades for the fall semester of 1984 that you attempted fourteen hours and you received a final grade of F in computer programing, and grades C and B plus in, German and political philosophy; are those the correct grades to your recollection?

A Yes, it is.

Q Now did you ever--you said you never saw your report cards.

A I did see it at a later stage.

Q Your report cards in general?

No. I would be allowed to see them years after the event, my mother would say it was funny that she would keep them all. But when she received them I would not see them. They would sometimes discuss something with me or they would say that was great and that was it.

Q The specific transcript that we're talking about here, did you later see it?

Yes, I did.

Page 106

And what did you see? I was cleaning out my mother's desk and the 2 transcript was there. And my mother had written all over 3 the transcript, she had written A's over my grades and filled it in. I'd like to refer you on to the letter of 6 November 28th of 1983 which is in that package I gave you, 7 and that --8 November? A November 28th, I believe, 1983. Q 10 Yes. 11 Do you have that? 12 Yes. 13 And that has a portion in there where she's 14 telling, I believe Colonel Harrington, about a jaunt, I 15 think she called it, that you and your father were having 16 around England. What does the letter say about that? 17 On the last page are you referring to? 18 I think so. 19 Then Derrick and Elizabeth took a little 20 jaunt around England, visiting friends and family, all who 21 one way or another were involved in Elizabeth's "sojuourn" 22 and all came and demonstrated this to her. I think this 23 brought self-confidence and hope back to her.

24

25

Q

Did that few weeks in England do anything

about your -- do anything as far as your self-confidence was concerned or how would you describe that period of time? 2 It was--I'm sure my father had the best of 3 intentions taking me around, but it was very humiliating. 4 Why was it humiliating? 5 I was ill, I was embarrassed by the state 6 that I was in. I felt that the prize had been brought 7 home and I was now falling into the role of again playing 8 perfect daughter of the social, enchanting and gracious to these people who were nothing in my life, I didn't know 10 them, they were--11 You're not talking about your parents, 12 you're talking about the people your father was taking you 13 around to visit. 14 Yes. And I was being taken around and 15 exhibited as the reformed person in a matter of days having 16 been through this experience. And I was tremendously ill 17 and embarrassed by my state, and also I wanted to spend 18 time with my father alone to find out what was going wrong with my life, and instead I was paraded. 20 Now that letter also describes when you and 21 your father first wet when he arrived in London. Would 22 you read that portion of it, please? 23 November 28th. "My husband arrived in 24 London the day Julian returned here. We phoned Elizabeth.

She were still staying with our relations. The girls met him at a tube station and daddy got a great hug and kiss right then and there. As they walked along Eliza spied a yummy cafe, and the three of them had that which we used to call a real coffee treat."

How do you recall that meeting?

Well I did meet my father at the tube station and I did hug him and kiss him. It was wonderful to see him again. But we didn't go to any cafe or participate in any of those things.

Q What sort of things does she refer to that you participated in?

Well she goes on later and she says they went to concerts, plays, dinner, and when she says they, she's referring to myself and Melissa and my father, they went to concerts, plays, dinners, I think he bought them both more clothes. It's true, I went to a concert and went to many dinners and I think I went to a play with my father and he certainly bought me more clothes; I didn't have anything to wear.

But the context which is written here is that my--at home life my father was playing his role to my friends as well, and that's not true.

Now moving on to the letter of January the 3rd, 1984, and that letter talks about returning home.

Excuse me, I'm sorry, January the 3rd? I believe so, of '84. Q 2 Α Oh, here it is, excuse me. On the second page of that letter she's Q 4 talking about Christmas of that year. Yes. 6 Would you read that portion to us, please. 7 Where would you like me to start, it's 8 rather long, 9 First paragraph, the first full paragraph 10 in that page. "Christmas was really Christmas. Father 12 Christmas and his reindeer (the Bronze Belle, an ol' Dodge 13 van!) arrived December 24th p.m., overloaded, and he 14 brought to me my precious daughter. We did not have 15 stockings, we had each other. 16 "We did not exchange gifts, that is 17 commercialism, but I had a small bit of my art work for 18 her, another for her daddy. She had made up a small 19 portfolio of her work from the past few years and 20 presented it to me. I still baven't finished reading all 21 the works. Every time I start reading I find myself in 22 tears and simply can't see so I'm trying to go easy with 23 it. 24

Fage 110

"In our communications with each other, no,

it's not always easy." Excuse me, "no, it's not always easy, nobody would expect that." 2 So far as gifts are concerned, how do you 3 recall that Christmas being celebrated? In the usual extremely generous and 5 flamboyant way. We were engulfed in Christmas. My 6 parents were very, very generous and we received many, many gifts and that this Christmas was no exception. 8 And your mother mentions having a piece of 9 art work for you. Did you have any literature for her? 10 Yes. Well when I was in Nova Scotia with my 11 father, in an attempt to try and be what they wanted me to 12 be, I wrote a poem for my mother; she always wanted me to 13 write poems about her, to her, for her, because she felt 14 if you love somebody you should write poetry about them. 15 And so when I was in Nova Scotia I made the 16 attempt to write her a piece of poetry. I made a 17 portfolio of these poems. There was one poem for my 18

I gave them to my mother at Christmas and she says, but she was very disappointed that I had only managed to write one poem about her and I had all these other poems. And the original copies were—they weren't destroyed, I believe that the portfolio is still

mother; the rest were a collection of poems I had written

throughout a period of time.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

around, but she threw her -- she had been drinking, she threw her coffee or whatever it was she was drinking over the originals because she felt that I had not appreciated 3 her in ways that I should have, and that's probably true, in that poetry. Does that talk about outdoor activities as being therapeutic? Yes, I do. When my mother states she had practical therapy for curing people with self-pity or hate or rebellion, and that applied to everybody in the family. 10 We worked in the garden and we collected rocks, we mixed 11 cement, we weeded, and we cut wood for the winter or cut 12 wood for people. 13 Did you do a lot of that during this period 14 of time after you came back? 15 Yes. 16 That spring after you came back, did you go 17 to Phillips Business College in Lynchburg? 18 Yes, I did. 19 And does that same letter have any comments 20 about your going to Phillips Business College? 21 Yes, it does. 22 And what does it say? 23 On the academic side, she chose to enter 24 into secretarial school. I think that's all it says. 25

And what was your mother's feeling as far as your going to Phillips Business College in Lynchburg? She disapproved strongly in the beginning. 3 My father thought it was a good idea that I get some practical skills because I had a head full of useless 5 knowledge really and I didn't have any practical skills. 6 And when I was in Novia Scotia we discussed me going to a secretarial college in Nova Scotia, which 8 is what I wanted to do, which obviously I had to spend some time with my mother, it was necessary, so we 10 compromised. My mother wanted me to go Randolph Macon and 11 Sweetbrier and she went to a tremendous amount of trouble 12 to get me in there for just the semester, whatever, but I 13 went to Phillips then. And moving on to the letter of February 15 2nd, 1984, there is one comment about that letter, she 16 mentioned in there about your going out on evenings with 17 young people. 18 Yes, she did. 19 And what does she say in the letter about 20 that? 21 "Elizabeth says that she is enjoying the 22 business course and she definitely enjoyed being with 23 the young crowd. One night they played Trivial Pursuit." 24

What was your parents' attitude toward

25

going out, even, with your contemporaries?

When I -- over this period of time I went out just a couple of times. I went out it's true, I went one night and played Trivia with some very close friends of my parents' children, but I wasn't allowed to go out with other people.

And there is one more, the letter of January 20th of 1985 to Colonel Harrington. And that letter talks about reimbursing him for expenses he apparently incurred when you were in Berlin.

A Yes, in many of these letters she talks about sending checks to pay for expenses incurred in bringing me back to Nova Scotia and then eventually to America. And the fact is that that money was actually taken, it was taken from her personal account and she wrote the checks. But previous to that, the money was to be left to me by a friend of my father's, and money would be left to me by my grandfather were taken out of the trust funds and put into the personal account of my mother's and she told me--I mean she said something and my father said that I had lost that money because of the expenses incurred on my trip and that money had gone towards the expenses. But she didn't make that clear in her letters, that it is as if I in fact paid.

In connection with that, did your parents

Make any comment to you about your inheritance from them?

A Yes, they always said that the only inheritance I would ever have would be my education, and that's exactly the way they would say it.

MR. JONES: Your Honor, I'd like to-I'm not sure how you want to proceed.

THE COURT: Now Mr. Jones, we're going to have to give some consideration to a good stopping point for lunch here.

MR. JONES: I was going to suggest, Judge, that this might

THE COURT: This might be it. It's now ten minutes to one. This Court will recess and we'll resume in one hour in this courtroom.

(Whereupon a luncheon recess was taken.)

## BY MR. JONES: (continuing)

Ms. Haysom, you have indicated that in the spring of 1984 after you returned to Lynchburg that you attended school at Phillips Business College. What other activities were you involved in during the spring and summer of '84 before you began at the University of Virginia?

A I applied to a writing seminar at Rochester University in New York.

Did you actually attend that? Yes, I did. 2 With whom? Q 3 My mother and I drove up there in my mother's van, and we lived in the van in the back while I 5 was at Rochester University for two weeks. And you were preparing to go to the 7 University of Virginia on Echols Scholarship, is that 8 correct? Yes, sir. 10 What was your feeling about attending the 11 University of Virginia at this particular point in time? 12 At that particular point in time I was very 13 happy to do so. 14 And how did your parents feel about you 15 going there? 16 They wanted me to go very much. 17 And what had happened to your hope to have 18 gotten into Cambridge University? 19 Well that had been spoiled by my last 20 semester at Wickham. I'd still at some later stage, but 21 I'd overcome my rather curious attitude about the 22 University of Virginia by that time. 23 What type of social life did you experience 24 during the spring and summer of '84 while you were in

Lynchburg?

A I spent most of my time with my mother or my father. My father left Lynchburg in I believe late April. I don't recall going out at all with anybody. I may have associated with the children of my parents' contemporaries, friends' friends, which I spent most of my time with my mother doing things with my mother.

And at the time you entered the University of Virginia in September of 1984, how were things going between you and your parents at that point in time? How would you characterize your relationship?

My mother was unhappy about me leaving home to go to the university. We had become very close and I think she wanted--she had gotten used to having me around. So when I got to the university my parents called me frequently and they visited me frequently and I began to feel overwhelmed, smothered perhaps, by their affection for me.

I had sort of anticipated that when I went to the university, although I obviously needed guidance of some sort from my parents, their advice, that I would have some independence and that was not so.

Q How did you react to that? Were there any outbursts of arguments or did you submit to it or what?

No, I never crossed my parents. In the

beginning I played up to their image, I saw them, I telephoned them, I received their calls and I tried to understand the way they felt.

As things progressed, as my good intentions for school, for working hard and for pulling my life disintegrated and I started to abuse drugs again and sometimes abusing alcohol, I started to play hooky on my parents I suppose; I avoided their telephone calls, I avoided seeing them because I did feel overwhelmed because they controlled every aspect of my--every day of what I was doing.

My mother would turn up all hours of the day and night in my dorm room to check on me, what I was doing. And I knew she was doing it out of love, and she was concerned, and I don't--I suppose I took it the wrong way. I was resentful of this attention.

Q In what sort of environment did you live at the University of Virginia as an Echols Scholar?

I lived in the dorm with other Echols Scholars. I was in the third floor in a suite of ten people, all girls. We were--the dorm itself was coeducation and I had a roommate.

And you indicated that after you arrived there that you got involved again with drugs and alcohol. Had you been away from drugs and alcohol since your

episode of running away in Europe before? I had been drinking very heavy at home. My 2 parents drank, and therefore it was very easy for me to drink a lot at home. And I don't recall--there were occasions when I was at Phillips when I obtained drugs, not -- just hashish, marijuana, used them once or twice. But most of the time I was only drinking until I got to UVA. And once I got settled into UVA, I abused drugs extensively. I would party with a group of people 9 and I would drink and I would do what they were doing and then come back to my room or somewhere and then abuse it. 11 When you used drugs, did you make public 12 use of them or private use? 13 It was both. But my really abusive behavior 14 with them was most of the time privately. There were a 15 couple of occasions when either Jens or one of the guys I 16 was dating knew that I was fixing, but I would pass it off. 18 What types of drugs were you using while 19 you were at the University of Virginia that year? 20 I was doing a lot of amphetamines, speed, 21 and I was doing some heroin. And I began popping pills 22 and there was quite a lot of hashish that had been soaked 23 in opium so that it's very powerful, and some acid.

24

25

What was Jens' attitude towards drugs in

general? He was very anti-drugs, extremely antidrugs. 3 Did he use drugs at all? I never saw him use drugs. He told me that 5 he had once or twice tried drugs when he was at high school in Atlanta, but his attitude was very, very hostile to drug users and drugs in general. 8 Did he know you were using drugs? 9 He knew in the beginning when I first met 10 him and when we were friends that I was using drugs. I 11 later on lied to him about it because he was very--he 12 would become very angry if he knew I had been using drugs. 13 Were you able to keep it from him? 14 I would hide from him, I would lie to him 15 about where I was going and what I was doing. I would say 16 I was going to class and I would go off. I would tell him 17 I was going to see some people, and I would see them 18 because he sometimes checked up on me, that I would see 19 them and I would go on and score some drugs someplace. 20 He makes reference, I believe, in one of 21 his notes to me about I don't turn up at the right time 22 when I say I'm going to turn up or else always having to 23 wait for me. 24 In the fall of '84, what's your first

recollection of Jens?

I met him the very first day I was there, it was for the Echols Scholars there and I was introduced to him. And my very first thought of him was that he was very rude, he was very hostile, he was very aggressive, but he was also very brilliant.

And he was introduced to me as a Jefferson Scholar and as a German, and I think that appealed to me. The fact that he was European was very superficial, but I missed Europe and I missed talking to people who knew about Europe, and that was my initial attraction to him I suppose, because he was European.

- Q During that fall, did you date other people at the University of Virginia?
- A Yes, I did.
- Q And who were they?
- I dated a number of people, Harry Engels,

  John Greenburg, I for a short time knew Eddie Castianca,

  and went out with groups of people, young men, and I

  socially saw a lot of people, not including Jens, and

  Christian, John Keen, David Roseland.
- And by Christmas of that year, by the time you left on Christmas break, where did you relationship with Jens stand?
- 25 A By the time school broke up for Christmas,

```
Jens and I were officially in love.
                  What do you mean officially?
                   He had declared to me that he was in love
3
   with me, and I had reciprocated that feeling.
                  About how long had that happened before you
5
   left for Christmas break?
                  I'd say within the last couple of weeks in
   the semester.
                  And what--where did he go over that
9
   Christmas holiday?
10
                  He went to Detroit, back to his parents.
11
                  And what did you do over that Christmas
12
  holiday?
                  I went home to Lynchburg; I spent a very
14
   brief evening with some friends from UVA and then I went
15
   to Yugoslavia for a skiing holiday.
16
                  And do you recall when you left for
17
  Yugoslavia?
18
                   I believe it was either the 26th or 27th of
19
   December, I'm not sure of the date.
20
                   And whose idea was it that you go to
21
  Yugoslavia on this trip?
22
                  It was my mother's idea.
23
                  What was your feeling about the trip?
24
                  I wanted to be at home with my parents.
25
                                                             It
```

was Christmastime and I had always spent Christmas with them and I did spend Christmas with them, but -- I did want to go skiing and I discussed with my mother and my father the skiing trip to New England which would have taken place after the new year. My father wasn't particularly happy with the idea of me going away during that Christmas period, but my mother thought that it was something that I should do. And you know, I sound ungrateful, a skiing trip to Yugoslavia is a great thing, except that there is no skiing at that time in Yugoslavia. 10 Did you get to ski at all that Christmas? No. Did you convey that to your parents? Q 13 Yes, I did. I told them that I would 14 prefer to go to New England later on in the holiday, it 15 would have been much cheaper and it would have been more 16 appropriate. 17 Before you left on that trip, 18 Commonwealth's Exhibit No. 28 I believe it is, no, I'm sorry, No. 23 I think is the right summer, is some writings that you made before you left, is that right, on this trip? Yes, sir.

And that writing contains various dates

11

12

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

throughout.

```
Yes, it does.
   A
                   Can you explain that?
2
                   It's a letter in diary form I suppose.
3
  actually, I believe on page -- one of the pages I actually
   say, on Page Five I say in my diary to you, it was written
   in diary form.
6
                   And when you say now my diary to you, who
7
   is you?
8
                   At the time of writing it, I was writing it
   to myself.
10
                   And where was this, what's the first date
11
   on that document?
12
                  The 20th of December.
                  And what's the last date of the last entry
14
   in there?
15
                   I think it's the 24th of December.
16
                   And is this one of the--did the
17
   Commonwealth have their witness read extensively from this
18
   document on the hearing on August 24th and 25th?
                   Yes, sir.
20
                  Where was this?
21
                  This was written at Loose Chippings in
22
  Lynchburg on Holcomb Rock Road.
                  At your home?
24
                  Yes.
25
```

And why were they written? It was written because writing is the way I express myself, I expose my heart on paper. My resentments and anger and frustrations, love, I express those all on paper. Do you recall what your state of mind was when these various entries were made as far as any--7 Well I usually write in the evening, and at 8 home I had certainly been drinking in the evening, so I believe at some point I make reference that I've been 10 drinking. But I generally used to drink or have a drug 11 when I was writing. 12 You indicate the format is a diary form and 13 you have indicated it was a diary to you, but it was later 14 found in Jens' possession when he was arrested. 15 That's correct. 16 And you did mail it to him? 17 Yes, I did. 18 How did it happen that you mailed this 19 document to him if it was initially intended as a diary to 20 you? 21 Well when I went home to Lynchburg I had 22 some phone calls with Jens. 23 You're talking about home from Christmas 24 break from school?

Yes. And as he states in his letter of—I believe it starts on the 27th, his long letter, he makes reference to the fact that he's waiting for me to write to him. And we had several conversations on the phone, one was about writing to him. I wasn't phoning him either, he was phoning me.

And what I did is that I started--it turned into a diary--it turned back into a letter and I sent it to him. I sent it to him because I shared everything with him and I shared this with him.

Q What if anything were you trying to convey to him by the writings in this diary?

I think I was trying to tell him that I was unhappy. He always described how unhappy he was at home and I was describing to him that we all have strife at the home at various times. I expressed this very cruelly, very forcefully; I overindulged myself in my resentments and hatred, anger and frustrations that I felt. I got carried away on many occasions where one becomes very severe and nonsensical and ridiculous.

Q At the bottom of Page Six I believe it is, would you refer to that, please?

A To the bottom of Page Six?

Q I think so.

A The very last two lines?

The last part of it. Q "I want to be with you, around you, in you, 2 through you, tied to you forever and ever." 3 And is there a line in there that talks 4 about leaving or leaving something behind? 5 I say, "We can either wait until we Yes. 6 graduate and then leave them behind or we can get rid of them soon. My mother said today that if some accident 8 befell them she knew I'd become a worthless adventurer. 9 What were you conveying to Jens by those 10 several sentences? 11 I wanted to leave the University of 12 Virginia with him. It wasn't the University of Virginia, 13 I wanted to leave and get away from this overwhelming 14 adoration of my parents. And what I was saying is that we 15 can either wait until we graduate and leave them behind 16 then or we can leave them behind now. I believe we can 17 get rid of them, the you refers in my mind to all sorts 18 of things and Jens, when we were in custody--19 And in the early part of that letter there 20 is some reference to, I think it's that letter, about your 21 voodoo on them. 22 Excuse me? 23 Do voodoo on Page One. Okay, your entry 24

under the date of December 22, 184.

The state of the s

cut down cedars for Christmas presents, referring to my mother's theory of practical work, physical exercise makes you get away from your self indulgence.

Then when I refer to possibly hypnotizing and will them to death, it's in actual fact a shot at Jens rather than a shot at my parents. He had some extraordinary theories and he had done a lot of reading and—I don't want to call it research, but into popular articles and things on psychological warfare, if you want to call it that.

And I used to ridicule him about it, mock him about it, and that's what I'm doing, I'm mocking him and his theories on these things rather than referring to my parents, although I should never have referred to my parents that way.

Was the physical death of your parents something that you were considering or it was in your mind at the time that these writings were being made?

A Not true.

Was it ever in your mind? No. 2 Okay, now you recall at the hearing on 3 August 24th and 25th that following the Commonwealth's referring to this writing that they refer to a writing by Jens, a very lengthy document which is in that booklet. Yes. 7 About thirty or forty pages. Yes. 9 In attempting to relate certain comments in 10 that document to comments in the document we've just been 11 referring to. Yes. A 13 When did you first become aware of the documents that Jens had written? 15 The typed document? A 16 Typed document. 17 He handed it to me after we got back to 18 UVA, so it was sometime in January. He mentioned in that letter he doesn't know whether he was going to give it to 20 me or not or how he's going to persuade me to ask him for it, and he says that in the letter. 22 So the first time I ever saw it was 23 sometime in January. I read the first few pages and we 24

agreed to the fact that it's a very tense and boring

25

document. I read it, I don't remember how many pages, I read a certain number of them and gave it back to him as he asked, as he asked for many other letters, and he comments on those.

The next time I saw that document was just a few days ago.

As of the hearing on August 24th, as of that point in time, had you read through that entire document?

A No, sir.

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Q When did you first do that?

A When it was provided to me a few days ago, a week ago, whatever it was.

And when you first saw the document, you said you read the first--you started reading it but didn't get very far.

A Yes.

Did you get to any of the comments that Mr. Updike referred to?

I believe all the comments that Mr. Updike pulled out of the letter were from Page Seventeen onwards, because it's from Page Seventeen of that letter that Jens talks about receiving my letter, this document we have just described, read the parts of, and I certainly didn't get that far.

```
Would you refer to Page Seventeen in that.
                  (Witness complies.)
                  Is that document written at different times
  as well?
4
                  Yes, it's written in diary form.
5
                  Diary form as well?
   Q
6
                  Yes.
7
                  And the entries are dated?
                  Yes, it's dated at the time.
  A
9
                  Did you refer to Page Seventeen?
                  Yes.
11
                  And what's the date of the entry of the
12
  writing on Page Seventeen?
13
                  January the 2nd and he says I find myself
14
   momentarily out of subjects. I have been waiting for
15
   your letter with an almost silly desparation.
                  And then does he comment on Page Nineteen
17
   about your letter?
18
                  Yes, it's on January the 3rd that he
19
   obviously receives my letter.
                  Why do you --
21
                  Well as Mr. Updike pointed out, he makes
22
   several references to things that I mention in my letters
23
   and he says in the final paragraph to your actual letter,
24
   so he's referring to the letter he's received.
25
```

Now you say that is dated January the 3rd. Yes, sir. 2 Are there entries in that diary before the 3 date of January the 3rd? A Yes, there is. And I would refer you to Page Eight; what 6 is the date of the entries on Page Eight? 7 I believe it's the 31st of December. 8 Is there a mention of your parents on that 9 page? 10 Yes, there is. 11 And would you read us the reference to your 12 parents? 13 "By the way, were I to meet your parents, I 14 have the ultimate weapon. Strange things are happening 15 within me. I am turning more and more into a Christ 16 figure, that's a small imitation anyway, I think. 17 lieve I would either make them completely lose their wits. 18 And in your reading of that entire 19 document, is that the first mention of your parents in 20 that document? 21 Yes, I believe so. 22 Now before you, you know, had left on 23 Christmas break, had you had any conversations with Jens 24 about your parents and your feelings in that regard?

I had had general conversations with him about my parents. He would discuss his parents at great 2 length, and at some point rather I sat down with him and 3 said to him we all have problems and I did voice some 4 resentments and anger. 5 Why, did you anticipate problems? 6 Well I said that we all had problems at 7 home and expressed some of the problems that I had. What were your parents' feelings? 9 They didn't like any of the young men that 10 I saw. In November I brought home a young man, Eric 11 Engels, and my mother was very charming to him, she was 12 very charming, but she didn't like him because he was 13 Jewish and she didn't like him because he wasn't gentile. 15 In talking about the document on Page Ten, 16 is there another reference to your parents? 17 Yes, there is. A 18 And what is that? Q 19 "Love is a form of meditation and the 20 ultimate weapon against your parents. My God, how I've 21 got the dinner scene planned out. Unfortunately for you/ 22 result: Dad might leave me all his loot. 23 And on Page Twelve. 24 "I don't know whether I can resist this.

A

can see myself depriving people of their property quite easily. Your dad for instance. Even more easily can I see myself depriving many souls of their physical bodies. 3 There's a comment about his sexual problems Yes, he makes--it's a very long comment 5 about to quote his sexual dysfunctions. 6 What is he referring to there? 7 His impotency. 8 And as you testified already, on Page 9 Seventeen he indicates he's waiting for your letter as of 10 January the 2nd and on Page Nineteen he indicates that 11 he's received it as of January the 3rd. On Page Nineteen 12 and Twenty, does he make a reference to emotional 13 blackmail? 14 Yes, he does. He's referring to spending 15 the summer in Europe and he wants me to go with him. And 16 he says but if you don't want to go, that's I think I could 17 persuade you, real emotional blackmail. 18 From your perspective at this point in 19 time -- well first of all, will you tell me what is meant in 20 your mind by emotional blackmail? 21 I suppose the phrase would be if you love 22 me you'll do this and if you really love me you'll do 23 that. And he knew I needed him and that I loved him and 24

he would tell me that he loved me and that be needed me.

Q This is from Jens.

A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

Q From your perception now.

A Yes, sir.

Page Twenty-Nine and Thirty-One, is there any reference to violence?

A Yes.

Q What's that?

He says, "Elizabeth, this is real, it's happened, it's happening, it will happen again and again, and we are doing it every time we cheer Charles Bronson in the movies, or Bernard Goetz in real life when they blow away the punks with the knives, even if it's only for a second quietly inside. I've felt like this, I'm feeling it now inside of me, the need to plant one foot in one's face, to always crush. "Thank you Orwell, for that methaphor you borrowed. And then he goes on, "This is the big horror, the taste you have in your mouth when you wake up in the morning. The taste of death, of your ubiquitous enemy's blood that you drink every night, you drank in your sleep and that you drink every night. Civilization, whether in the form of nouthwash or taboos, you will try to remove that taste of the taste for blood, but when we wake up every morning it is there and it is real."

And then he goes on and he says, "There is

that other side that Watts speaks of, that deep-seated recognition that I am not only my brother's keeper, I am my brother, and every one and everything else, including and maybe especially those I gun down in some form or another. And on Page Thirty-Two, does he make any comments about shifting the burden or decisions? Yes, he says he's talking about whether 7 he's going to give this letter to me, he discusses this throughout the letter. And he says, "Originally I planned out very sophisticated ways of shifting this decision to 10 you so that in case you said ickee poo, I could say but 11 you wanted to--until I recognized these schemes for what they were. I'll carry the responsibility myself, I owe 13 that to you and also to myself." 14 Now why didn't you read this thing that 15 Jens wrote and gave to you after you got back from 16 Christmas break? 17 Well I read -- as I say, I read a number of 18 pages and the first few pages anyway, it was very difficult reading and very boring, very dull and very 20 strange. 21 You said earlier you gave it back to him; 22 why did you give it back to him at the--Well he asked for it back, he wanted it 24

back from me.

At that point were you interested in reading any more of it? No. 3 And did anything that you said or was 4 anything that you said in your writings of your own over Christmas before you went on your trip to Yugoslavia intending to generate a response to any of these responses, any response to the letter that you read? Let me say that again. Was anything that you wrote in your Christmas writings intended to generate the response that Jens may have made after he received the letter? 11 No, sir. I wrote a rather sordid, 12 unpleasant letter, diary, whatever it is; I sent it, so I 13 guess it's a letter, just to share the burden of some of 14 my frustrations. And today I think a lot of those 15 frustrations were probably blown out of proportion or 16 exaggerated to some degree. 17 And in early 1986, 1985, January the 6th of 18 1985, you're looking at a letter dated January the 6th, 19 1985? 20 Yes, sir. 21 Which I believe is Commonwealth's Exhibit 22 No. 25. I don't want to take up a lot of time reading a

whole lot, Your Honor, but in the first page in the middle

of the page that begins after your lecture, one begins as

23

24

25

Page 137

Now back to the long letter that he wrote.
On Page Thirty-Three, does he respond to that letter of January 6th?
A Yes, he does.
And how does he respond?

A For some reason I really disliked it.

Is that all he says?

No. He says—I say in my letter that it would be all right for us just to be friends, or if he doesn't love me anymore I understand. And his response is, "Kind of the same thing with suggesting I'd called you up to tell you it was over and that you could set your love aside and still be friends. The unrestrainable wild aspect, gone with the suggestion of my love leaving or your love being controllable. The spotlight blinding someone embarrassing has disappeared and I'm just sort of sitting there, having drifted back to earth, free fall just a past illusion. So there's no longer the danger of crashing to the ground, but now what?

"In a very real sense, walk over and pick up the parachute--a pedestrian experience. I couldn't play the somewhat reluctant love in the face of overwhelming fashion anymore, bring up the fiddles. That's what it boiled down to, I guess I wanted to be overwhelmed, worn out by your love, covered with kisses until I could no longer refuse

and return them, a lifelong, well eighteen year old deficit in love paid up.

Q When did you first see this response to your letter of January the 6th?

A few days ago.

Q And what in your words is he saying that he didn't like about your letter of January 6th?

Well for a change I was being rational in my letter about my situation, about his situation. But it appears to me now that he needed me, he didn't love me, he wanted to be overwhelmed and loved beyond reason. And at that particular time when I wrote that letter I was suggesting that I didn't love him beyond reason and that I could be friends with him rather than be his lover.

After you returned from spring break in January of '85, how did your relationship with Jens progress?

he says that he's going to give it his all, he says I'm going to give it my all, and he says I'm backing down to your position in which I feel comfortable so to speak, I'm trying to get something, your love, by which all rights I shouldn't. And at the time I welcomed his affection, welcomed his love, which I believed to be love. I loved him, or what I thought to be love and we became close. We

weren't nearly as close before the murders as we were after the murders.

I still tried to escape from him from time to time, I still tried to acquire space and there were arguments with my roommate about it. But we did become increasingly obsessed with one another:

In February of 1985, did he make a comment to you in your room I believe or in a room about your parents?

A Yes, he did.

Q What did he say?

A He walked into the room and he said I could blow their bloody heads off.

What prompted that?

I'm not sure. It may have been my parents were sending me out to Colorado to ski when I had planned to stay at the University of Virginia and work with Jens on his movie, and he didn't want me to go out to Colorado. And I'm not sure if it was that, I don't really know.

I was in my room, I was reading. He walked in, he said—and when he said it, at the moment that he said it it was definitely apparent that he was serious. He was angry and he meant what he was saying.

THE COURT: I'm not sure to whom this was directed, whether the statement was

made to him. I'm the one who's supposed to hear it and I've got to hear it. This was 2 one month before, roughly a month before 3 the murders, and I want to hear it. 4 BY MR. JONES: (continuing) 5 February of 1985. Where were you when that 6 statement was made? I was in my room at UVA in Watson Dorm. was working, reading some text. 9 Were you expecting Jens? 10 Not that I recall, no. A 11 Did he appear? 12 Yes, he did. He strode into the room and 13 he blurted this comment out, I could blow their bloody heads off. 15 THE COURT: Excuse me now, is he 16 talking about himself as you perceived 17 it? 18 THE WITNESS: He said to me, I could 19 blow their bloody heads off. 20 BY MR. JONES: (continuing) 21 Q Who is I? 22 Jens. 23 And again I ask you what -- do you know what 24 would have prompted him to have made that statement to you 25

at that particular point in time? I'm not sure what specifically prompted it. 2 I know there was some hostility and anger about my trip to Colorado which was coming up because we had planned to stay at UVA together and I was to help him work on his But I don't know specifically why he came steaming movie. into the room like that, no. How did you respond at that time to what he said? 9 At that particular instant I took him 10 seriously. I, you know, said to him that's outrageous, 11 that's terrible, how could you think something like that, 12 and then just after that let it slide. 13 How long did he stay in your room on that 14 occasion? How long did you talk after the statement was 15 made? 16 Perhaps for half an hour or so. 17 What was his state of mind when he left the 18 room? 19 I don't recall, I'm sorry. A 20 But you attempted to calm him down? 21 Yes. 22 And what are your thoughts now in the 23 perspective that you have on that statement having been 24 made by him? 25

I think--well he meant it at the time and he--I didn't realize how serious he was I guess. And today I feel extremely responsible that I didn't follow up on what he had said, that I didn't do something. I don't know quite what, professional counseling, perhaps go to the police, perhaps talk to my parents.

But it seems it was--it's become more and more apparent to me as I have gone over more and more of Jens' correspondence to other people that he was not thinking the same way I was thinking. I was indulging in some grotesque, childish fantasies, I was feeling hate and resentment and frustration, but I wasn't thinking about murder. And it seems that he was.

Q At the time that statement was made, or following that statement, did you say or do anything to encourage him down that road?

A Not consciously, no.

Did you do anything to try to discourage him?

Yes. From Colorado I wrote to him extensively in a very silly letter about leaving the university. Again, I wanted to get away from the parents. I wanted to be with him and that was my suggestion to him, either for us both to leave then or both leave at a later time, that I would move in with him and to hell with my

parents and I would work in some manner or form to stay with him. 3 Is that letter on the Ramada Inn stationery that's Commonwealth's Exhibit No. 26 admitted earlier? Yes, it is. And again, was the essence in that letter that you're trying to convey to Jens and you're referring to it? Have--I'm sorry, what's the date of it? 10 It was a lie, all to persuade him to leave the university. 11 A lie about what? 12 About the circumstances of my running away 13 I was trying to show him that I guess I was before. 14 street wise, so I could cope, foolish things like that, to 15 show him that it was possible to leave and cope. 16 And it's all very--Jens was very concerned 17 about money, security, and so I referred to the subject. 18 I'm trying to manipulate him in that letter to leave with 19 me. 20 Now I think at the bottom of Page Six, 21 there is something at the bottom of Page Six that's fairly specific about leaving. On Page Seven you refer to--you 23 talk about an ultimatum; what are you talking about there? 24 Do you want me to read it? "Here is the 25

ultimatum my parents have put down. If I go to Europe

with you, anybody else alone to bum around, I can kiss lots of lolly goodbye. If I go to summer school or work as an assistant editor to the New Yorker or Harpers
I'll be in the right road to wealth. If you want to be rich we can't go to Europe. And if we stay behind, there's still no guarantee as the past year has shown.

"You know my position, I don't give a fuck about money. It can be obtained. I obtained large quantities in Europe and only starved because I had it stolen from me every time. Other times I just blew it on things which made me happy. I have obtained some money to get through UVA; if it is not enough, so I will procure more from elsewhere.

"If I stay I need to find money. If I leave, I have to have money. If I leave, I'll have a damn good time going it. It is a nasty decision for you to make.

So what was the alternative that you were giving to Jens in that letter?

To leave us. As he was discussing about

Europe in his letter to me, he wanted me to go to Europe

with him. I didn't want to go to Europe with him because

my parents had other plans for me and it would upset them.

So for me it was all or nothing, either we left the university and tried to make a life for ourselves

Page 146

1 or we stayed and towed the line. Was there any intent in your writing of 3 that letter to encourage him to murder your parents? No, not at all. In fact, at the end of the 5 letter I sid something completely the opposite of that 6 effect. But you do feel a real sense of guilt about 8 not doing more about the comment he made. 9 Oh, absolutely. 10 And that letter you said was written while 11 you were on your ski vacation in Colorado? 12 Yes. 13 And is that with Howard your brother? 14 Yes, sir. 15 Is that the same period of time that you 16 wrote your letter to Ms. Watson that she testified about 17 today? (TAPE ON) 18 Yes. 19 Elizabeth, as of the time that you left on 20 that spring break, that ski trip in Colorado, had your 21 parents met Jens prior to that time? 22 A I think so, yes. Yes, they had. Yes, they 23 had. 24 Can you remember the circumstances? 25 They came to Charlottesville and we had A

lunch together. And was that the only occasion they had met him prior to the killing of your parents? I believe so, yes. And did they discuss their attitude with you about Jens? Yes, they discussed some feelings they had about him, yes. What were those feelings? 10 They felt he was too young for me, they 11 thought that he was very brilliant, they thought that he 12 tried very hard to be courteous and gentlemanlike. They 13 didn't want me to spend too much time with him; they 14 always advised not to single any one particular person out 15 but to see people in groups and go out with various 16 numbers of people, and they were concerned that I might be 17 seeing too much of him, too exclusively. 18 Did you experience that attitude before 19 about other people that you had dated? 20 Yes. A 21 Now had Jens ever been to your parents' 22 home on Loose Chippings before the last weekend in March 23 of 1985? 24 Yes, he had. 25 Q On how many occasions?

```
Twice.
                   Were you parents present on either of those
3
   occasions?
                  No, they weren't.
5
                  Was there one of those occasions that you
   spoke with Annie Massie about getting a key to the house?
                  Yes.
8
                  Explain how that came up.
9
                    We rented a car and we drove to my parents'
10
   home from Charlottesville. And when I got to the house I
11
   discovered that I had left my keys behind in
12
   Charlottesville. So I left Jens at the house, he was
13
   mowing the grass, and I went back into Lynchburg and I
14
   went to the Massie's house and I asked her for a key. And
15
   I lied to her about my circumstances about being in
16
   Lynchburg, how I got there and what I was doing there.
17
                  Did you disclose to her that Jens was with
18
   you?
19
   A
                  No.
20
                  During spring semester, before vacation
21
   when you went skiing, did you have any time with your
   parents without Jens?
23
                  Before I went skiing in Colorado?
24
                  January, February and March.
25
                  Yes, I spent a number of weekends with them
```

or days with them. Did they come to visit you in 3 Charlottesville during that period of time? Yes. We were looking for housing all through that time, so I was spending quite a lot of time with them. 7 And would that have been during the period of time that Chris Keeland testified that he saw your mother and father looking at an apartment that his mother 10 owned? 11 Yes, that's correct. 12 And after spring vacation, did you see your parents again? Yes, I did. 15 And would that have been the weekend before the murders occurred? 17 They picked me up from Charlottesville when I came back from Colorado and I think I spent twenty-four hours with them before going back to UVA. And then the next time I spent time with them was on my father's birthday which was the 23rd of March, and I spent the 22 weekend, the 23rd and 24th at home. 23 At home? 24 A Yes. 25 Just you and your parents?

```
Yes.
   A
                  Jens was not present?
                  No.
   A
                  And you say it was your father's birthday?
                  Yes, it was.
5
                  And did you bring him any presents for his
6
7
  birthday?
8
                  Yes, I did.
9
                  Do you recall what you brought him?
10
                  I brought him a cashmere sweater and a hair
11
   brush from England; it was imported from England, it was
   tortoise shell or some sort of wood, some silk
13
   handerchiefs, some dry cleaning spray that he had been
   trying to locate which I found in Charlottesville, and I
15
   think that's it.
16
                  And did you -- where did you get the money
17
   to pay for those birthday presents?
18
                  Just saved up money from my allowance that
19
  my parents gave me.
20
                  And what sort of allowance were they giving
21
  you at that time, do you remember?
22
                  I received $200 a month from them to pay
23 for my food and books and entertainment, travel, telephone
  bills. They would give me extra money as well. For
  instance, when I went to Colorado they gave me extra money
```

Just describe what you all did over that weekend, what type of a weekend was it with your parents?

A Like--well it was supposed to be a surprise for my father, so I dilly dallied and said that I didn't know whether I could come down or not. I caught the bus down from Charlottesville to Lynchburg and my mother obviously knew I was coming, so she made up some pretext to my father why they were going to the bus station; of course there I was. And we had a wonderful weekend together. My parents did go out that evening to a prearranged dinner party.

What did you do?

I stayed at home. But it was a really wonderful weekend. I managed to sit down and talk with my parents, we discussed many issues which needed to be discussed. And there was some problems in the nature of the discussions, but we had progress, it was a lot of progress between myself and my father about the future, what—things that were going on. We came to agreement about my living arrangements for the following year. We can to agreement about what I was going to do over the summer. We came to an agreement about my financial status.

Can you be a little more specific about

your financial status?

:20

Well I sat down and I said to them that I felt that I was—I had the golden couch in front of me and I was struggling to always please them for money, that was the sort of arrangement that I felt that there was, and that I was of an age, I was twenty going on twenty—one, where I should have a little bit of independence financially so that I could learn to have some responsibility of money.

I had been controlling my own finances between the ages of ten and nineteen. It seemed that it was time that I went back to that situation of having some money and controlling it myself.

So what transpired is that my father agreed with me that it was time that I had some financial independence, not much, but some. And he said that he was going to make arrangements to set up an independent bank account for myself.

Q Did he say where he was going to set that up?

A I believe we discussed the Bank of Dominion.

And when did you return to Charlottesville?

On the Sunday which was the 24th of March.

And when you left and returned to

Charlottesville at that time, did you have any reason at all to expect that your parents would be dead in less than a week? Not at all, not at all, quite contrary. And the progress that you described with 6 me, does that relate back to your earlier testimony about the, you know, the relationship between your parents during that school year and the total control of you? 9 We sat down and we discussed that Yes. 10 there were some problems and they recognized to some degree that there were problems. And it was really 11 amazing because we talked, we talked to one another and 13 communicated about things that we hadn't communicated 14 about for a long time and needed to be discussed. 15 Who did you communicate with primarily, 16 your mother or your father? 17 Well both my mother and father were present. My mother is sometimes very emotional about 18 19 things, so it was more difficult to have a -- just a normal conversation with her. But my father and I certainly 20 21 discussed things and my mother agreed with what was being 22 said as well. Now after you returned to school on that 23 Sunday, did you have any conversation with Jens about your parents?

Yes, I came back and I was jubilant, I was overjoyed. And I steamed up to the dorm and I said it's fantastic, everything that I have been working for, everything that we've talked about is going to happen.

You know, I'm not sure if I discussed with him the money arrangement, but I know I discussed with him the living arrangement for the following year and the summer vacation, which as we've already talked about Jens was very concerned about what was going to happen over the summer holidays.

Q Well what did you and your parents talk about happening over that summer holiday?

A Well my parents had talked with the head of the German department at UVA and discussed with him the possibility of me going to the Gerta Institute in Salzburg in Austria. And they decided that that was a good thing to do.

My father was getting interested in the idea of me doing an internship with the United Nations in Vienna, and I had gone to the department at UVA which did year sabbaticals at foreign universities and I had brought the information home with me to discuss with them and see if there was any headway I could make about going to the University of Vienna.

Did you have it with you that weekend?

Yes, I did. And they made arrangements, as I say, to call the head of the German Department and discuss which Gerta Institute I should go to to get the language under way.

Q Going to the Gerta Institute or to Vienna to work for the United Nations, would that have included Jens?

No, it wouldn't have. When I told Jens I said oh, it's fantastic, mamma and daddy said I can go to Europe for the summer and he said oh, that's great. And I said, you know, I'm going to get to the Gerta Institute in Salzburg, and he said well that's also great because I'm going to be with you.

And I was trying to explain to him that he could be there, I could see him on weekends, I would be working; he spoke German and there would be no problem. But he didn't see it that way. He saw it as--that it wasn't what we were working towards, we were obviously working towards different things. I was overjoyed and he was very angry.

And it was the same with my living accommodations. I wanted to live independent, alone. My mother wanted me to live in graduate housing and we compromised that I would live with my old roommate, Christine, at 803 Rugby Road. And I was very happy about

```
1
   that. There was a graduate student there which made her
   happy because she thought that I wasn't getting enough
 3
   exposure to older people, I was mixing with too many
   people younger than myself. And I was happy because I had
5
   the--we made arrangements that I would have the whole top
6
   floor to myself, it was two rooms and a bathroom. So I had
7
   some privacy, which I craved.
8
                  And--
9
                  But Jens was, he was extremely angry about
   that because he wanted to live with me in the physical
11
   sense.
12
                  Did you first mention this to Jens on that
   same night when you got back or later in the week, do you
14
   remember?
15
                  I think I probably discussed it with him
16
   immediately.
17
                  Did discussions between you and Jens
18
   continue during that week about this?
19
                  Yes. He would get ahold of something and
20
   go on and on about it and he would try and persuade me why
  this wasn't right and that my parents were just pretending
21
22
   to be making concessions to me.
23
                  How intense was he about his position,
   about his opposition to it?
25
                  Well he was very angry. He felt that I was
  A
```

```
letting him down, abandoning him in some way.
2
                  Towards the end of that week, did the two
   of you make plans to go to Washington?
                  Yes, we did.
5
                  And before those plans were made, did you
   have other plans for the weekend?
7
   A
                  Yes, we did.
8
                  And what were those plans?
                  I was supposed to be signing -- as I said, my
   parents and I discussed my housing arrangements. That
   weekend I was supposed to be signing my lease at 803 Rugby
12
  Road.
13
                  And who is the owner of that property?
14
                  I'm not sure of the specific name, but a
15
   church owns it.
16
                  A church that's next-door to the house?
17
                  Yes.
18
                  And what happened to those plans to sign
19
  the lease that weekend?
                  The woman who was coordinating the church
20
  A
21
   side of it, she was--got in contact with my roommate,
22
   Christine Kemp, who was the coordinator for the students
   and discussed with her that they couldn't sign that
   weekend, there was some problem, and that carried on for
24
   quite a long time. There were a number of cancellations
```

after that, so it was some time before we actually did sign the lease. But she didn't notify us until Friday 3 morning. Before you were notified that the lease couldn't be signed that weekend, did you have plans to go 6 to Washington? 7 No. 8 Well how did it come about after you became aware that you couldn't sign the lease that you 10 decided to go to Washington that weekend? 11 I think it came up in the course of the 12 conversation that nothing was going on that weekend, there was no academic pressure, you know, to be at school, and Jens made some sort of comment, I can't quote him exactly, 15 but he said something along the lines that I owed him a 16 weekend. 17 Had you and Jens ever been to Washington before during that school year? 19 No. 20 Had you ever talked about going to Washington before, considered going to Washington? 22 A No. We'd spent other weekends, as I said, going to my parents' house. We spent one weekend, or part of a weekend at Howard Johnson's in Charlottesville, but

never Washington. It was too expensive and too far. But

that's where he wanted to go. And on this weekend, how did you--it was too expensive and too far to go, was there anything 3 particular about this weekend that caused you to go to 4 5 Washington? No. 7 How did you get there? We rented a car, I rented a car, excuse me. 8 And who paid for the rental? 10 I did. 11 What were your -- what did you have in mind 12 doing in going to Washington? Well there was -- once we decided that we 13 14 were going to do something that weekend, I can't remember 15 if it was a deciding factor, but certainly part of the 16 decision was to do with the fact that I had some jewelry to sell. I had tried selling it in Charlottesville 17 already and in that area and I couldn't sell it, or I 18 couldn't get a decent enough price for it. 20 So one of the things that was on the agenda was that I was to go and pawn, sell some of my jewelry for 21 money because I was very short of money. Were did you stay in Washington? 23 Q The Washington Marriott Hotel. 24 A And who made the arrangements to stay 25 0

```
there?
                   I did.
3
                   Did you make a reservation to stay there
   before you went up there?
5
                  Yes, I did.
6
   Q
                  And did you arrive there Friday, sometime
7
   on Friday?
8
                  Yes, we did.
9
                  You were alone.
10
                  No, I was with Jens.
11
                  Well I mean the two of you were alone.
12
   A
                  Yes.
13
                   What if anything came up Friday or Friday
14
   evening as far as your parents are concerned?
15
                  On Friday evening I don't remember if my
16
   parents were discussed at all. We were--
17
                  What did you do that Friday evening?
18
                  Well Jens had this impotency problem, and
one of the factors of trying to spend some time together
   weekends was perhaps being away from UVA, or rather the
21
   lack of privacy afforded at UVA, maybe in Washington
22
   something would happen. So on Friday evening it was some
23
   fast play out of him trying to get it together.
24
                  Was there any mention about your parents
   the next day?
```

```
No. Oh, the next day.
   A
                  The next day, Saturday.
3
                  Excuse me. The next day I went to
   Georgetown and I sold my jewelry and I met Jens for lunch.
                   How much did you get for your jewelry
5
   approximately?
7
                   Several hundred dollars I believe. I'm not
   sure exactly. And I met him for lunch and I gave him half
   the money.
                  Why did you give him half the money?
11
                   I gave half of everything I had to Jens.
12
                   Half of your monthly allowance from your
13
   parents?
14
                   Sometimes, yes.
15
                  What else did you give to him?
   Q
16
                  Everything I had was his.
   A
17
                  So you met him for lunch.
   0
18
                  Yes.
   A
19
                  And what transpired at lunch?
   0
20
                  He was angry about me having to sell my
21
   jewelry for money; he blamed this on my parents, that they
22
   weren't providing me with sufficient funds and they were
23
   supposed to be so incredibly wealthy, which was not true,
24
   that I had to sell my jewelry. He became very angry over
   that, and that conversation went into the other resentment.
```

1 and angers about my relationship with my parents. 2 You're talking about relating back to the 3 prior weekend and the anger he expressed through that? Yeah, that I was falling for one of their 5 lines is the sort of way he saw it. Did you have any particular plans for the 7 money that you would obtain from the sale of the jewelry? Well my plans were to buy drugs. And how were you going to do that if Jens 10 was around? You testified earlier that you kept it away from him. 12 Well it was quite easy to do, just excuse myself from the restaurant and--when you're a junkie the 14 dealers can smell you a mile off and you just make contact 15 with somebody very--it just takes five minutes. It's easy 16 to buy the drugs and then it's just a question of being in 17 the bathroom and pretending to have a shower or something 18 and using them. 19 Going back to the lunch for a moment, how 20 did the lunch with Jens terminate? 21 He was very angry and he wanted to go down to Loose Chippings, to my parents' home, and to sort it out with them. 23 24 Are you quoting him when you say that or is 25 that just your best recollection?

I think that's just my recollection. I can't be sure of what he said. He wanted to see for himself what the situation was down there. He wanted to discuss me with my parents.

I was very much against the idea because—well I thought he was meddling and I was scared my parents were going to find out about me being in Washington unchaperoned with him; they were very strict about that. They would have been extremely appalled and upset if they knew I was in Washington alone with Jens sharing a hotel room.

They would have been extremely upset as well if they knew about me renting the cars.

Q Why is that?

Well they knew I had a driver's license because I got my driver's license with my mother, but they didn't care for me driving. And so I was worried he was going to rock the boat.

Q How did it come about that he went to Lynchburg?

Well I wasn't--I'm not very good at arguing with people when they shout at me or when--I was--I realized when he was talking about going down to
Lynchburg that this was a golden opportunity to score. And once I thought about my drugs, I just--my--I just became so

self-centered, selfish, just totally involved in my own desire to get my fix or to get him away from me and I could go and score. 3 And so I didn't really care. I was 5 concerned with what might follow, but I didn't care about 6 the long-term, I just wanted to go and get him out of the 7 way. 8 Now did he make any comment before he left about your parents? 10 Yes, he did, sir. 11 What did he say? 12 He said I could kill them. A 13 Did that register with you at the time, or 14 how did it register with you at the time? 15 At the time, as I say, I was so involved in 16 my own selfish, tiny, stupid, irresponsible world of drugs 17 and self-satisfaction that I was just like yes, dear, and 18 went on with my business. 19 At that time--20 And at the time, certainly in view of what 21 happened and subsequent events, I feel I should have known 22 or I did know and didn't care and that I could have done something to prevent it. And it's very hard to distinguish 23

between did I know he meant it at the time or was it just a

24

statement in anger.

I feel that I should have done something and because of my failure on so many occasions to do the 3 right things, I'm indeed responsible for what happened. And is it your failure to do something at that time, is that one of the reasons that you have entered the plea that you did? 7 That's part of it, yes, sir. 8 As well as the statement that he made back in February of that year? 10 Excuse me? 11 As well as the statement that he made earlier, in February. 13 Yes, those two statements are part of it. 14 And obviously I feel responsible because of the vehemence 15 with which I wrote in my letters and I was -- it was 16 irresponsible to write them, it was irresponsible to show 17 them, they were unfair in what I said, they were -- the fact 18 that I hated my parents -- well hated my my mother so much at 19 times, I feel responsible for that hate and cluing Jens 20 into it and then allowing him in a sense, as I feel now, to have killed my parents. 21 22 Did you go to Lynchburg? Did I go to Lynchburg? No. 23 What did you do after he left? 24 We discussed what I was going to do that

25

afternoon. And there were several points as how was I going to spend my time and one of the things was that I go to some movies so I wouldn't be walking the streets. We discussed that I would rent a car so I would have means of getting around.

Q Did you rent another?

No. So what eventually happened is that he took me to a movie up in, I'm not sure where it is, north of Georgetown I believe, and he dropped me off at the movie. And as soon as he was gone—he watched me go in and buy the tickets. As soon as he was gone I was out and I went to a bar next-door.

And there were some sports on the TV and I bought some drinks and I scored some, a couple grams of heroin and I went into the back and it was no good, it did very little. And then I tried to get some more money with my MOST card, MOST machine, automatic teller things, but there was some—my branch didn't have affiliation with the branch or something so I couldn't get any money out.

So at sometime that afternoon I scored some more heroin because my appetite had been whetted I guess, so I went and I got some more and I got some acid.

And then I came back to the hotel and while I was at the hotel I ordered room service.

What did you buy in room service at the

```
hotel?
                    I know I bought a bottle of Johnnie Walker
 3
   scotch. I may have bought some food. I was tripping so--
 4
   0
                   You were what?
 5
                   Tripping.
 6
                    Because of the drugs?
 7
                   Well I had dropped the acid, yes, the LSD.
8
   And I have this vision of food. I don't know, I can't tell
   you whether I bought food or how much food but I know I
10
   bought a bottle of Johnnie Walker.
11
                   Did you drink any of that bottle?
12
                   Yes.
13
                   Do you remember how much was left the next
14
   day?
15
                   I did serious damage to it.
16
                   Now there's been evidence presented
17
   previously about an alibi, movie tickets, credit card, room
18
   service.
19
                   Yes, sir.
20
                    Was there some discussion between you and
   Jens about that, about the movie tickets and an alibi?
22
                   After the fact, yes, sir.
23
                    When after the fact?
24
                    When he came back. Not directly after he
   came back, but on the Sunday. He assumed that I had been
```

to the cinema, so I let him carry on assuming that I had been to the cinema and I told him that—well I had to tell him because it was actually his father's credit card that I had bought room service with his card and I forged his signature because I didn't have enough money to pay for the room service with cash.

And from those two things, then we went on to create an alibi. And first of all I used the alibi to protect myself and Jens in the interviews with Investigator Reid and Investigator Kirkland and later Investigator—excuse me, Investigator Gardner and Investigator Kirkland and later Investigator Reid, as where I was, where he was and that we weren't in Lynchburg.

- Q Who initially came up with the idea of the alibi?
- A For the movie tickets?
- 17 Q Uh-huh.

5

11

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

23

- A I don't think it was either--it could have been me, it could have been him, I don't know.
- When was this conversation, the first conversation that you had about using the movie tickets and the credit card as an alibi occur? You said the next day, what-on the next day, what were you doing?
- 24 A Well on Sunday we had to bring the rent a
  25 car back to Charlottesville and it's a three-hour drive

from Washington to Charlottesville. And during that time we discussed various aspects of how to cope with the situation.

Now when Jens returned to Washington that Saturday night, how did he appear, what did he say to you?

I was at the Rocky Horror Picture Show and he was supposed to meet me there. And when I came out of the show and I waited on the side of the road. And I don't know how long I waited for him because like I said, I was tripping, and it's hard to tell if it was ten minutes or an hour; but after the show sometime which means it must have been after midnight.

He drove up and he was--he wasn't on my side of the road, he was on the opposite side of the road. And I crossed through the traffic and I opened the car door, and when I opened the car door the light inside the car came on and he was wearing some kind of white sheet and he was covered in blood from head to toe.

Q Did he tell you what had happened?

Not immediately. In my head things were going, this is a bad trip, he's trying to freak me out.

And then I said to him, my God, what's happened or what in the world's going on or something along those lines. And I think I asked him if he was all right and he told me to shut up and shut the car door because the door was still

open, the light was still on, there were a lot of people walking around.

So I got into the car and we drove back to the Marriott Hotel and he at some--I can't be sure of when and what was said, but he discussed the fact that he had killed--the way he put it, he killed somebody else and then he said it was a dog, he had run over a dog. And he said that he had killed my parents. And he discussed--well he made a few comments about what had taken place during that.

Q Were you still under the influence of drugs at this time?

A Yes, sir.

Q How about, had they worn off the next day when you were driving back and talking about the alibi?

A Yes.

Did you consider, ever consider shortly after this occurred going to the authorities? Or why didn't you go to the authorities?

To be truthful, my first feelings were to save Jens, save myself from the situation. I didn't think about going to the authorities for a while. I was stunned by the situation, it was so huge, so overwhelming, so definite, so final, so extraordinary. I mean Jens is a wimp, you can't imagine him doing something like that, it's extraordinary.

Is the fact that you continued for a period of time, quite some period of time, after that to stay with Jens, to protect him, cover for him, is that part of the burden you're carrying today?

A Very definitely, it's a very, very major part of it that I did not instantly go inform somebody; if not the police at least one of the family. It was pathetic, my need of this person, my just total obsession and need for him. It was like a physical addiction, it overruled everything, it overruled all values, all concerns.

I would have done anything for him and I did do everything for him. I betrayed everything, I betrayed my family, I betrayed my friends, I betrayed my parents. And I sold my soul for him really because of this extraordinary need for him. And it sounds very peculiar, but after he killed my parents I needed him more.

Can you explain that a little bit more?

My parents, for all the atrocious things I have said about them in my letters and all the resentments and frustrations that I had, they were my whole life, they were the center of my life. I made that comment about my mother said if anything ever happened to them that I would become a worthless adventurer; I recognized that. They were everything to me, I needed them desperately.

And after they were gone, I only had Jens, or I thought I only had Jens. I didn't feel then that I could turn, I had no one to turn to other than Jens.

After the murders occurred, did Jens

demonstrate to you more of a violent tendency than you realized existed before the murders?

.25

The nature of our relationship changed a great deal and a number of things happened. One of the first things that happened is that he--one of the obvious things that happened was he was no longer impotent. He also became obsessive and very jealous of me and suspicious of me to some extent.

There was a problem because when my family came for the funeral, it was vital, it was absolutely vital for me to spend time with my family. It was the first time—when they came to the funeral, it was the first time I realized the full scope of what had happened, the implication for all their lives, not just my life, their lives as well, their children, the grandchildren that my father never saw. And I needed to spend some time with them.

And Jens, he was very nervous and suspicious of me spending time with them. And he made verbal threats about different things that he would do if I didn't spend more time with him, and it became a source of

```
contention between myself and my brothers and my sisters.
                   This was during the few days that the
3
   family was in Lynchburg for the funeral?
                   Yes, sir.
                   Let's go back just a little bit on that.
  First of all, you told the police in your statements about
  a knife.
8
                   Yes, sir.
9
                   About going to Maryland to buy a knife.
10
                   Yes, sir.
11
                   With Jens.
12
  A
                   Yes, sir.
13
                   You later told Investigator Gardner when
  you got to Bedford that that was not true, that you had
15
  nothing to do with the knife.
16
                   Yes, sir.
17
                   When did it first come up between you and
  Jens about the knife and about going to Maryland; was that
19
  a fabrication or was that the truth?
                   Well he--originally he told me that he
21
  killed my parents with a steak knife and that was the
22
  accepted story, I quess, for a period of time. And then it
23
  wasn't until Investigator Reid and Investigator Gardner in
24
  I believe it was September of '85, that they were obviously
  very suspicious of myself and Jens.
```

```
1
                   And Jens began to feel that I needed to
2
   play my part, or share in what had happened. And it was at
3
   that time that we discussed that -- about the knife.
   then it came up again in England of course. But at that
4
5
   time, that was the first time that he ever told me about
   the butterfly knife.
6
7
                   Now after--you returned to school on that
8
  Sunday.
9
                   Yes, sir.
10
                   That night there is some testimony that you
11
  called home, there is some indication that you called home
12
   A
                   Yes, sir.
13
   0
                   Did you?
14
                   I called home on Sunday night, yes, sir.
15
                   Why did you call home?
16
                    It's sort of sick. But Jens, he said to me
17
   it was important that people saw that I called home because
18
   people knew that I was calling home on Sunday evening and
19
   if I didn't call home that they would think it odd or at a
20
   later stage I could say as part of my fabricated story to
21
   the police that I had called home, you know, that people
22
   were around, you know.
23
                    Was it a custom for you to call home on
   Sunday evening?
25
                   Not always, but my parents and I had made
```

```
an arrangement to call home; I had made an arrangement with
   them to speak to them on Sunday evening.
3
                   And a couple days after that, did you call
   Annie Massie?
                   Yes, I did.
                   And why did you call her? What was your
7
  purpose in calling her?
8
                   It's really unforgivable, but I called her
9
  because again Jens, he was -- he wanted it all to come to a
10
  head, he wanted my parents to be discovered. He couldn't
11
   stand waiting, the waiting for something to come up. You
12
  know, Sunday passed and Monday passed and he wanted
13
   something to happen. And the only person I could think of
14
   who would be appropriate to call was Mrs. Annie Massie,
15
  which was a dreadful disservice to her.
16
                   And did Mr. Massie and his wife later
17
  appear in Charlottesville?
18
                   Yes.
19
                   Sometime after that phone call was made?
20
                   Yes. I spoke to her a number of times on
21
  the telephone and she came to see me on a Wednesday
22
  evening.
                   And she informed you of what had happened
23
  at that time; of course you already knew.
25
                   Yes.
  A
```

```
And did you go back to Lynchburg with her
   and her husband?
3
                    Yes, I did.
                   And where were you, you know, when she
   talked to you and told you what happened?
6
                    I was in my room at UVA, in the dorm.
7
                   And how did you respond to her?
                    I don't remember. But I'm sure I tried to
   put on a display of shock and things that I was supposed
   to display.
10
11
   0
                   Now did Jens go back to Lynchburg with you?
12
   A
                   Yes, he did.
13
                   And the Massies?
14
   A
                   Yes, he did.
15
                   And did Christine Kemp go back with you as
16
  we11?
17
   A
                   Yes, she did.
18
                   And she was your room mate?
19
                   Yes, she was.
20
                   Why did Jens accompany you back to
21
  Lynchburg?
22
                   He didn't trust me out of his sight. In
  the public -- the public portrayal was that he was my loving
  boyfriend who was with me in my hour of need. He didn't
24
  trust me out of his sight.
```

And were there threats made to you by him during that period of time that he was in Lynchburg with vou? The threats were made around about the time, I think it was after the funeral or just before the funeral. As I said, I had these confrontations with him about spending time with my family and he threatened to turn himself in and make sure that I went down with him. threatened to kill himself and leave a message to convict 10 me. He threatened leaving me, which was the terrible thing. He threatened lots of different things. 12 You testified earlier, alluded earlier, to 13 the problems that that created between you and your family during those days. 15 Yes. 16 And what are your feelings about your 17 behavior during that period of time regarding--insofar as 18 your family is concerned, your brothers and sisters? 19 Well I was just disgusting. I not only 20 lied to the police about what was going on when I had ample opportunity and Investigator Gardner and Investigator 21 Kirkland were extremely easy to talk to, and I not only lied 23 to them when I should have told them the truth, I betrayed

my family, I -- it wasn't only just the betrayal, it was the

whole acting out of this innocence.

And they had such faith in me and they were so concerned about the things that were happening to me and 2 that I was alone, and they were very supportive and they 3 were very caring. And really, I can see from their point 5 of view I was laughing in their faces. But I wasn't, but I was betraying everything. It was completely unacceptable. 7 I want to go back for a minute on a question I overlooked on the credit card. And it came out in your statements about two people, you know, getting a meal that night at the motel. How did the two people come 10 11 up, do you remember, in your--in particular in your statement of June the 8th to Sergeant Beaver, June the 8th, 13 1986. 14 If I recall the statement correctly, I was 15 talking to Sergeant Beaver, who is now Inspector Beaver, about the alibi and I informed him about buying the room 17 service with the credit card. 18 Was that on Page Four of that statement, 19 about one-third of the way down from the top? 20 A Yes it is, sir. 21 And the term room service is mentioned 22 there, is that right? 23 A Yes, it is. Anything about two people being involved? 24 Q No, sir. 25

```
If you'd move on to Page Nineteen of the
  same statement.
3
                   Yes, sir.
                   How did it come up about two people and a
   meal?
                   Sergeant Beaver asks me, well states,
7
  hesitates that I bought meals.
                    Did you say that to him or is he saying
8
  that to you?
10
                   Sergeant Beaver says to me, "You waste
   money buying two meals on his VISA card, did you sign for
12
   those, that card?" And then I answered him, "Yes, I've
   already said that." And then Sergeant Beaver says, "You
  forged his signature."
15
                   You're agreeing with Sergeant Beaver?
16
                   I'm answering his question. He said to me,
  "Did you sign for those, that card?" And I said, "Yes,
  I've already said that." And Sergeant Beaver says, "You
  forged his signature."
20
                   Was there anything mentioned there about
  two people?
22
                   No, sir.
  A
23
                   And on Page Seven of your May 8th statement
  to Detective Gardner, on the bottom of that page--
25
  A
                   Sir, what page?
```

```
Page Seven?
 2
                    Yes.
 3
                    At the bottom of that page?
 4
                    Yes, sir.
 5
                    There was a question and answer about that,
6
   would you read that.
7
                    Investigator Gardner: "Okay, and what time
8
   of day was it when you think you got back to the Marriott?
9
                    Elizabeth Haysom: "I don't know, I really
10
   can't remember, but it was dark so it must have been about
11
   6:00 p.m. or 7:00 p.m., and I went in and had a shower and
   then I ordered a -- two meals."
13
                   Now does the statement have two hyphens
   between the word "a" and the word "two"?
15
                    Yes.
16
                   And do you remember a pause by you at that
17
   time when you were making that statement?
18
                    I know I corrected myself.
19
                   Why did you correct yourself? It appears
   that you started to say a meal and you changed it to two
21
   meals.
22
   A
                    Well I do say a meal and then I change it
23
   to two meals.
24
   0
                   Why did you change it to two meals?
25
   A
                    Well as I said to Investigator Gardner, I
```

was trying to create an alibi in that respect. 2 Okay now while you were--did you stay on in Lynchburg after the funeral, after your brothers and sisters left? 5 For a short time, and then I went back to the University of Virginia. My birthday is the 15th of April and I know I was in Charlottesville for that. 7 8 And were you involved at all in cleaning up 9 the house afterwards? 10 Yes, I was. A 11 How did that come about? 12 I was asked to help. 13 By whom? 14 Howard and Verian. When--before my 15 brothers left we--they told me they were coming back. 16 house was sealed for a time and they came back when it was 17 unsealed because we had to deal with all the possessions 18 and everything. And the first time I went to the house I 19 20 was asked to do so by Investigator Reid and my brother 21 Howard in cooperation with. And then after that it was--I 22 went out there with my brother Verian and I went out with 23 other people and with my brother Howard. 24 And I went out there with the professional

cleaners, I was asked to go out, I was asked to help, and

```
1
   was at a later stage asked to organize the furniture
   removal and -- so that -- all the furniture was in one room
3
   upstairs and one of my brothers helped me with that.
4
                    When you initially talked to Mr. Gardner,
5
   did you tell him about -- or did you at some point in time
6
   point out to him the discrepancy in the mileage on the
7
   rental car?
8
                    Yes, it was.
9
                    This is in April of 1985.
10
                    Yes, I believe I made my first statement to
   Investigator Gardner on the 8th of April.
12
                    Did you also provide him with Jens
13
   Soerings' name?
14
                    Yes, I did.
15
                    And did you tell him that you had been in
   Washington that weekend?
17
                    Yes, I did.
                   And what was--did you let Jens know that
19
   you had told Investigator Gardner this information?
20
                   Yes, I did.
21
                   And what was his reaction to that?
22
                   Well he was extremely upset because he
23
   thought it was quite funny. I told him about -- I told him
24
   the details of the interview that I had with Investigator
   Gardner and Investigator Kirkland and I told him, you know,
```

I was quite cross because Investigator Gardner had I thought dwelled on the subject of me supposedly being gay or whatever. And I told him, you know, they were discussing why I never wanted to get married or something. 5 And I informed him, I told him that I had a boyfriend whom I loved very much, what his name was, where he was from, facts about him. And Jens was immediately furious about that because they had no idea that I had a boyfriend. You wrote a letter to Jens on April the 10 18th, dated April the 18th of 1985. First of all, there is apparently a footnote at the top of the page which is a 12 little difficult to read, but what did that footnote convey? Was that footnote made by Jens in your letter to 14 him? 15 16 Yes, it's a note made by Jens at the top of 17 my letter. 18 What's the message in that footnote? You don't have to read it, just tell us what the message is that he's conveying. 20 21 He says that he's destroyed his rather 22 nasty letter in reply to this letter. 23 Did he have a habit of destroying things 0 that were--you know, might be adverse to him?

He certainly--whenever he wrote me a

25 A

letter, he always requested that he got it back again. 1 asked for his letters back and he did destroy a number of them. 3 And what were you trying to convey to Jens in taking a look at that letter of April 18th? What were 5 6 you trying to convey to him in that letter? 7 Well as I said earlier, he had been 8 threatening, making threatening statements about what he was going to do about his and my position if I didn't spend 10 more time with him and I'm responding to that and I'm 11 trying to make him even more rational about it. 12 I'm trying to make him understand that it's 13 no good if he feels that I'm only with him because I have to be with him; it would be much better for him to realize 15 that I'm with him because I love him and not because I'm 16 terrified. 17 Did you complete your spring semester at 18 Virginia that spring? 19 A Yes I did, sir. 20 0 And did you do some traveling that summer? 21 A Yes I did, sir. 22 And you and Jens took a trip to Europe. 23 A Yes, we did. 24 And then you went to summer school.

25

Yes, we did. 2 And then you returned to Charlottesville in the fall for the next semester. Yes, we did. 5 And you ended up leaving Charlottesville in 6 October of 1985. 7 Yes, sir. 8 During that time frame, what was Jen's attitude towards what had happened? 10 His attitude towards what had happened 11 varied tremendously from -- depending on the circumstances 12 of the investigation really. His relationship with me changed dramatically and that process continued after we 14 left. 15 What do you mean the relationship with you changed dramatically? 17 Well he--he made it very clear that there 18 was no point in me thinking about trying to tell somebody about what had happened. Although I have to admit that at 20 that time I was not thinking about it. I loved him, I 21 needed him and I just wanted to blank out what he had done 22 But he was very obsessed with the notion 23 that I might speak to somebody. And he would say that if

he went down I would go with him.

25

There were other times when he thought it

was the best thing he'd ever done, he was proud of it, he 2 was--he thought it was--he was some sort of hero. 3 believe he describes himself in one of his letters as a hero, accomplishing this thing. And in those moments he 5 would say -- he would view that he had done the entire thing by himself, plotted it, carried it out, outwitted the 7 police. He thought he was incredibly smart for having outwitted Investigator Gardner. 9 Why did you and Jens leave in October of 1852 11 Because Investigator Gardner and

A Because Investigator Gardner and Investigator Reid had requested blood samples from Jens.

He was getting scared.

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

25

Yes. And as I say, his attitude towards what happened changed as outside—as the investigation was progressing. And when he discovered that Investigator Gardner wasn't as stupid as he thought he was and that he couldn't just talk his way out of a situation as serious as this—

Q What were your feelings about leaving Charlottesville with him at that time?

I was very, very confused about what to do.

I was on a number of prescription drugs, I was drinking
heavily, I was doing a lot of legal drugs. I wanted to
stay. I wanted to stay for various reasons. I wanted to

stay because my life at UVA was wonderful. I wanted to stay because I wanted to get away from Jens. I wanted to stay--

Q Why did you want to get away from Jens?

It was like--as I say, like being addicted to a drug. You hate yourself for using it, but when it's there you can't say no. And I wanted to turn him in but I didn't want to betray him. I didn't understand, I couldn't--I didn't understand where the loyalty to him and my love for him stopped and what I was supposed to do as a normal, decent human being whose parents had just been butchered.

I couldn't--I just tried to block the whole thing out of my head. And it became so hard to do that increasingly, with Jens' extraordinary behavior, and I tried to discuss it with people, I desperately wanted to talk to somebody about it, and every time I got to that point of discussion, I couldn't.

Were there any threats involved as far as

Jens was concerned, or emotional blackmail that you referred

to earlier type of activity on his part that was an

influence in your going?

There was some influence of that nature. I don't want to exaggerate its importance. He did--after he left--I arranged this elaborate plot to get him to leave

first so I could sit and think about things.

He did phone from I believe it was Newark in New Jersey and he told me that he had my letters, these letters which you have before you, which Mr. Updike has, and that he would send them to the police and they would arrest me for them, or whatever. I mean I didn't know anything about the law. All he said is that they had some bad things in them and that he had them and he was going to try and use them in some way.

As I say, he did do that but I don't want to exaggerate the importance of it. I left of my own free will, I chose to leave and I did have a choice. And you know, when it came down to it I made the wrong one.

- Q What did you understand had happened to those letters?
- He had told me he had destroyed them all.

  He told me had had destroyed all the letters concerning

  everything, his letters. He wrote--as you can see, he

  writes a lot and--as the way I do--and he destroyed his

  own letters. He destroyed them except for a couple

  which were of a completely different nature, letters where

  I was outraged with him for what he's done, things like

  that, he destroyed those.
- Q And then later on April 30 of '86 when you were arrested, he still had those letters in his

```
possession.
                  Yes, he did.
3
                  And what had you understood had happened to
   them?
5
                  He told me he had destroyed them again.
                  After you left in October?
7
                  Yes.
8
                  And when Jens left you went to Europe
9
   in October of '85.
10
                  Yes.
11
                  Traveled around Europe until you ended up
   back in London.
13
                  Yes. We went to Southeast Asia as well.
14
                  And there was a--admitted into evidence
15
   what's referred to as a diary, it was written some by you
   and some by him during that period.
17
                   That's correct.
18
                  Is that diary completely accurate?
                   No. The beginning of the diary is
19
  extremely inaccurate.
                  Extremely what?
21
  Q
22 | A
                  Inaccurate.
                  Pertaining to your leaving.
23 Q
                  Yes.
24
                  In what--I think you have it here with you.
25
   0
```

In what respect is it inaccurate? 2 Yes, I have it here. Well this diary was 3 written, I'm not sure how long after, but it was at least several weeks and maybe longer after these events took 5 place. There are several references by Jens to writing up the diary. 7 And of course Jens and I sitting there and writing it up together so to speak and some of his 9 information that he had was wrong because it was lies to 10 him that I made. 11 THE COURT: That's very confusing to 12 me. Is this your diary Ms. Haysom? 13 THE WITNESS: It's a joint diary. 14 THE COURT: A joint diary? 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 16 THE COURT: And you're saying that 17 what was put in the diary was incorrect. 18 THE WITNESS: Well if I read you an 19 excerpt maybe you'll understand. 20 THE COURT: Well I don't understand. 21 Go ahead. 22 BY MR. JONES: (continuing)

talking about. You're saying it's inaccurate.

Give the Judge an example of what you're

Okay, let's see, on October the 7th.

23

25

A

Monday. Elizabeth discovered she has a brain tumor. Jens gets call and sends in travel passport. Well on October the 7th I did not have a brain tumor.

Q Why did something about the brain tumor come up, why do you even mention it?

Because on October the 5th or October the 6th, I can't be sure, Jens came down to Bedford and talked to Officers Reid and Gardner, and he had an interview tin them and they wanted his physical forensic evidence, his fingerprints and his blood and everything.

And when he came back from Bedford, he felt threatened by Investigator Gardner, he felt that he knew in some sort of way what he had done. And he plotted, devised a plot to kill Investigator Gardner at his home and I was to provide his alibi in Charlottesville.

And so there wasn't any point in reasoning with Jens about killing people, it was bad, you shouldn't kill people. He had killed people and I wasn't in a position to moralize to him.

So I devised a brain tumor. I went to the hospital--excuse me, I went to student health on October the 7th, I went to see a doctor, I spent some time there. I came back, told Jens I had been diagnosed as having a brain tumor. And I believe on the following day it says I have it out and that's why I provided an alibi.

```
So that was your means that you used to
  avoid getting involved in that.
3
                  Yes.
                  Did you later tell Ricky Gardner about, you
  know, the truth?
                  Yes, I did.
7
                  And there's a reference in the diary to
8
  Rover,
                  Yes, there is.
  A
10
                  Is that inaccurate as well?
                  Yes, it is.
12
                  Is there any truth at all to what diary
  says about Rover?
                  None at all. It's just rubbish. And I
14
  think to clarify for you, is that Jens and I were sitting
15
16
  together when it was being written out, so some of it's my
  handwriting and some of it's his handwriting. And he
17
  obviously thought I'd had a brain tumor and had it out,
   because that's what I had told him in those days preceding
19
   our departure, so that's what was put in the diary.
21
                       THE COURT: Well who was Rover?
22
                       THE WITNESS: Rover was a figment of
23
                  my imagination.
                 (continuing)
  BY MR. JONES:
                  Why did it even come up in the first place?
25
```

A It originally came up because of when I had been in Berlin, as I say, I had an accident and it was theory that I had been hit over the head and my money had been stolen. The theory was that it was these Irish guys, no name. And they expressed that they had something to do with the IRA.

It was a funny story that I told Jens. It wasn't something originally that was taken seriously, it was just a, you know, a funny incident in my past.

Because of his attraction to violence and his interest in things like Soldier of Fortune Magazine and his interest in hire for kill, whatever, it was an appropriate way to manipulate him to leave the country ahead of me. So I told him that I could get in contact with this fictional person, Rover, who was a member of the IRA and that I could get passports, money, whatever, and that I would go to England and I would do that. Of course that didn't happen and it's rubbish.

It was to get him to leave before.

Yes. I mean he was extremely suspicious of some of the things that I was doing and he--I was the only sort of story that he would buy because he liked those kinds of things. He was interested and excited by the idea that his girlfriend might be involved in something like this.

Now Mr. Updike brought out another letter, or a letter relating to Rover when we were here back in August.

A Yes.

Sort of. What it was is that we were now arrested on the fraud charges in England and then we'd also made our statements to the police about what had happened down here in Bedford. And I had been advised at this time that my attitude was still one of loving Jens, protecting him, anything to get out of my responsibility of what had happened here, anything to get him out of it.

And I had been interviewed by a special branch about this Rover business, about the IRA, the references in the diary, and they came to the conclusion it was a bunch of rubbish.

that the only way to stay in England, to successfully avoid extradition, would be to be charged with a more serious offense. Well the only more serious offense is terrorism.

So I also knew at that time that my mail was being monitored and I made several references -- I write to Jens about it-- and he makes several references in his letters about how do I know that my mail is being

monitored, how do I know that it's going through Richmond. And I deliberately wrote a letter to Rover because as I say I had been interviewed by a special branch about him and knew my mail was being monitored and I believed that 5 when they saw this letter that they would further charge me and Jens with some kind of activity like that. 7 And who gave you that advice? 8 That was our attorney at the time, Keith Barker. So as you just told the -- you just explained 10 11 the time you were arrested on April the 30th what your--12 where you and Jens stood with each other and about a month 13 after that you made some statements to the police. 14 THE COURT: Excuse me, let me ask you 15 this: The attorney who represented you in 16 England, what was his name, Barker? 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir? 18 THE COURT: Was he court appointed or 19 privately employed? 20 THE WITNESS: Court appointed. 21 BY MR. JONES: (continuing) 22 About five weeks after you were arrested in England you made statements to the police there which Mr. Updike put into evidence at the last hearing. 24 25 Yes.

In the third statement that you made, the fairly short one at the end, you made reference, you know, this occurred as a result of your will. Yes. That Jens was acting as a result of your will; is that something that you believed at the time? 7 Very definitely. I felt that I was fully responsible for Jens's actions, for Jens's life, for what happened, everything. I believed that I had manipulated 10 him, had controlled him, had somehow made him do this. 11 Based on what's happened since June of last year, do you still believe that it was your will? 13 I believed it for an embarrassingly long time, but since I have read letters and documents written 15 by Jens, no, I didn't have any control over him whatsoever. 17 And the letters that you're talking about from Jens is a whole book of them. And are these the same letters that you gave to Ricky Gardner when you returned to Bedford County? 20 21 Yes. Yes, those letters were important, and 22 I gave them to Investigator Gardner because I thought that 23 it was important that he realize just how clever Jens 24 could be. So yes, I gave him those letters voluntarily to help him in his investigation.

```
But these are the copies that he returned
   to you and they kept the originals, is that right?
   A
                  Yes.
                  Is there another letter which has come to
4
   your attention more recently?
6
                  Yes, there is.
                  And is this a letter dated May 18 and 19
   of 1986?
                  Yes.
10
                  And was that written before you made the
11
  statements?
                  Yes, it was.
13
                  You and Jens made the statements in
   England?
15
   A
                  Yes, it was.
16
                  And who was that letter written to?
17
                  A young man called Neal Woodall.
18
                  And who is Neal Woodall?
19
                   He was a young man that Jens shared a cell
   with in holding cell, and then later spent time
   with at Ashford Remand Center: he was a fellow inmate.
22
                  The first page of the letter it says dear
  and then the name is marked out. How do you still know
23
  that the letter is to that person, Neal Woodal?
                  Because of letters that Jens wrote to me
25
   A
```

about Neal. And also there is a--he--when he writes his letters to me about Neal he describes some of the things that he discusses with Neal and Hen, and he talks about Neal as being his friend and who he's trying to help and who's helped him. And also, Neal wrote to me at a later stage.

Okay, now referring back to these copies of the letters that Mr. Gardner returned to you, after you went through and read all of those again, what in general was the feeling that you were getting as far as Jens' place in this thing?

Well when I spoke to Investigator Gardner I said to him that I realize that the letters I was presenting to him were--nothing was said in black and white, but there were many indications. And I was trying to explain to him that Jens is very familiar with the idea of psychological warfare is what I call it of very, slow succulent manipulation.

And I didn't have anything to really base that on except my own intuition over a long period of time what he was trying to make me do. In November when I had started to disagree with him about how to conduct my case--

You're talking about November of 1986.

Yes. --then he no longer was so subtle and

Page 199

then I became very aware of how he had been manipulating But then--as I say, it was all very, very 3 subtle and very--it's difficult to put your finger on, there are just indications. And then I saw this letter. 5 When did you first see that letter? 6 Just a few days ago. 7 What--did that letter prove or disprove or confirm anything insofar as the subtleties that you were getting from the other letters that Soering had been writing to you? What I discovered was that I was right, 11 12 Jens was manipulating me, he was using me. But it was more than that. He knew exactly, precisely what he was 14 doing. It wasn't -- it's not as if he even believes what he 15 says to me. He states very plainly how to manipulate me, 16 how he feels. And then in letters at a similar time, he 17 does those manipulations. He knew what he was doing in 18 his manipulations, it was deliberate. And my feeling now 19 is that, you know, on top of everything else I am a first 20 class idiot. 21 Now at the time that you made the statement 22! in London, one of the things that you did was that you 23 drew a knife. 24 Yes, sir. 25 Why did you do that and how did you know

what to draw? (Referring to Exhibit 11.) Do you want to answer the question about the knife? 3 Yes, I drew the knife, it was a memory of a picture I had seen, I don't remember very clearly, that I had been shown in Soldier of Fortune Magazine, of a butterfly knife. It was described and I'd seen a picture. And that was what Jens and I discussed. You know he said--we were talking about how I was equally responsible for what happened, that I had caused it to happen, he had done it for me, out of love for me and so I obviously felt responsible. And I had written these letters and I felt 12 these things, hate, and I wanted to vomit. And so when I sat down with him and he said well this was the knife, when it comes down to it, this is the knife that was used 15 and--Your drawing of that picture, was it correct 16 17 that it was based on a recollection of an ad. 18 Yes, that he showed me, the description of 19 an ad. That was admitted as an exhibit? 21 I don't remember if it was that particular 22 I know it was a Soldier of Fortune Magazine that the picture was from. I thought it was a color photograph. 23 24 Had you ever seen a butterfly knife? 25 Only a picture, I've never seen a real one,

no. Were you able to talk to Jens briefly after 0 those statements in London were completed? You mean on June the 9th? 5 Uh-huh. 6 Yes, I was. 7 And what was his concern at that time? He asked me if I had told them about the 8 knife. He asked me if I told them about the knife and if 10 I had told them about the mace. And he asked me if I had 11 sent somebody after he;d come back to me on Sunday, if I had sent somebody down to Lynchburg after him, after he had done the killings. 14 Was he concerned that you were protecting 15 him and keeping up the alibi? 16 Yes. A 17 Now you mentioned that in November of 1986 that a change started to occur. 19 Yes, sir. 20 And was there any particular reason that 21 the change started to occur at that point in time? 22 A I'm sorry. 23 Was there any particular reason that a change started to occur at that point in time regarding 24 your attitude towards this whole situation?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And what was that, what occurred?
3	A	I saw the photographs, I saw the
4	photographs of	my parents.
5	Q	Is that because you were provided with a
6	copy of the depositions, of the statements, which included	
7	the photographs?	
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And not too long after that in a letter
10	datedis this	a letter which you wrote?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And who did you write it to?
13	A	To Jens.
14	Q	And what's the date on it?
15	A	The 11th of December, 1986.
16	Q	And in that letter, do you indicate that
17	you do not want to contest extradition and that you intend	
18	to plead guilty?	
19	A	Yes, sir.
20	Q	And how did Jens respond to that?
21	A	If I remember correctly, the letter he said
22	was Elizabeth	don't be a bloody idiot.
23		(LETTER MARKED DEPENDANT'S EXHIBIT NO. 12.)
24'		NV. 16./

25

BY MR. JONES: (continuing)

Did that feeling persist and progress in your mind as far as your accepting responsibility?

A Well, sir, I was giving my deposition which Mr. Updike provided the English authorities and which I had to have a copy of, and in the deposition there were these photocopies of my parents. And I lived with those photographs, they were in my cell, they were on my person, they were with me wherever I went and they were in my mind's eye the whole time as well.

And as far as I was concerned absolutely nothing, nothing, could justify what he had done, what I had done, it just became irrelevant anymore what actually—the details of who did what to whom.

He had butchered my parents. It was there sitting in front of me, it wasn't just words, it was there. And I was in some way, shape or form part of that, responsible for it.

And I had covered up for him, covered up.

And not only covered up but broken all trust and loyalty and everything. And I had to do some serious thinking where I was going and how--there was just no way, absolutely no way, no matter what I felt specifically for Jens, there was no way I could continue to have a relationship with him or to pander to his whims or needs.

And if I felt lonely, too bad, because it was time to take the stand on this.

And that it was slow, very slow getting off the ground. It took eight months, seven and a half months for me to sit down and say what I have done is wrong.

O From--

From the time I was arrested, or before that as well. But I mean in the penitentiary, in my interviews, I still justified, I still saw it all as being something that had nothing to do with me or whatever. But after that it progressed.

Q It did progress?

Nery much so. As I said, I saw these photographs; my initial reaction was that my life didn't mean anything at all because of what I had participated in, what I had caused, covered up. And I talked to some people and they said to me that the only way which I could even begin to move forward would be to face what I had done and to confront it and confront it publicly and to not try and deny it and to come to terms with the fact that what happened was just an appalling tragedy and it should never have happened and nothing justifies it.

What is it that I just handed you?

It's a short story that I wrote in March 187, the 20th of March, 1987. 3 And why--was it a short story that you wrote for a competition? Yes. When I was in the prison I was doing 6 some things and I was asked to write some entries for a 7 prison competition, H prison competition, set up by Arthur Counsellor. 9 And does this short story bring out some of your feelings about your responsibility? 11 A Yes, it's like all my writings, I don't seem to be able to separate how I am feeling about things; 13 I bring out some things in this as well. 14 And if can exchange here with you, give you 15 the copy that I gave you before. If you would read the 16 portions that you've highlighted in that short story. 17 I say--18 This is on Page Two. 19 Yes. And I'm talking about my father. omitted to love him as a parent, I omitted to admire him 20 as a friend, I omitted to understand him as a man, I 21 22 omitted to even try. And then I carry on a little bit later and 23 I'm discussing some of my resentments about coming to

America and UVA and the way I was conducting my life. And

25

I say I was queen of mediocrity. I omitted to recognize this, instead I blamed my parents. My resentment and fear of them grew as I slipped behind greater deviations and travesties of expectation and performance, between realities and the bogies in my head.

Oh, God, how could I have been so stupid. Why couldn't I accept what I was and get on with it.

Then I discussed my mother, I think it's on Page Four. I say of my mother, I allowed her to control my life, I resented her for it and I omitted to alter or understand the position designated to me. Now she's dead like my father.

# (SHORT STORY MARKED DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT NO. 13.)

15 BY MR. JONES: (continuing)

Now as Jens perceived this change in you, how did the tone of his letters become?

He became extremely hostile and he became—well he says, he says I'm—there's something here—he says I'm—I feel suspicious of you, I feel abandoned by you. He tells me that I'm abandoning myself legally and by abandoning myself I'm abandoning him. By abandoning him legally, I'm abandoning our love and without our love I'm nothing. He—it's a more obvious continuation of his previous manipulation.

Now in addition to the threats which came from him, did you have anything else occur while you were in prison in London that would cause you any concern?

There were a number of things that happened. I received a series of threatening letters between the end of August and sometime in October I believe, and I only actually was given one. Our mail in England in the penitentiary is censored, it's read incoming and outgoing. And some of the letters were stopped by security in Holloway.

concern?

A probation officer came to me and asked me what was going on, what was happening, why would somebody be writing these sorts of letters to me. I had no idea where they were coming from. One letter did get through; it was written in French and that was why it got through.

Did you have any visitors to cause you any

Yes. In September the German Embassy began to take very--started to take an interest in my life and they started to visit me on a regular basis. They sent me money, they provided me with--we were allowed to have food passes sent in, they provided me with books and they wrote to me, they discussed how I should--how I should conduct my case with me.

They continued to do that up until the

other day. I mean I'm still receiving money from them, I'm still receiving letters from them, I'm still receiving 3 phone calls from them. Did you just receive a phone call in the last couple days? 6 Yes, I received a phone call on Sunday morning. 8 THE COURT: You've been getting phone 9 calls from the German Embassy at the jail 10 here? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 THE COURT: What has been the nature 13 of the calls? 14 THE WITNESS: They say things like 15 Jens loves me, Jens needs me, I'm 16 responsible for his life, his life's in my 17 hands. They say things like they fear for 18 my life, that time is running out for me, 19 that I should be quiet in thought and word 20 and deed, to quote. I have letters to that 21 effect as well. 22 BY MR. JONES: (continuing) 23 Did you allow Sheriff Wells to listen in on 24 one of those conversations?

25

A

Yes.

Now your correspondence with Jens, did it continue up until April of this year? 3 Yes. Until your extradition. 5 A Yes. 6 Have you had any correspondence with him 7 since that time, since you left England? No. 9 Any communication at all? 10 No. I've had no direct communication. I say, I've had these odd indirect communications through 12 the German Embassy. 13 Other than that. After you were in prison 14 in England, did drugs or alcohol continue at all as part 15 of your life? 16 Yes, very much so. In the penitentiary 17 system in England you're allowed a pint of beer a day and a bottle of wine--or a bottle of wine, excuse me, a day. And if you're a man, if you're a convicted prisoner, 20 you've been sentenced, you're not allowed that. But if 21 you're waiting for trial, you're allowed that. And of 22 course I was waiting for trial for approximately eight 231 months. 24 And during that time I had people sending

me wine and I would save it up. And also we were allowed

fresh fruit and we could buy sugar so we could make our own.

Q Did you also use some drugs while you were over there?

Yes, there were very liberal in giving out medication, methadone, things like that, but it was also extremely easy to buy or obtain illegal drugs inside. The syringes were available easily, readily.

Did there come a time that you tried to do something about that, about your abuse of alcohol and other drugs?

Not immediately. As I say, I had my first sort of change in attitude, the first peek at what I had done and that I was responsible for who I was in November. But I continued for about another six weeks to deny that I had any form of drug or alcohol problem. I thought I was just a social user, I could control it.

And it wasn't until I was in--well I was charged with the double murder, and that was quite a shock because I didn't understand about how I was going to be charged. I'm not sure what I thought I was going to be charged with, but to have somebody sit there and say you're charged with murder times two is, it's a shock.

And I was in the holding cell at Ivory

Corner, and I was talking to a girl who had--was a member

of NA and she had a relapse, and I was saying oh, I don't have a drug problem, it's fine. And I had ordered medication through the doctor. They assume you want medication when you go through the system, so you see a 5 doctor and they just decide how many sleepers you're going to get and how many Valium to get and --7 So you decided to do something about it. 8 Well Mr. Updike was curious why I chose 9 January the 2nd. That's what I'm trying to point out to 10 him is that yes, the fact that I was charged with murder 11 times two did play a part, it played a very major part. 12 It's a terrible thing to be charged with and I was 13 reaching out for my drugs that very same day when I met 14 this girl. 15 And she persuaded me not to take my drugs 16 that day and from that it developed. 17 Have the visits that Johnny Horton 18 described that he's had with you and the correspondence that's continued between the two of you been helpful and 20 supportive? Very much so. I don't think I would be 21 alive today if it wasn't for NA, I'm quite sure of that. 22 23 How does it make you feel that you have 0 stayed clean since January the 7th, 1987?

25

It's a step in the right direction. It's a

step towards living in the way that I'm supposed to have 2 lived in the past. 3 Do you feel there's any room for drugs with 4 you in the future, in your future? No. I've been--since I've been in custody 6 here I've been offered a number of times the -- obviously 7 I've been nervous and I've been concerned about what's 8 going on. And the staff being concerned for me, they have 9 asked me if I wanted to see a doctor and have nerve pills 10 or something like that. 11 No, drugs cannot be a part of my life. It's caused too much damage. It could never be a part of 13 my life again. 14 Did money or inheritance have anything to 15 do with the death of your parents? 16 No, sir. 17 What was Jens' position as far as money was concerned? 19 He loved it, it was very important to him, it represented security to him and he could never get 21 enough of it. And he also describes in several of his 22 letters that as far as he's concerned, the best way to get 23 money is the easiest way which is to steal it or just have people give it to you.

25

Did Mr. Davis and I when we had one of our

```
first meetings with you throw out the idea of trying to get
   some money from your parents' estate in order to assist
   you in your defense?
                  Yes, you did.
5
                  What was your reaction to that?
   Q
6
                  No, I didn't want that.
   A
7
                  Now you didn't contest the extradition that
   occurred in April of this year.
                  No, I did not.
10
                  Did Jens put any pressure on you to contest
   Q
   it?
12
                  Yes, he did.
   A
13
                  And again, was that through his letters to
14
   you?
15
                  Yes, through his letters and also at an
16
   appearance in February in court he made a scene about it.
17
   And after that he was handcuffed in court with me and kept
18
   away from me because of his outbreak.
                  And you returned, you've been in Bedford
19
20
   since May the 8th of this year, is that right?
                  That's correct, sir.
21
22
                  And when you arrived in Bedford on that
   0
   day, did you meet with Mr. Gardner almost immediately?
23
24
                  No, there was a little time. I sat out in
   the hall, and the magistrate saw me, and a number of the
```

people spoke to me and then I saw Mr. Gardner. I think it was about an hour before I saw Mr. Gardner.

And that first statement that you made to Mr. Gardner at that time was essentially the same as thethe incriminating part of it, you know, the alibi and that sort of thing--was essentially the same as what you told him in London.

Yes, I reiterated to him what I had said before and I emphasized to him that I had done those things to create an alibi that it was--I think I said a number of times it was premeditated.

Q And you emphasized the next day more than you had before the element of premeditation.

A Yes.

Q And why were you emphasizing that to Investigator Gardner at that time?

Well like I say, I wasn't very--I didn't really have any idea about the law or charges of things and I thought that if I was convicted of being an accessory before the fact that it would only make sense that Jens would have to be convicted of first degree murder or premeditation or whatever it is that he's charged with. Because I am stating that I am an accessory and admitting to being an accessory.

And so I thought that if I emphasized and

made it quite clear that there was an element of premeditation there, because I knew the line of Jens' defense. So I wanted to make that quite clear to Investigator Gardner because I want Jens to come back here and I want him to stand trial. At that -- at May the 8th when you made that 7 statement to Gardner, were you still protecting Jens? A No, I wasn't protecting him, I was nervous of him. I was scared of things that I might say, he would 10 get copies of my statements as a packet of depositions as I got his statements when I was in England. 12 I had decided to plead quilty when I 13 arrived here, I had decided to plead guilty before I came. 14 I wanted to make sure that Jens was convicted. So as I 15 say, I thought that if I was found guilty that he would 16 have to be found guilty, too. 17 Well what actually happened as far as the 18 events from Saturday, March the 30th, and the alibi and 19 that sort of thing is what you told the Court today what 20 actually happened? 21 Yes, sir. A 22 So you were not telling Ricky Gardner the truth on May the 8th as far as the alibi is concerned. No. 24

Why did you reiterate the alibi story

```
rather than telling what you've told us today at that
   time?
 3
                  Because it seemed to me that if I sat there
   and tried to deny those things and was pointing the finger
   at Jens that it would -- you know, it would be obvious that
   I was trying to excuse myself from any role that I played.
                  What's your attitude towards Jens at the
   moment?
g
   Α
                   I want him to be brought to justice.
10
                  You're willing to cooperate with the
   prosecution in that regard?
12
                  Absolutely.
13
                  If called upon by the prosecution to
14
   testify, would you do it?
15
                  Yes.
16
                  Would you be afraid to do it?
17
                  Yes, sir.
18
                  Why?
19
                  Well I'm--
20
                   I don't want you to reiterate; because of
21
   what you've already told us about the threats by Jens?
22
                  Yes.
23
                        MR. JONES: That's all the questions,
24
                  Judge.
25
                        THE COURT: Now gentlemen, you all
```

should contact your witnesses who have not testified, any who are here, and make arrangements for them to be back tomorrow; that's your responsibility. All right, this Court will recess until 9:30 tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon court was adjourned, to be reconvened at 9:30 a.m. on October 6, 1987.)

Page 217

STATE OF VIRGINIA

AT LARGE, to-wit:

I, Jacquelyn Keen, Notary Public in and for the State of Virginia at Large, do hereby certify that the testimony contained herein was and transcribed by me, and that the foregoing Pages 1 through 217 represent a true and accurate transcript of said proceedings to the best of my Stenographic ability.

My commission expires November 18, 1989.
Witness my hand this 14th day of July, 1989.

Notary Public

1	VIRGINIA:			
2	IN AND FOR THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF BEDFOR			
3	COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA			
5	V			
6	ELIZABETH ROXANNE HAYSOM			
7				
8				
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS			
10	Sentencing Hearing			
11	October 6, 1987			
12				
14	APPEARANCES:			
15	THE MANAPARIA WILLIAM AS CORPORAD PROGRAMM			
16 17	For the Commonwealth: James W. Updike, Jr., Esq. Bedford County Courthouse Bedford, VA 24523			
18	For the Defendant:  R. Andrew Davis, Esq. 307 West Main Street Bedford, VA 24523			
20	Hugh J.M. Jones, III., Esq. 8800 Timberlake Road Lynchburg, VA 24502			
22	Reported by: Jacquelyn Keen			
24	ASSOCIATED REPORTING SERVICE			
25	lll Euphan Avenue Lynchburg, Virginia 24502 (804) 525-2345			

# $\overline{\mathbf{I}}$ $\overline{\mathbf{M}}$ $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ $\overline{\mathbf{E}}$ $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$

- 1		
2		PAGE
3		
	BLIZABETH HAYSOM	0.10
4	Cross examination by Mr. Updike	218
5	ALEXANDER ROBERTSON	
	Direct examination by Mr. Jones	350
6		
	ROBERT SHOWALTER	
7	Direct examination by Mr. Davis	356
	Cross examination by Mr. Updíke	4.00
8	HOWARD HAYSOM	
9	Direct examination by Mr. Updike	433
9	Cross examination by Mr. Davis	441
0		
U	STUART HARRINGTON	
1	Direct examination by Mr. Updike	446
	Cross examination by Mr. Davis	459
2	RICHARD HAYSOM	
	Direct examination by Mr. Updike	463
3	Cross examination by Mr. Davis	465
4	ozobo onamiznaczon sy niż o baty.b	, 0 0
4	ANNIE MASSIE	
5	Direct examination by Mr. Updike	466
	Cross examination by Mr. Davis	468

OCTOBER 6, 1989

## PROCEEDINGS

9:30 A.M

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right, gentlemen, are

you ready to proceed?

MR. UPDIKE: The Commonwealth's ready,

Your Honor.

MR. DAVIS: Yes, Sir, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Updike.

MR. UPDIKE: Thank you, Your Honor.

### CROSS EXAMINATION

### BY MR. UPDIKE:

Ms. Haysom, the circumstances have been such that you and I haven't had the opportunity to talk before, it's not been appropriate before now. But in view of your lengthy testimony yesterday, as you can well imagine I have a number of questions I would like to ask you. So if you would just bear with me, please.

I'd like to start where you started yesterday with your education. As I understand it, you first went to school in Switzerland, is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

And as I understood your testimony yesterday, that you liked that idea of going to Switzerland, it was exciting.

A Yes, I did, sir.

```
So it was not a situation of your parents
1
  packing you up so to speak and shipping you off against
   your will. You liked the idea.
                  No, I liked the idea of getting away, yes.
                  And while there, I think that you described
5
  for us an incident concerning you being raped or something
  of that nature, is that correct, at the age of ten?
7
                  Yes.
9
                  Have there been instances when you have
10
   described that incident not as rape but rather as an
11
   incident of indecent exposure?
12
                  No, sir.
13
                  Do you recall telling your brother Howard
14
  that someone indecently exposed themselves to you in
15
  Switzerland?
16
                  I don't recall that, no, sir.
17
                  But rather it's your testimony that you
18
  were raped there.
19
  A
                  Yes, sir.
20
                  But then again you were there willingly,
21
   liked being there, and the extremely unfortunate incident
   of you being raped, if it occurred as you said, was
23
   certainly not the fault of your parents now was it?
24
  A
                  No, sir, not at all.
25
                  No basis at all for blaming them or
  0
```

```
resenting them.
2
                  No, not at all, sir.
                  And as we look back on that, that really
   has nothing to do with the murder of your parents, does
   it?
6
                  No, it doesn't, sir.
7
                  And then from the school there in
   Switzerland, I believe that you went to Riddlesworth, is
   that correct, in England?
10
                  Yes, sir.
11
                  I understand that's a very fine school, is
12
  that correct?
13
                  Yes, it is.
14
                  I've been told that Princess Diana attended
15
   there, is that also correct?
16
                  Yes, it is.
17
                  Needless to say, I would assume that's a
18
   very expensive school.
19
   A
                  I believe so.
20
                  And your parents sent you there or made it
21
   possible for you to attend there because isn't it correct
22
   that they believed the most important thing that they
  could provide their children was a high-quality education?
23
24
   A
                  That's absolutely correct, sir.
25
   Q
                  And they placed a tremendous value on that,
```

```
didn't they?
                  Yes, they did, and they provided it.
                  And they did provide it.
                  Yes, they did.
5
                  And there again, did you like being at
   Riddlesworth?
                  My first year was very difficult, but yes,
  I did enjoy being there.
                  And I can imagine that there would be times
   that you got homesick, anybody would, and things of that
11
   nature. But it was quite an experience.
12
                  Yes, it was.
13
                  One not afforded to many individuals in
   0
14
  this country.
15
                  That's very true, I was very privileged.
   A
                  And you went there how long, please?
16
17
                  I was there for two years, sir.
                  And after that went where, Wickham Abbey as
  I understand it?
19
                  Yes, sir.
20
  A
                  And attended there for six years.
21
                  Yes, sir.
22
                  You went there as well willingly, liked it,
23
  would that be correct?
                  Yes, sir. I was extremely happy about
25
```

```
going there. It was a very prestigious school and it was
   a great honor to be accepted, and it was also very
 3
   expensive.
 4
                  But your parents paid for that for you,
 5
   didn't they?
                  Yes, they did.
7
                  And you described yesterday, though, there
8
   were some difficulties that arose in the later years when
   you were in Wickham Abbey, is that correct?
10
                  Yes, sir.
11
                  And you wanted--did you want to leave
12 Wickham Abbey at that point?
13
                  Yes, when I was about fifteen or sixteen
   years old I wanted to come home.
15
               And where would that have been, Nova
16
  Scotia?
17
                  Yes, sir.
18
  Q
                  Did you inform your parents of that?
19
  A
                  Yes, sir.
20
                  And your parents did everything they could
21
  to get you out of school, didn't they?
                  They went to a lot of trouble to look at
23
  other places for me to attend, but eventually it was
  decided that Wickham was the better choice, yes.
25
  Q
               But at the same time, I believe that you
```

were telling Investigator Gardner back in April of '85 that it was extremely difficult to get someone out of Wickham Abbey in the middle of the school year like that, is that correct? I didn't attempt to leave in the middle of the school year; it would have been after my A levels, which is a natural break in the course of the English education system. 9 But did they try to get you out of school? 10 They didn't try to take me out of school, 11 no. We discussed my leaving after my A levels. 12 I don't mean just taking you out, but I mean through proper channels did they try to get you 14 withdrawn or transferred or whatever, out of the school 15 system? 16 Out of the English school system, yes. 17 Do you recall this statement, if I might read this paragraph from your April 8th interview--would 19 you like to see it as I read it? It's over here. 20 No, that's fine, no. It's here, Commonwealth's Exhibit No. 15, 21 and I'll be referring just briefly and quickly to Page 22 Thirty-Four if you'd like to follow along; if not, that's fine, just whatever your choice is. And do you have Page 24 Thirty-Four there?

Yes, sir.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay, about a quarter way down the page I think that you're talking about Wickham Abbey to Investigator Gardner and Kirkland, and you state with my parents, both of them, I liked -- how can you possibly keep me there when they--they're playing with my mind like this. So they, referring to your parents, did something about it. They tried to get me out and, you know, they couldn't have done any more. They came over to England, they tried to get me out of it and the situation was such that they couldn't.

And the paragraph continues, but down at the bottom of that paragraph as you're ending that statement, you indicate, "and it wasn't my parents' fault".

Yes, sir.

So there again, though you may have developed some dislike for Wickham Abbey or some problems there, once you brought those to the attention of your mom and dad, they did what they could to get you out of there, didn't they?

A They did make attempts, yes, they did, sir. And do you agree now with the statement that you made in April of '85 that any problems that you were experiencing there weren't your parents' fault?

Page :2:24

A They weren't directly my parents' fault, no. How were they in any way your parents' fault? Did you resent being there at Wickham Abbey at that point in time, that is resentment directed against your parents? 7 Well the problem certainly got worse while I was at Wickham, and the school attempted to contact my parents at various times, I tried to contact my parents at 10 various times over these problems, and they were 11 unavailable. But the problems themselves were not my parents' fault, no, they were my fault. 13 But my question again, did you resent your parents because you were there at Wickham Abbey? 15 At times, yes, I did; probably unfairly 16 resented them. 17 Why did you resent them? 18 I needed them. 19 Then why would you state in April of '85 it 20 wasn't your parents' fault? They did everything that they could to get you out. 22 A I think what I was doing there was trying to paint a very rosy picture so that I wouldn't be implicated. 25 I see. As you continue that statement

```
there, you said and whenever I came home they were all
   ears and supportive, you know. So in April of '85 you
3
   were not telling the truth to Investigator Gardner and
   Kirkland, is that correct?
5
                   It's not exactly not the truth. My parents
6
   were very supportive when I came home. But I am making it
   a lot rosier than it was.
8
                  Deceiving them, then.
   A
                  Yes.
10
                  Now while at Wickham Abbey and the various
11
   schools, we have here some of your activities. It seems
12
   that you did quite a bit of acting, is that correct?
13
                  Yes, I did, sir.
14
                  A lot of Shakespeare I see.
15
                  Yes, sir.
16
                  Let's see, Anthony and Cleopatra; you must
17
   have played Cleopatra it indicates, is that correct?
18
   A
                  Yes.
19
                  I don't see MacBeth listed here.
20 |
   A
                  No, sir.
21
                  I was curious in one of your statements
22
   that you refer to yourself as Lady MacBeth, didn't you?
23
                  Mes, sir, I believe I did.
24
                  Did you see yourself as Lady MacBeth?
25
                  After the newspaper reports in England,
```

```
yes, I did.
2
                   Your Shakespeare is certainly much better
3
   than mine, but it seems to me if I recall, Lady MacBeth
  encouraged old MacBeth to commit murder, didn't she?
                   Yes, she did.
6
                   Persuaded?
7
   A
                   Yes, she did.
8
                   Encouraged him?
9
   A
                   Yes, she did.
10
                  And that's how you saw yourself--
   Q
11
                  At that particular time.
12
                   --with reference to Jens Soering.
13
                   Yes, I did. I felt totally responsible for
14
   manipulating him at that time.
15
                   For manipulating him.
16
   A
                   Yes.
17
                   And as I understand your testimony, you
18
   very much wanted to continue school there in England.
19
                   Yes, I did.
20
                   Wanted to go to where, Cambridge?
21
   A
                   Yes, sir.
22
                   Had taken the entrance exams and things of
23
  that nature, making preparations for attendance there.
24
  A
                  Yes, sir.
25
                   But you didn't go, did you?
```

```
No, I didn't, sir.
  A
2
                  Why is that?
3
                  Well I originally applied to Trinity
   College Cambridge and I took the exams. I went to my
5
   interview and I did very poorly on my interview. My
   papers were sufficiently good that Trinity College passed
7
   them on to another college, St. Catherine's, and they
   stated that they would conditionally accept me on the
   basis of an interview that I was to take after my A
10
   levels.
11
                  Then you took off.
12
   A
                  Yes, I did, sir.
13
                  Got into trouble.
14
  A
                  Yes, I did, sir.
15
                  And began running around Europe with this
16 Melissa Clark, is that correct.
17
                  Yes, sir.
18
                  Very heavily into drugs, would that also be
  correct?
20
  A
                  Yes, it is, sir.
21
                  I believe you state in one of your
  statements to Mr. Gardner that Europe is where you could
23
  get cheap drugs, is that correct, did you make that
  statement?
                  Yes, I believe I did, sir.
25
  A
```

Is that one of the bases for your fondness for Europe? I think it was sort of my pretentious pseudo-intellectual Bohemian attitude to things that made 5 me attracted to the idea of the cheap drugs, the 6 flamboyant, vagrant lifestyle that's possible in Europe. 7 Now in this statement in the presentence report you make some statement about your mother. And much has been made from the previous hearing in the press and 10 so forth of sexual abuse from your mother. There is some 11 statement in here that you had a full-blown sexual relationship with your mother at one point. As a matter 13 of fact, Ms. Haysom, you were having a sexual relationship with Melissa Clark, weren't you? 15 A (No response.) 16 0 Could you answer that, please. 17 I don't see how the two are tied together, you seem to be tying the two of them together. 19 I understand that you may indicate that you don't understand, Ms. Haysom, but I would like to develop that further. You did state to this man sitting here I 21 think, didn't you, that from eighteen to mineteen you had a full-blown sexual relationship with your mother? 23 24 I didn't put it that way, no, sir. 25 How did you put it?

because Investigator Gardner asked me about the 2 photographs that my mother took of me. Up until that 3 point I had never discussed this issue. I didn't discuss 4 it very fully with Investigator Gardner either. How about with Mr. Arthur though, did you 6 make that statement to him? 7 When I spoke to Mr. Arthur, he also brought 8 up the question of the photographs. I believe he said 9 when I was in court today the -- I heard something about 10 photographs that your mother had taken of you, would you 11 like to go into that. 12 And you did? Did you make that statement? 13 I didn't say it was a full-blown sexual 14 affair at all. 15 What did you say? 16 A I said what I said to Ricky Gardner. 17 And that was what, please? If I might 18 remind you, you indicated to Investigator Gardner that 19 though your mother would come up there, that you would 20 sleep together, that there was nothing sexual about it, didn't you? 22 I said that she was aggressively 23 affectionate, and I believe when I have discussed this with 24

other people that I said that she was a very lonely and

well the question originally came up

A

1

25

Fage 230

affectionate woman and that is what she was seeking, it was nothing more than that. I can show you if you'd like the May 8th 3 interview, Page Fifty-Eight, the question by Investigator Gardner, was there some sexual activity there; this is where you're describing the situation with your mother. Question: Was there some sexual activity there? Response: No, I don't think you would call it sexual activity. That's what you said to him, wasn't it? That's correct, and that's true. 10 And I want to know why it is when you're 11 standing before this Court ready to be sentenced, when you 12 were interviewed for the purposes of a presentence report, 13 after having made this statement that there was no sexual 14 activity from your mother on May the 8th, 1987, what is 15 this doing in this presentence report? I think what has happened is the same thing 17 that's happened with the newspapers, sir. And what is that, please? 19 I had told Mr. Arthur, as I have talked to several other people, that my mother did sleep with me. 21 Well there were reasons for that at times, 22 weren't there? 23 And she was very affectionate with me. 24 But there were also times when you were at

```
UVA when there were no other beds in the house. Weren't
  Cathy Lowrum in the house, Lucy Lowrum at times, one of
   them had your bedroom, correct?
                  That's correct, sir.
4
                 At times you would come home, there would
  be no beds available other than sleeping with your mother;
6
  your father was off in Nova Scotia.
7
                  (Witness nods in the affirmative.)
8
                  Perfectly innocent, wasn't it?
9
                  Mr. Updike, this isn't an issue that I
10
  wanted to bring up.
                  I don't want to bring up either, ma'am.
12
                  Well you--it's not something I want to
   discuss. I don't think it's relevant, my mother isn't
  here--
                  Exactly.
16
                  -- and it's not something I want to discuss
17
   in public with people. This is a very private thing and
18
   if the newspapers and people have wanted to interpret what
19
   I said about my mother in a sordid way, that is their
20
   filthy minds.
                  Thank you, Ms. Haysom, I didn't wish to go
22
  into it either and if you--
23
                  Well you brought it up, sir.
24
                  And on April the 28th if you will recall,
```

please, nothing was ever said by the Commonwealth or any of my witnesses about that. Have I said anything today, sir? 3 Well there was evidence, wasn't there, that came out and as a result every article that we see now talks about you being sexually abused. Now--Well I have never used that term myself. 7 My question is simply this: Your mother's 8 been butchered. Yes, she has, sir. 10 During previous testimony yesterday you 11 called her a liar and an alcoholic. I did not call her an alcoholic. 13 Was she a sexual abuser, did she sexually 14 If she didn't for God's sake clear her name abuse you? 15 now. 16 She did not sexually abuse me. 17 Thank you. And maybe the press and others 18 will be just as eager to print the recantation as what's been printed previously. 20 But going back to the previous question, 21 Ms. Haysom, as far as what had anything to do with this 22 murder here, if there was any lesbian relationship, it was 23 with Melissa Clark in the summer of '83, wasn't it?

That's your terminology.

Excuse me? Q That's your terminology. A 2 Did you sleep with her? 3 Yes, like I slept with my mother. A 4 Did you sleep with her at the home of 5 Colonel Harrington in Berlin? 6 Yes, I did, sir. 7 And the reason that you were not able to go 8 to Cambridge had nothing to do with your mom and dad, but 9 rather very simply you took off on this summer of 10 decadence across Europe with this woman, taking drugs, 11 ended up in Berlin in the state that you were, and 12 Cambridge didn't want you. You blew it, didn't you? 13 Yes I did, sir. 14 And your mom and dad had nothing to do with 15 that, there was no basis for any resentment, any hatred, 16 any ill feelings towards them as a result of that, was 17 there? 18 Probably not, sir, but I did have them. 19 You had them anyway? Could you explain, 20 please, how you could resent your family for that when you were doing what you were doing? 22 Because before I took my A levels, sir, six 23 weeks before I took my A levels, my parents called me and 24 told me that I was not to go to Cambridge, that I was to

go to UVA, and that is what perpetrated this over dramatic, over--I responded in a ridiculous way, juvenile way.

You say then it was your parents that forced you to come back from England and attend the University of Virginia.

A No, they didn't force me, sir.

Q Did you tell Investigator Gardner that it was your choice to attend the University of Virginia?

A Yes, I did, sir, and it was my choice.

Q It was your choice.

A Yes, it was.

Well I'm getting confused, Ms. Haysom. A few minutes ago you were saying that your parents said that you were to come home and attend the University of Virginia and now you're saying it was your choice; which was it?

They originally said to me that I was to come home. I took off and went to Europe, I behaved in an outrageous and disgusting manner, I became ill and with my conversations with Colonel Harrington, with conversations with my father, I saw that my parents were—their position was a very reasonable one. And they gave me every opportunity to do what I wanted to do, and I chose to come to UVA to please them.

Page 235

```
To please them.
                   Uh-huh.
2
                   But as you told Investigator Gardner, I
3
   think when you came back you applied to a number of
   schools, MIT, is that correct?
                   That was much earlier, sir.
6
                   Excuse me?
7
   A
                   That was much earlier, sir.
8
                   You were accepted there?
9
                   Yes, sir.
10
                   Were you accepted to other schools in this
11
   country?
12
                   Yes, sir.
13
                   Such as, please?
14
                   Yale, Barnard, Stanford.
   A
15
                   Quite a list. And it was your choice to go
16
   to the University of Virginia, do you agree with that?
17
                   Yes, sir.
18
                   And that wasn't so bad, was it?
19
                   No, it was a wonderful place.
20
                   It was a wonderful place. No reason to
21
   resent your mother and father making you go to some
22
   terrible place like the University of Virginia in
   Charlottesville, was it, I mean it was a wonderful place.
                   It was a wonderful place and it's an
25
```

excellent university. And you've had a classmate testify that you got along pretty well, that you socialized. 3 Yes, sir. 4 Adapted very well there. Now after the 5 summer of '83 nobody hog tied you and brought you back to 6 this country, did they? I mean you and this Melissa Clark went to the English -- the British Embassy in Germany, didn't you, voluntarily? 9 That's correct, sir. 10 And there Colonel Harrington contacted you, 11 treated you all pretty well and invited you all into his home. 13 He was very hospitable, he was very 14 understanding and he went quite out of his way to help us. 15 And then the two of you all, both you and 16 Melissa, went to London to meet your brother, Julian, is 17 that correct? 18 That's correct. 19 Your own choice, nobody forcing you to do 20 anything, returned to the United States; you went 21 willingly, didn't you? I had a severe concussion at the time, sir: 23 I don't remember making any choice whatsoever, but I centainly didn't go unwillingly.

The reason you had a concussion is that because in a -- the results of drug intoxication you fell down the steps, didn't you? That's not correct, sir, no. 4 Have you stated that to somebody, that you 5 fell down the steps, Colonel Harrington? There's no question about that I had a very bad fall, but there was also the possibility that I'd been hit over the head. There's a possibility, you really don't 10 know. 11 Well I had an egg on the back of my head 12 and my money was stolen. 13 But at any rate, it was getting cold in 14 Germany by that time, you'd run out of money, had your 15 summer of fun with Ms. Clark and the drugs, it was time to 16 come home for you at that point, wasn't it? 17 Yes, it was, sir. 18 And when you met your father, your father 19 came all the way to England to greet you, is that right? 20 That's correct, sir. 21 And you have said something about him 22 parading you around and you resented that I believe was 23 your testimony yesterday. But haven't you previously 24 indicated that he was extremely supportive of you?

A Yes, he was, sir. In his way he was very supportive.

Q How did they react to your summer of '83, your mom and dad that is. How did they treat you as a result of that?

They tried to be--well my father tried to be very fair about it and my mother questioned me extensively about what took place and I suppose in her way she was trying to understand what happened.

Are you saying that in her questioning that she was trying to make you feel guilty, belittle you or anything of that nature?

I don't know if it was deliberate, sir, but that's how I felt, yes.

I can refer you to the statement that you have there in front of you, some several pages, Page
Thirty-Three, Thirty-Four, isn't it true that you are saying through there, and in other places in the interview, for example at the bottom of Page Thirty-Three you say, and you're talking about your parents' reaction to your summer of '83, and you state, "and so they,--" not he or she, but they-- "and so they never laid a quilt trip. They always said, always said, you know, and they supported us completely. They were really quite incredible in that way. I mean a lot of parents would

have just said the hell with you."

In the paragraph just above there you state how they obviously didn't condone your actions, but that no matter what happens either in the past or is going to happen, that they were tremendously supportive that way.

(No response.)

And it goes on in some several different places where you talk about the "incredible relationship that you had with your parents", the fantastic relationship that you had with your parents, on Page Thirty-Six. You say, ah, but I had a fantastic relationship with my parents.

You continue on Page Thirty-Six by talking about how you had tremendous trust from your parents.

Page Thirty-Seven you say we developed an incredible relationship, you know, we went to movies, and that they said to you they were glad that you had this out of your system.

Now there to Investigator Gardner and Kirkland you're describing a different situation, aren't you? You're saying there that your parents accepted what you had done, were glad you had it out of your system, but they wanted their daughter back and they did not lay a guilt trip on you; that's what you said to Investigator Gardner, is that correct?

Yes, I did, sir. Do you agree with that summary today? 2 I think in many respects that's true, sir. 3 I think one of the problems about all of this is that a 4 lot of my resentments were in my own head. My parents 5 were wonderful and they tried very hard. Sometimes my 6 mother probably tried too hard, and I believe one of the 7 faults that she has been given is loving me too much. Loving you too much. Q 9 Yes. And I think that possibly because she 10 did love me too much she did make me to feel guilty 11 because I had let them down so badly, and they had such 12 high expectations of me, and I was so very imperfect and so 13 very far from what they really wanted me to be, and I felt 14 quilty about that. 15 So what I'm trying to say is that you're 16 quite right, the problem was with me, not with my parents. 17 Not with your parents. And despite all of 18 these allegations that we've heard and talked about, 19 especially concerning your mother, you say now that the 20 main fault with your mother is she loved you too much. 21 I think that's very true, sir. 22 And she died because of it? 23 Probably. 24 Probably? Do you remember Colonel

Harrington coming to see you and your mother down there in Holcomb Rock sometime during the spring of '84 I guess it would have been, do you remember his visit? Yes, I do, sir. If he were to testify that -- you know, you had just been back in that area, down in Boonsboro, since Christmas of '83, is that correct? So as we come into '84, you had not been at home all that long, a couple of months, three months, something of that nature. 9 That's correct, sir. 10 If he were to testify that his observations 11 concerning your mother were that she was going out of her way to make every effort to be supportive of you, welcome 13 you back into the home, would you disagree with that? 14 No, not at all, sir. She was always very 15 demonstrative publicly in her displays of support. 16 As I understood what you were saying then 17 yesterday, in the spring of '84 you and your mother became 18 very close, is that right? 19 That's true, sir, yes. 20 Became so close she kind of hated to see 21 you go away to school again, didn't she? 22 Yes, that's correct, sir. 23 She loved you. 24 Yes, she did. 25

```
When--I believe you attended Phillips
  Business College in the spring of '84 as well, during this
  period that we're talking about, is that right?
3
                  That's correct, sir.
                  Did you have any objections to that, any
5
  problem with that, or was it all right?
6
                  That's what I wanted to do. Originally my
  mother wasn't too happy with the idea, but she acquiesced.
8
                  Then in the fall of '84 you of course began
  your attendance there at the University of Virginia.
10
                  That's correct, sir.
11
                  Eventually met Jens Soering there of
12
   course.
                  Yes, sir.
14
                  And you all started going together and
15
  going with one another exclusively after a period of time,
16
  is that correct?
17
                  That's correct.
18
                  I believe you said yesterday by December of
19
   '84 you all were officially in love, is that right?
20
                  Yes, sir.
21
                  Well evidently when you all went home for
22
   Christmas the two of you made some agreement, didn't you,
23
   that each one would write a diary to the other during
   Christmas break.
25
```

```
You did not.
2
                  No, sir.
   A
3
                  You all didn't say well I'll write a diary
4
   of my daily occurrences and you do the same and then we'll
   exchange those diaries so we'll know what each one did
6
   during Christmas, you did not have any such agreement?
                  I don't believe so, no, sir.
8
                  Before December of '84, before the
   Christmas break, had you and Jens Scering discussed
10
   killing your parents?
11
                  No, not at all, sir.
                  Not at all.
   Q
13
                  No, sir.
                  Did you discuss with him your hatred for
15
  your parents?
16
                  I had certainly discussed with him
17
   frustrations, anger and bitterness about my parents with
18
   him, yes. I don't know if I described it in terms of
19
   hatred, but I did describe some problems.
20
                  What was this frustration and bitterness
21
   over? Now we've talked about how you've said there was an
22
   incredible relationship, the different things that they
   did, how supportive they were. Please tell us, why were
24
   you so bitter and frustrated and angered at these people
25
```

No, sir.

A

who spent all this money on your education, and had done everything that they could for you. In Christmas of '84, why were you so resentful, please?

Well it's true, I was extremely ungrateful in many respects, but at the time I believe I thought that when I needed them when I was younger, they weren't there for me. Probably, as I say, it's a bogie in my own head, because maybe if I'd reached out a little bit more they would have been there for me.

But I saw it that they had not been there for me, I had been alone for all those years, and then when I come back, when I was at UVA, they were overwhelmingly smothering me with attention, which was extremely ungrateful. As I say, it was my attitude that was wrong.

But Ms. Haysom, it sounds like your mom and dad couldn't win. You know, if they sent you and provided you with a European vacation where you had your independence, where you could do what you wanted, you didn't like that, you didn't like being away from home. When you were at home in the spring of '84 and your mother was loving you too much and being supportive, and you were at home then, you call that smothering you with attention.

So you didn't like it when they didn't give you attention and you didn't like it when they did, did

you?

Well, sir, it went from one extreme to the other. All I at the time wanted was to lead a normal life. I wanted to be one of those people who could go to school during the week and on the weekends come home with a friend and see them, see my parents off and on and have a consistent relationship with them.

You did that, didn't you, at the University of Virginia?

A No, sir.

Q What did they do so wrong?

A Probably not very much, sir.

Q Well in your eyes at the time, because they are dead, what did they do in your estimation at the time as being so wrong?

A I think my biggest resentment was that they had built me up publicly as this perfect daughter and they had made me feel so guilty for not being that way.

Now wait a minute, Ms. Haysom, your mom and dad had sent you to where Princess Diana went, sent you to Riddlesworth, sent you to High Wickham Abbey, spent a lot of money, they loved you, could you really blame them for saying something to their friends, you know, Elizabeth's doing this, we're proud of her; didn't they have a right to try to be proud of you?

Yes, sir, they certainly did have the right to be proud of me, except that they exaggerated my achievements. And I had to try and live up to those exaggerations, and that was difficult, and that was probably no greater fault than many parents exaggerate claims of their children. No more than any other parent; certainly not a capital offense, was it? But at any rate, Ms. Haysom, you say that you all did not have any agreement, you and Jens Soering, about what you were going to write in December of '84, Christmas of '84, excuse me. And was it then just coincidental that as soon as Mr. Soering gets back to Detroit or wherever it was that he was staying and you get back down here in Boonsboro and you all start writing to one another, the first things that you all 15 start writing about is the death of your mom and dad, don't you? Just coincidental? It's not at the same time, sir, and I don't 18 believe it's quite in that way. All right, then let's look at the--let me--20 I don't want to try and trick you or anything, so if you 21 22 want--No, of course not. 23 I hand you Commonwealth's Exhibit No. 25 24 and No. 24; you recognize those of course, don't you?

```
A
                  Yes, I do, sir.
2
                  I was interested yesterday when you first--
  referring you to your diary, what were the circumstances
  again of you having written this, beginning to write it?
5
   A
                  Well I obviously started it as a letter.
6
                  I know, I see that now, but that's not what
7
  you said yesterday, is it?
                 I believe I said I started it as a letter.
9
                  To yourself.
                  Yes. It became a diary and then ended up
10
   as a letter.
                  Yes, but you testified yesterday that this
12
   0
   was just meant as you began as something written to
13
   yourself, didn't you?
14
15
                  I believe I just repeated what I said. I
   started it as a letter.
                  To whom?
17
                  To Jens. It became a diary to myself and
   then it ended up as a letter which I eventually sent to
19
  hìm.
20
                  All right. Maybe I misunderstood,
21
   Ms. Haysom, but obviously on the very first page, at the
22
   very top of the very first page you begin, "My Dearest
   Jens".
24
                  Yes, I begin as a letter.
25
   A
```

```
And you began with the intent then that
   this is a letter to Jens Soering, you write this with the
   intent that it be communicated to Jens Soering, don't you?
                  Perhaps.
5
                  Perhaps?
                  I've written a great many things to people
   which I haven't given to them.
8
                  I can understand that, but this is dated,
  this has got My Dearest Jens, and on December 28th before
   you take off for Sarejavo it's dropped in the mailbox,
   mailed to Jens Soering, Buckingham Road, Gross Pointe,
  Michigan.
13
   A
                  Yes, I--
14
                  Wouldn't you say that's a pretty good
15
   indication that you intended to mail it to him?
16
                  I don't know when I began whether I
17
  intended to mail it to him. I do know that there were
18
   conversations with Jens before I left Sarejavo that I had
19
   not written to him; I believe he refers to that in his
20
   letter to me, that he has been waiting for a long time for
   me to write to him. And I do eventually send it, which I
21
   should never have done, I appreciate that.
23
                  Okay, here we are at Christmas, Ms. Haysom,
  0
24 December 21, 1984.
25
  A
                  Yes, sir.
```

```
A happy season, a joyous season. And
  you're writing to this person with whom you are officially
  in love.
                  Yes, sir.
  A
5
                  December 22nd, excuse me, getting a little
  closer to Christmas. But in this joyous season you write,
   would it be possible to hypnotize my parents, do voodoo on
  them, will them to death, we've heard that phrase quite a
  bit.
10
                 Yes, we have, sir.
11
                  It seems my concentration on their death is
  causing them problems. Now why in the world are you
13
  writing that about your mother and dad there at Christmas
  to send to somebody you hadn't known all that long and
15
  you've just fallen in love with? What kind of letter is
16
  this to send, why did you write it?
17
  A
                  It's a disgusting atrocious letter.
18
                  There we are in agreement, but why did you
19
  write it?
20 A
                  I wrote it because at the time I felt
21 resentful, I felt anger at my parents, probably unfounded,
22 and--
                  I still don't understand why, Ms. Haysom, I
23 Q
24 don't understand why.
               Because I didn't want--
25
```

```
But at any rate, so what if you felt
  resentful, so what if you felt irritation with your mother
   and father. What justification is that for writing to
3
   somebody could we do voodoo on them, could we will them to
  death, would it be possible to do that, my concentration
  on their death, not mother's, not father's, their death,
   and I want to know why. Why did you write it?
                  As I pointed out yesterday, sir, the rather
  flamboyant suggestion of hypnosis, voodoo and willing them
  to death is a jab at Jens over his rather pseudo approach
  to psychology and those subjects.
12
  0
                  I'm sure it is.
                  I'm sure you're familiar from his letters
13
14 that he's very much involved in that.
15
                  I'm sure he appreciated it at Christmas, a
  little jab about voodoo. All right let's put the voodoo
  aside. Why willing your parents to death, why
18 concentrating on their death.
                  I'm afraid, sir, that it was a fantasy of
20 mine for many years that my parents would die.
21
                  You fantasized their death for many years.
22
  A
                  I fantasized that they would be out of my
  life.
23
                  By death?
24
  Q
25
                It didn't matter how.
```

```
If they died that was fine, if they ran off
   somewhere in the jungle and never seen, that was fine,
   just as long as you had nothing to do with them.
                  No, sir. My whole approach to life at
   this stage was running away from things. I ran away from
   them, from school, from the situation at Wickham, and I
   wanted to do so again at UVA.
8
                   Yes, but can you explain to me how you can
   fantasize about someone's death. You just concentrate on
10
   it, thinking about it?
11
   A
                  No, sir, it's not concentration.
12
                  You sit around in your room dreaming about
   the death of your parents?
14
   A
                  No, sir.
15:
                  Just then how did you conduct these
   fantasies of your parents' death?
17
                  I would imagine what life would be like
   without somebody hovering over my shoulder the whole time.
19
                 Okay.
                         So your concentration then was
   really on you, what it would be like for you?
21
                  Yes, sir, I was completely selfish and
   self-centered.
23
                  Completely selfish.
.24
  A
                  Totally.
25
                  And it was what you wanted, regardless of
```

consequence to your mom and dad; if what you wanted could be accomplished through their deaths, it didn't matter, I mean that was your fantasy, wasn't it? 4 No, sir. 5 0 Explain. 6 My fantasies were centered on myself, 7 that's correct, and I was totally self-centered and selfish in my association of them being out of my life. I 8 didn't think about the consequences to other people or obviously to my parents. But it wasn't about dying and 10 11 death, it was about them being out of my life. 12 Just as I was irresponsible and almost 13 brutal in the way that I did not contact my parents when I was running around Europe in this degenerate way, I didn't 14 15 contact any member of my family, that is how I fantasized about it. 16 17 All right, ma'am. So you're saying that 18 this expression of concentration on their death then was 19 mere fantasy of yours, just fantasy. 20 Yes, sir. 21 That you'd had for some years. Why is it. then that you communicated this fantasy to Mr. Soering? 22 mean you can fantasize things, you can write them down and 23 throw those fantasies away, you don't have to stick them 24

in the mailbox a few days later.

```
That's very true, sir.
                  But you did mail and communicate this
2
  fantasy of concentration on your parents' death to Jens
  Soering on December 28th, didn't you?
4
5
                  Excuse me, what was the date of that?
                  I'm talking about the date of the mailing,
6
  I believe the post script shows December 28th, 1984.
                 Well I left for Yugoslavia on the 27th,
  so--
9
                  So you had to get it mailed before you went
  to Europe.
11
                  Well it couldn't have been posted on the
12
  28th then if I was in Yugoslavia on the 27th.
13
                  Well this seems to be a stamp of the United
14
  States Postal Service across the United States; do you
  want to look at the front of it? What does it say?
                  It does say the 28th, sir.
  A.
                  Of December, 1984, doesn't it?
18
  Q
                  Yes, sir.
19
                  And the letter is addressed to Jens
20
21
  Soering, 1001 Buckingham Road, Gross Pointe, Michigan,
  that's where he was at the time, wasn't he?
                  Yes, sir. I was also in Yugoslavia on the
23
  28th.
24
                  Well that's a good old American stamp
25
```

```
there with a postmark on it. But at any rate, when did
   you mail it then?
3
                  I have no idea, probably on the 26th,
   something like that.
                  26th?
                  There was probably a delay in the mail.
7
                  You mailed it a little sooner then, okay.
8 But at any rate, getting back, regardless of what day you
   mailed it, I still didn't get an answer to the question
   why did you mail this fantasy to this young lover of yours?
11
                  Mr. Updike, when Jens and I became
   friends--
12
                  I'll withdraw the part lover then.
13
                  No, no, that's fine. When we became
14
  friends, we shared many things, and one of the things I
15
   think that was wrong about our relationship was that
   perhaps I shared too much with him. I indulged my
17
   resentments, my self pity, my frustrations with him,
   exaggerated them, let them run away with themselves,
19
   that's very true.
20
                      And--
                  Did he know these were fantasies, just
21
22
   merely fantasies?
                  Well this is one of the problems that we
23
   obviously had. He would discuss with me his fantasies as
24
  he describes them in his letters, some of his bizarre
```

sexual fantasies I believe is a quote, and I took his fantasies and my fantasies. I see. I would describe to him I suppose some of my bizarre fantasies of living without my parents, and he obviously did not perceive them as fantasies. Right much of an understatement, wouldn't you say? 9 Yes, it is, sir. 10 Now you said that you all did not have an agreement to be writing these things to one another. 11 12 looking at Mr. Soering's diary, December 31st, that's a week or so later, but on Page Six, he begins by talking about at the top of the page, remember that test she was talking about doing at the beginning of next semester? 16 Yes, sir, that's something that comes up many times, and I believe you actually have a note from Jens Soering and myself of the following semester, which is much later on, where I discussed that the test is to do with his movie. Oh, the test is the movie. 21 22 Yes, you have that document. 23 I see. All right, thank you. Proceeding 24 to the bottom of that same page under the same date, Mr. Soering's enjoying Christmas holiday as well, he says

you know that certain "instrument for a certain operation on somebody's relatives"? Yes, I see that. 4 So about the same time that you're talking about and fantasizing their death, he's talking about using an instrument on somebody's relatives, isn't he? 7 I believe he discusses this weapon or instrument and it is this, what does he call it, neurolinguistic programming, psychology, hypnosis, all his 10 as I say pseudo popularized psychology. 11 So it--proceeding to Page Eight of his diary, there at the top, second complete paragraph, "By the way, were I to meet your parents I have the ultimate weapon." 14 15 Yes, sir. He's writing this, too. 16 And if I can draw your attention to--as I--17 I pointed that out myself yesterday on Page Eight. He 18 goes on to describe what this weapon is and I think you'll find that the description is throughout Pages Eight and Nine and especially on Page Ten. 21 22 And on Page Ten again, "And the ultimate weapon against your parents, my God, how I've got the 23 dinner scene planned out." 24 25 A Yes, sir.

```
0
                  Again, it's weapons against your parents,
 1
  right?
                  Yes, it is, sir, it's the lover's form of
 3
   meditation as he says just before that.
                  Then on Page Eleven, first paragraph,
 5
6
  referring to your father, Jens Soering writes depending on
7
   his mental and emotional flexibility--
8
                  Sir, where is this?
9
                  Excuse me, first paragraph, top of Page
10
  Eleven, middle of the paragraph beginning with the word
   depending.
12
                  Yes.
                  Depending on his mental and emotional
13
   flexibility, your father for example could quite well die
   from a confrontation with him.
15
16
                  Yes.
17
                  Now here we are at Christmas and Mr.
  Soering's writing about the death of your father as well,
19
  why?
20
                  I have no idea, sir.
21
                  Just coincidence that he is fantasizing
  about their deaths while you are also here in Virginia
  fantasizing about their deaths as well?
23
                 I believe you'll find he also fantasized
24
  about his own parents' deaths as well in this letter.
25
```

I'm not concerned with that here today, 1 Ms. Haysom. I'm concerned about why your mom and dad got butchered liked they did and why you all were writing these things at the same time, one in Michigan, you here in Virginia. 5 Yes. 6 And I won't go through all of them, you 7 read most of them yesterday, but his diary is full of 8 references of weapons against your parents, isn't it? 10 Yes, sir. And if you examine what he describes as the weapon, it is things like emotional blackmail. This bit that you just picked out, depending 12 on his mental and emotional flexibility, and before that 13 he's describing color experiences he keeps having. 14 15 Uh-huh. 16 And this is what carries some powers with it or something, 17 18 Okay, then on your diary, again the second page where you asked the question--well you state, there 19 have been many burglaries in this area recently. 20 21 A Yes, sir. 22 And as you were aware and pointed out 23 yesterday and as I pointed out previously, on Page Nineteen of Jens Soering's diary he responds to your

letter at the bottom of Page Nineteen.

A

Yes, sir.

2

3

The fact that there have been many burglaries in the area opens the possibility for another one with the same general circumstances only this time the unfortunate owners, and it just leads off there.

6

Yes, it does, sir.

7

Q Were you fantasizing about burglaries as

9

well, were you thinking about faking your parents' murder during a fake--excuse me, killing your parents during a

10

fake burglary, is that what you all were talking about?

11

No, sir.

12

Was it just fantasy, coincidence?

13

I believe you'll find that there are

14

fantasies about burglaries. I have something written

15

about fantasizing about stealing a five-ton golden Buddha

16

17

somewhere.

THE COURT: Golden what?

18

THE WITNESS: Buddha.

19

BY MR. UPDIKE: (continuing)

20

And then when you asked about the question about the voodoo that we keep reading, he responds to that

22

on that same page, by the way yes, voodoo, et cetera is

23

possible. Ms. Haysom, I submit to you that as early as

24

December of 1984 and probably earlier by virtue of this

25

having been reduced to writing, you and Jens Soering were

discussing the murder of your mother and father and that that is the only reasonable explanation for the two of you writing independently discussions of their murders and their deaths.

Now isn't that right? It's the only logical explanation, isn't it?

What was the question, sir? I thought you were making a statement to me.

Do you agree with the statement?

No, sir. A

7

10

13

14

15

16

17

19

21

23

Your explanation is coincidence and 11 12 fantasy.

My explanation is that I had shared with Jens Soering my resentments and my frustrations, and I agree with you wholeheartedly that those were--many of them were unfounded and that my position was one--was totally without fairness or support in discussing the role that my parents played with me.

And what I am saying to you is that Jens Soering, as he so graphically describes in that letter 20 which you obviously have read very thoroughly, manipulates that resentment and that frustration that I have discussed with him. And he is talking about a dinner scene three or four months --

Well--25 0

```
As you read out there, he says he has the
  A
  dinner scene planned out. I believe you read that on the
  August hearing.
3
                  Yes, I did.
                  Three months before it happened.
5
6
                  Yes, ma'am
7
                  And I don't see how I could have
  manipulated him to plan those sorts of things when he has
  already got it planned.
10
                  I see. And you're writing at the same
   time. Very well, Ms. Haysom, if--
12
                  Well we had been discussing these things,
   we'd been discussing my hatred and my resentments, and he
14
   takes it one step further.
15
                  He does.
16
                  I have told you, I admit fully that I had
  the macabre and dreadful fantasies for many years about--
18
                  If we could move on, please, Ms. Haysom;
  we've covered those letters then, please.
20
                       MR. UPDIKE: Your Honor, could I get
21
                  an idea of when the Court intends to break
22.
                  this morning. I can keep going or stop.
23
                       THE COURT: I think right now might be
24-
                  a good time. We'll take a short recess.
```

25

(Whereupon a recess was taken.)

THE COURT: All right, you may 2 proceed. 3 BY MR. UPDIKE: (continuing) Ms. Haysom, after you mailed the letter diary, then you went to Yugoslavia, is that correct? That's correct, sir, yes. And mom and dad paid for that, didn't they? Yes, they did, sir. 9 A rather expensive Christmas holiday, wasn't it? 11 Yes, it was, sir. 12 Now if I recall what you said yesterday, you were saying that you wanted to stay at home for 14 Christmas and your mother, it was her idea that you go. 15 Yes, it was, sir. It was your mother's idea, not yours. 16 17 Yes, she was the one who found out about 18 the trip and she was the one who made the arrangements. I see. Now you've talked just a little 19 while ago about your mother smothering you with affection, 20 not allowing you any privacy, coming to the University of 21 Virginia at times that you didn't want her to. And yet 23 her she was providing you with an European Christmas 24 vacation.

25 A

Yes, it was a very public display of trust.

2 vacation there was no smothering with affection, there was 3 privacy. It was quite nice, wasn't it? Yes, I had a good time, sir. 5 Well there again, she couldn't win, could 6 she? I mean if she was up there with the affection that wasn't right, if she sent you to Europe where you said that you wanted to be, that wasn't right, you wanted to stay at home then. What was she to do? 10 I think what the problem was, sir, was one of communication. My mother was very strong willed and 12 what she thought was best went, and she was probably usually right. And I know she probably acted out of the 13 best motivations. 14 15 But the point is that I was a full-grown 16 woman and I wanted to do certain things, my mother wanted me to do certain other things and I did what my mother 17 wanted me to do. Then if one of your mother's friends, 19 Ms. Massie, for instance, testified that your mother was 20 concerned about you going, but that it was your idea to go 21 22 to Yugoslavia and she consented to your wishes--23 A I'm sure my mother said that. 24 But you still maintain that it was her idea

and not yours.

But at the same time, while you were on the

A	Absolutely.	
Q	And then if your mother said that to	
Ms. Massie	your mother was not telling the truth. Yes. My mother also told the Massies and	
numerous c	other people that I had been accepted into	
Cambridge.		
Q	She'd lie in other words?	
A	Yes, she occasionally in her pride for me	
exaggerated her claims of my trustworthiness and how go		
I was as a daughter.		
Q	Would you agree that you're now calling her	
a liar?		
A	I would say she wasn't so much of a liar as	
somebody w	ho	
Q	Didn't tell the truth?	
A	She had fantasies.	
Q.	Ms. Haysom, you wrote Stuart Harrington	
that you d	ecided to go to Yugoslavia, that statement being	
in direct	contrast to what you're testifying to now.	
A	My mother read my letters.	
Q	Ms. Haysom, it seems that you pass	
responsibi	lity for everything to somebody else, don't you?	
A	I have done that.	
Q	Do you recognize this letter to Stuart	
Harrington	dated January 6, 1985?	

1	A	es, 1 do, sir.
2	Q T	hat's your handwriting?
3	A Y	es, it is, sir.
4	Q	At the bottom of that letter do you say I
5	decided to go to	Yugoslavia for a week to remind myself o
6	the sweet, peace	ful bliss of Europe?
7	, А	es, I said that. You're reading it, so I
8	presume you said	that.
9	, Q w	ell would you like to examine it yourself
10	please?	
11	A	es, sir.
12	Q Y	ou're saying in that written letter to
13	Mr. Harrington t	hat it was your idea to go to Yugoslavia,
14	that you intende	d to go, where was it, on the second page
15	there, I can't p	ronounce those European words.
16	, A I	talk about a skiing resort, Eurobrina
17	outside of Sarej	avo.
18	Q T	hat's where you intended to go, right?
19	A	hat's where I went. I intended to ski.
20	ĮΩ	see. If I could introduce that please,
21	Your Honor. Tha	nk you, ma'am.
22	1	(LETTER MARKED COMMONWEALTH'S EXHIBIT)
24	BY MR. UPDIKE:	(continuing)
25	Q W	hat is your explanation then for writing

to Mr. Harrington that it was your idea to go to Yugoslavia, but testifying here today under oath that it was your mother sending you away at Christmastime; what's 3 your explanation for the discrepancy? Well what usually happened with my mother 5 is that she would give us options, she would give us an option, we could do this or we could do some thing else 7 and then she would make sure we chose the option she 8 wanted us to choose. And I suppose, again, it was eventually -- I did decide to go; I could have put my foot 10 down and refused to get on the plane I suppose. 11 Yes. Did you consider that to be a 12 terrible abusive thing? 13 Not at all, sir. 14 A European Christmas vacation? Q 15 Not at all, sir. But it was not what I 16 wanted to do. And--17 You wanted to be at home then, right? 18 I wanted to be at home, yes. 19 At Christmas of '84. But you were telling 20 us back in '83 you wanted to stay in Europe to go to 21 school there. 22' Yes, sir. 231 But in December of '84 you wanted to be in 24 Virginia, not go back to Europe.

Yes, sir. Do you ever wonder if maybe your parents 2 had a difficult time understanding exactly where it was 3 you wanted to be at the moment? I think there was a great deal of communication problem between myself and my parents. 6 Rather than communication problems, was it 7 just that you changed your mind so much just to suit yourself and your individual desires at the moment? 9 No, sir, I would change my mind to suit my 10 parents' desires. They wanted me--they were very much for 11 the idea of me going to Cambridge, then they changed their 12 mind about it. So I had my ridiculous display of going to 13 Europe and I acquiesced to their wishes to come to 14 University of Virginia. I did choose to do that because 15 it would make them happy and I felt very guilty for having 16 run away from them. 17 I see. 18 Then when I was at UVA I began to enjoy it. 19 So you went to Yugoslavia to make your 20 parent:s happy. 21 To a certain extent, yes, sir. 22 I'm sure they appreciated it, Ms. Haysom. 23 Now you talked yesterday about your mother, and you've 24

spoken just: a few minutes ago about: your mother

25.

Page 268

```
exaggerating your grades and saying that you got a 4.0 at
   the end of the first semester at the University of
   Virginia.
3
                  Yes, sir.
                  Actually you didn't do very well at all.
5
                  No, I didn't, sir.
6
                  I think you flunked a course, didn't you?
7
                  Yes, I did.
   A
8
                  Got a C?
   Q
9
                  I had three C's and an F, sir.
   Α
10
                  Three C's and an F.
11
                  Yes, sir.
12
                  And you accused your mother of exagge
                                                         rating
13
   that. But in the letter, I think it's the one we just
14
   introduced, when you wrote Mr. Harrington, Colonel
15
   Harrington, you tell him you just got a 4.0.
16
                   I couldn't have possibly have said that,
17
   sir, since--unless that letter is after Yugoslavia.
18
   my mother had phoned me when I was in New York and had
19
                                     he told me.
20
   just come back from Yugoslavia, s
                  Could I see that? Maybe I'm thinking the
21
   wrong one. The front page of this letter dated January 6,
23 | 1985 --
                  Yes, I'd just come back from Yugoslavia.
24
  A
                  Excuse me?
25
  0
```

```
1
   A
                   I had just come back from Yugoslavia.
 2
                   Well I'm not interested -- it's about that
 3
   period of time you write--I have a little trouble, could
   you just read the first part of that letter about three-
 5
   quarters of the way down?
 6
                  Would you like me to start from Dear Stu?
 7
                   That will be fine, yes, thank you.
 8
                   "Dear Stu: Ten thousand apologies for this
   slow correspondence. You know, the KGB, CIA, FBI, IRA,
   PLO or any other sultry organization have not yet tempted
   me from the fine Virginia flock. How the things have been
11
   happening"--I'm not sure the next bit is relevant as it
12
13
   concerns my family.
                   I'd like for you to read the point about
14
15
  your grades.
                  All right. Also--yes, here we go.
16
  heat is finally removed from me who is once more the
17
   paragon debutante also maintaining a neat 4.0 average."
18
                  Thank you. That's you writing on January
19
  the 6th.
                  Yes, my mother had informed me when I--as I
21
  said yesterday, that I had a 4.0 average.
22
23
                  Straight A's.
  A
                  Yes, that's correct.
24
                  So this inaccuracy you're blaming on your
25
```

```
mother?
                  She was the one who told me, yes, sir.
                  Now Ms. Haysom, you know, I didn't go to
3
   these European schools or anything like that, and I didn't
   always know exactly what my grades were, but I had an idea
5
   between F's and straight A's. Now didn't you know by
6
   virtue of the work that you'd done that if she said you
   got straight A's when in essence and actuality you flunked
8
   some courses, you knew the difference yourself without
   even seeing the report card, now didn't you?
10
                  Well, sir, if my actual school work was
11
   looked at for that semester, you'll find that I did
12
   extremely well up until the very end where I failed to
13
   turn up for any of my final exams.
                  Okay, so you didn't turn up for your final
15
   exams?
15
                  No, sir.
17
                  And when the report card comes through and
18
   your mother says you got a 4.0, it looks like that your
19
   immediate response would be, mom, that can't be because I
20
   didn't even take my final exams.
21
22
                  I couldn't have told my parents that.
23
                  But you knew you didn't get a 4.0, didn't
  you?
24
25
                  I thought it was strange, yes, but I had
```

done a lot of extra work, a lot of extra credit. You actually thought without taking final 2 exams the University of Virginia was going to give you 3 straight A's? I didn't take my final exams at the end of 5 my second semester and I got straight A's. 6 Oh, you did then, too. Ms. Haysom, isn't it quite possible that you were the one exaggerating your 8 grades, not your mother, and that you're blaming her for 9 an inaccuracy that she's not allowed to defend? No, sir, I don't think that's true. It was 11 chance; I went to see my dean, who drew my attention to it 13 because he had discussed it with her. She was the one who had my transcript, she was the one who wrote on it. 15 I see. And just before I leave this point, are you saying that you in good faith wrote that letter to Stuart Harrington stating that you got straight A's and 17 that you actually believed that at the moment that you 19 wrote it? 20 Yes, because it was a very nice thing to believe. 21 22 Proceeding from January them, in February of '85 you said that Mr. Soering came into your room with a very interesting statement. What was that again, 24 please, concerning your parents?

Page 272

```
bloody heads off.
                  I could blow their bloody heads off.
3
                  Yes, sir.
   A
5
                  At that point in time of course you had
6
  communicated to him with this diary your fantasies as you
  now call them.
8
                  Yes, sir.
9
                  He had written to you, and though you say
10
  you didn't read all of it, even in the first ten pages
11
  there are references to weapons against your parents.
12
                   I have no idea if I got that far but maybe
  I did, I don't know, I can't say whether I did or I
13
14
  didn't.
15
                  Regardless of whether you read it or not,
  I'm interested in the fact that he wrote it in response to
16
   you. But at any rate, Ms. Haysom--
18
                   I believe at Page Ten or whatever is not a
  response to me, it's of his own free thinking.
20
                  Excuse me, you're exactly right, it's Page
21
  Nineteen. Now, my point being though, after you have
  written what you did during Christmas--
23
  A
                  Yes, sir.
24
                  -- and Mr. Soering bursts into your room
  talking about he could blow your parents' brains out.
25
```

He walked in and said I could blow their

```
Didn't it occur to you at that moment hey,
 2
   wait a minute, this boy's taking this stuff serious?
 3
                  Yes, it did, sir.
 5
                  What did you do to stop him?
                  Absolutely nothing, sir, and that's why I'm
   quilty.
                  Ms. Haysom, it would seem to me that if
   somebody said something about murdering one's parents--
10
                  Yes, sir.
                  --that one would not acquiesce to a
11
   suggestion such as that unless that person actually wanted
   those parents murdered.
14
   A
                 Sir, I did not want my parents murdered,
15
   but there was a large part of me which did want my parents
16
   out of my life. And I think that I chose to ignore his
17
   statement or not do anything about it as you say because
18
  of that, because I did not necessarily want my parents
  murdered but I wanted them out of my life.
20
                  Couldn't you have very easily have said
  that to Jens Soering, look, Jens, I don't want them dead,
22
  I would like them out of my life, I don't want them dead.
23
  I love you, don't you ever say anything of that nature
24
  against my mother and father again.
25
  A
                  I certainly was very angry with him. I
```

Yes, sir.

told him that I thought it was a disgusting thing to say, and I said to him, my God, you are serious about this and it's outrageous. 3 4 But he obviously knew from, as you pointed out, my letters that I had feelings about my parents that I didn't want them in my life, yes. 6 7 And he would also have feelings that you 8 wanted them dead because of your exact words in the diary, concentrations on their death, willing them to death. 10 That probably had remained in his mind. I 11 actually had forgotten about those letters until a much 12 later time. 13 So you admit that you placed the idea of 14 your parents' death in the mind of Jens Soering? 15 A No, sir. 16 Ms. Haysom, then, you did absolutely nothing, you said absolutely nothing, and you say that you did not want them dead, you just ignored that statement, 18 is that correct? 20 A To a certain extent. To a certain extent. Q 21 What is your question then, sir, excuse me, 22 maybe I misunderstood. 23 24 0 Let's just move on, Ms. Haysom. I think I

plowed that ground and I'm not getting anything but rocks.

Now after February, the next month, March, and I'd like to keep things in sequence if we could. You've written a December diary about willing them to 3 death, Jens in February is talking about blowing their heads off, you say that you just passively acquiesced, that you don't do anything to encourage it, you just allow 7 him to think what he wants. Well I think, sir, that by passively doing 8 nothing, that is an acquiescence, and that is why I am 9 10 responsible for my parents' death. I submit to you, Ms. Haysom, and I hand you 11 Commonwealth's Exhibit No. 26, that you did much more than 12 passively acquiesce, you actively participated, didn't you? I hand you--14 Excuse me, sir, what do you mean by 15 16 actively participated? 17 Let me point to the letter that I have just handed you. This you wrote from the Ramada Inn in 18 19 Colorado, didn't you? That's correct, sir. 20 And these cruel parents who wouldn't allow 21 you to go anywhere or do anything, Christmas break you go to Europe, spring break you go to Colorado. 23 I didn't want to go to Colorado, I wanted 24 to stay at Virginia with Jens. 25

You just had a miserable life, didn't you, 2 Ms. Haysom. 3 No, I did not, sir. But while in Colorado, you write this letter to him, knowing the background that I've already described. For example on Page Three of the letter at the bottom quarter you state, "I would not sell my soul for their easy money, their money was a cop-out and I would never have had full control. If they didn't like my 10 beloved when I was thirty-five, they could still say go 11 out and marry him and you will be poor." 12 Then you write, oh, two pages over, 13 actually three pages over, middle way down the page, I'm sure you're familiar with it, "Why spend an entire life chasing a fat carrot and being incredibly miserable when the carrot will eventually come your way. They cannot write me out of their will completely." 17 18 I'm sorry, I can't find it, sir. 19 Okay, right here, please. It's the page 20 with the note there at the top. A Oh, okay. 22 Do you see that? 23 Yes, sir. 24 And then I'm not reading all of it, but

then just flip over several pages to where the Ramada

25

```
Inn's at the top, to this part right here, see where I am
  now, that page?
3
                  Yes, sir.
                  If I go to Europe with you, anybody else,
   alone, to bum around, I can kiss lots of lolly--that's
  English for money, right?
7
                  Yes, sir.
                  I can kiss lots of lolly goodbye. If I go
8
  to summer school or work as an assistant editor to the New
10
  Yorker, Harper's, et cetera, I will be on the right road
11
   to wealth. You're writing these things to Jens Soering,
  aren't you?
13
                  No. sir.
14
                  As you described yesterday, he was very
15
  concerned about financial security, wasn't he?
16
  A
                  Yes, he was, sir.
17
                  And this business about going to Europe, it
  concerned him that if you all took off you all wouldn't
  have enough money.
19
                  No, sir, he was not discussing about taking
20
  off, he was discussing a holiday as he describes in that
21
   letter of December and January.
22
                  He was concerned about financial security,
23
  though, wasn't he?
                 Yes, he was, sir.
25
  A
```

Q And you knew that.

A Yes, sir, and I tried to manipulate him in that letter about that.

And you said that, tried to manipulate.

A Unsuccessfully, too.

But at any rate, you are putting to him an ultimatum, aren't you? You are stating to him about how your parents were controlling you, that you tried to do all these things and it didn't make any difference. And you state on one hand if you go to Europe, you're going to lose out on the money. You can stay here and be rich, but you're going to have to be subjected to the control of your parents.

So you had that ultimatum that neither one of you wanted, did you, and that the only resolution to that dilemma, the only one, the only way that you could have both, was to kill the parents, wasn't it?

No, sir.

Because then you would have had your freedom to go to Europe and you would have had the wealth as well, you would have had both. And knowing the letters you'd written in December, knowing about his statement in February about blowing their brains out, in March, two weeks before the murder, you're manipulating him, playing with him, presenting this dilemma to him that had only one

resolution.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

a

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

25

20 BY MR. UPDIKE: (continuing)

21 Q Yes, very much so, ma'am, I've waited quite

22 some time to talk with you.

What I'm trying to do in this letter,

24 exactly, I'm trying to manipulate him.

O To do what?

MR. DAVIS: Judge, I believe he's sort of badgering the witness and being very argumentative, and I don't know whether there's a question there or what's going on, the statement's been going on for about three minutes. And if there's a question to be asked, maybe it can be rephrased in a more simple manner.

THE COURT: Any response to the objection?

MR. UPDIKE: Your Honor, I think that I have the right to cross-examine. I'll be happy--I thought I was putting it in the form of a question to ask her wasn't that the only resolution to the dilemma.

THE WITNESS: No, sir. What I'm trying to do here, if you're interested, are you interested?

```
1
   A
                  To leave the university with me; that is
2
   why I go into this incredibly flamboyant and nonsensical
3
   description of how it's okay to leave, that everything's
4
   going to work out, that you can find money, do whatever is
   necessary.
5
                  You start out in the letter by talking
7
   about you were to inherit Eaton Square.
                  That's nonsense.
9
                 I know it was. But he didn't know it, you
10
  were telling him.
11
                   No. I spoke to him on the telephone the same
12
   day.
13
                   Yes, ma'am, but you are writing this letter
14
   saying that if you did such and such thing in accordance
15
   with your parents' will, you would inherit 100 Eaton
16
  Square,
17
                  I spoke to him on the telephone that same
   day and I told him that the --
19
                  Ma'am, I'm not asking about the telephone
20
   call, I'm asking you didn't you write this to him?
21
                  Oh, yes, it's right here in front of me, I
   can't deny that.
22
23
                  And you talk about your heritage going back
24
  to Lady Astor, don't you?
25
                  Yes, sir.
```

```
And what you were doing was you were
   emphasizing your background, your heritage, your potential
3
   wealth to this young man who was concerned about financial
   security, weren't you?
5
                  No, sir, I was--well, yes, you're correct
6
   in a way, I was emphasizing the fact that there was lots
7
   of money there. But I was emphasizing to him that that
8
   money would never be available to me. As I said in the
   letter, the golden carrot was always before me and I would
10
  never be able to achieve it.
11
                 Unless your parents died.
12
   A
                  No.
13
                  I direct your attention then to Page Four
  of the letter.
15
                  What does that look like? This isn't
16
  numbered, I'm sorry.
17
                  It starts with the words absolutely
18
   everything.
19
                  Yes, sir.
20
                  And you state at the middle of the page,
  referring to your parents, they are now ruling over me
  because if I'm a good girl I will be rich, but until they
22
  die they will hold out.
  A
                 Well, that's--
24
25
  Q
                  Yes, ma'am. And you're talking about
```

```
1
   that's the only way that you're going to get that wealth,
   isn't it, they are going to hold out until they die.
 3
   you're describing to this young man this wealth that's
   there available, the European trips. You're manipulating
   him, aren't you?
 6
                  I am manipulating him, yes, I admit to
 7
   that.
8
                  And the purpose is in this reference until
9
   they die to the young man who's talked about blowing their
10
   brains out, it's to encourage him to free you so the two
11
   of you will have the freedom and will have the wealth to
12
   do whatever you want.
13
                  That's not true, sir, because I knew at
   that time that I wasn't--all I was going to inherit, I say
14
15
   all, but what I was going to inherit was my education.
                  Why did you write this then?
16
                  I wrote it to manipulate him to leave the
17
   university with me.
                  Two weeks later, Ms. Haysom, you, according
19
   to you, were in the Washington Marriott and Jens Soering
20
   was on his way to Holcomb Rock Road, Loose Chippings.
21
                  Yes, sir, he had a four hour drive.
22
   A
                  And he murdered your parents there, didn't
23
24
  he?
25
                  Yes, he did, sir.
  A
```

```
And are you saying that this letter two
  weeks before, and the statements that he made in February,
   and the letters that you wrote in December had nothing to
   do with the trip that he made all the way from Washington
   to Loose Chippings?
6
                  No, I'm not saying that, sir.
7
                  You did want your parents dead, didn't you?
8
                  I did not want them murdered, sir.
9
                  You wanted your father dead for example,
   didn't you?
11
                  No, I didn't, sir.
                   You told this man here on May the 8th, and
12
13
   I'll find the exact quote, but you said to him on May the
14
   8th, 1987 down here at the Bedford County Sheriff's
15
   Department, with reference to your father, and this is
  nearly a verbatim quote, I didn't like him, I wanted him
16
   dead, I'll say it 100 times if you want, now didn't you
   say that? Would you like to see it in print?
18
                  No, sir, I remember it very vividly.
                  You did say that, didn't you?
20
                  Yes, I said that to Investigator Gardner.
21
  A
22
                  But here today, oh, no, you didn't want him
23
  dead, is that what you're saying?
                  What I said was I didn't want him murdered.
24
```

I know to you who obviously are very logical and very

25

clear headed that doesn't make any sense. But sir--Please. 3 | -- I wanted my parents out of my life. I had this immature, ridiculous fantasy of them being dead, not murdered, not in actuality, not in reality. My letters, my writings, they all have a very surreal and fantastic nature. It was in my head and Jens made it reality. But it wasn't a reality that I wanted. 9 You wanted them dead, you state here on 10 Page Seventy-Eight. 11 I wanted them dead to me, I wanted them disinherited from me. I wanted them to be out of my life. So this is just, oh, a lack of 13 Q communication between you and Jens Soering then, is that 15 right? I think that's a very gross under 16 17 statement. Is that how you would characterize your 18 testimony though here today? 19 I don't understand the question, excuse me. 20 But at any rate, while I've got it here, 21 you do admit that you stated to Investigator Gardner, I 22 didn't like him and I wanted him dead, I mean I'll say it 100 times if you want. I admit my guilt to that and all 24 the rest of it.

```
Yes, I said to Investigator Gardner many,
2
  many times that I was guilty and that --
3
                  But you just--
4
   A
                  I was responsible for everything that took
  place and that I caused it to happen and that's true.
6
                  You didn't want them dead, all right.
  You've written these letters.
                  I wanted them dead, but not murdered, sir.
8
  A
9
                  All right. At the end of March, March the
  28th, was it the 29th, that Friday, you and Jens Soering
11
  went to Washington, D.C., didn't you?
                  Yes, sir. What's the date of that?
12
13
                 Well let me get a copy of the reservations
  that you made then. Commonwealth's Exhibit No. 10,
  arrival at the Washington Marriott on Friday, March the
  29th, departure on Sunday, March the 31st. You all went
16
  to Washington that weekend, didn't you, despite all this
  that's happened before.
18
                  Yes, we did.
19
                  And for the trip, who rented the car?
20
                  I did, sir.
  A
21
                  Who called and made the reservations?
22
                  I did, sir.
23 A
                  And what was your purpose as you state now
24
25 for going to Washington on that occasion?
```

```
To spend some time with Jens away from the
1
   university and to sell some jewelry.
2
3
                  Whose jewelry?
   A
                  My jewelry.
 4
                  You said something in some letters about
5
   you'd steal your mother's jewlry, I think it's the last
6
7
   one I showed you.
                  Was any jewelry stolen of my mother's?
9
                  I'm asking you, was it your mother's jewelry
  that you sold?
11
                  No, sir.
12
   Q
                  Just some jewelry that you had.
13
                  Yes, sir, I did have some.
                  That you wanted to sell to buy drugs.
14
   Q
15
   A
                  (No response.)
16
                  You made the reservations, you rented the
   car and you all drove up there just for a little pleasant
   weekend buying drugs and so forth. What did you all do
18
   Friday?
20
                  We stayed at the hotel, sir. I can't
   remember if we went to a movie that night, but I think we
21
  stayed at the hotel.
22
23
                  All night?
24
                  Yes, sir.
25
   0
                  The next morning what did you do?
```

```
The next morning we had breakfast and I
   went into Georgetown for a couple of hours, sold some
   jewelry, then I met him at the hotel again.
                  What did you do then?
                  Ate lunch.
                  What happened then?
7
                  Then we had the argument, discussion,
   confrontation.
9
                  About what?
10
                   Well I gave Jens half the money that I had
   obtained from selling the jewelry.
11
12
                  How much was that?
  0
13
                  It was several hundred dollars I believe.
  A
14
                  So you gave him half the money; what
15
  happened then?
                  He was upset that I had to sell my jewelry
16
17
  and he thought I should have gotten more for it.
  blamed my parents.
19
                  Why?
20 | A
                  That I didn't have sufficient money that I
21 had to sell my jewelry.
                  Your parents were giving you I think you
22
  said $200 a month yesterday, didn't you, in addition to
  paying your expenses and all that, your allowance was $200
25 a month according to you yesterday.
```

```
Yes, sir.
2
                   You told Investigtor Gardner on April the
   8th that your allowance was $350 a month.
4
                   I know, sir, I lied to him.
 5
                  You lied to him.
6
                   Yes, I did, sir.
7
                   You're capable of lying and deceiving
   should it meet your needs then?
9
                   I have lied and I have deceived.
10
                   To serve your own purposes at the time?
   Q
11
                   Yes, sir.
                   But at any rate, you had an allowance and
12
   he got mad because you sold your jewelry.
                   Yes, sir.
   A
14
                   Then what happened?
15
   0
                  From the discussion about why I didn't have
16
   enough money and I had to sell my jewelry, that developed
17
   into an argument about the relationship I had with my
   parents generally.
19
                  Okay, they're your parents, what happened
20
  then?
21
                   He said that he wanted to go down to Loose
22
   A
  Chippings.
23
                  And talk to them?
   Q
24
                  And talk to them.
25
```

```
1
                  (Laughs.) Now, Ms. Haysom, you haven't got
  much money evidently, you're selling your jewelry here.
  You rent a car--
3
                  Yes, sir.
5
                  --to have a little weekend with your
  boyfriend.
6
                  Yes, sir.
                  Putting miles on it, you all had to
  pay for the miles, I expect, didn't you when you turned it
  back in?
  A
                  No, sir.
11
                  You don't?
12
  A
                  No, sir.
13
14
                  All right. But at any rate, the miles are
  kept on it and you have gone all the way to Washington,
16 and Charlottesville, for the record here, I think
  everybody here knows, it's about halfway between Lynchburg
  and Washington, D.C., isn't it?
18
                  I don't know, sir, I don't know the
  geography of this area at all.
20
21
                  How many times did you travel from
22
  Charlottesville to Loose Chippings?
23 A
                  Many times.
24 Q
                  You ought to know that, don't you?
                  Yes, I should.
25
  A
```

How long did it take? About an hour and a half. 3 How long did it take you on the occasion of March the 29th to drive from Charlottesville to Washington A couple of hours. 6 That makes a right good drive all the way 7 back from Washington, D.C. all the way down to Loose Chippings, doesn't it? 9 Yes, sir. 10 After you all had gone to all that trouble to set up a nice little weekend for the two of you there in 11 Washington, D.C., you're saying that on Saturday Jens is 12 upset about the sale of some jewelry and all of a sudden 13 he says well I think I'll just drive on down to Lynchburg and talk to your mom and dad about that, is that what 15 16 you're saying? 17 Yes, sir, he was like that. Did it ever occur to you two brilliant 18 students, if it happened like what you're saying, to say 19 now look Jens, if you want to talk to mom and dad let's wait until we get back to Charlottesville, and you can go 21 down there some other time, and you won't have to drive all the way from Washington to Lynchburg, and then from 23 1 Lynchburg all the way to Washington, and then from

Washington back to Charlottesville?

```
Yes, sir, I did say that to him.
   A
                  That made a 650 mile round trip.
2
3
                  It certainly did.
4
                  And he was that upset about selling the
5
   jewelry.
                  Jens, when he gets into a temper, does what
  he wants to, and he wanted to talk to them now. He wanted
7
  me to go with him.
9
                  And I believe that you stated that when he
  was leaving in the car what did he state?
                   He said I'm not sure if it was when he was
11
  leaving in the car, of if it was the discussion at lunch,
12
  but he did say I could kill them.
13
                  I could kill them.
14
   0
15
                  Yes, sir, he did.
16
                  And what did you do?
17
                  I did absolutely nothing, sir.
18
                  But of course you didn't want your parents
  dead, you just wanted them out of your life, is that what
19
20
  you're saying?
21
  A
                  Yes, sir.
22
                  Does that make sense, Ms. Haysom? You are
23
  bright, is it logical?
                 No, sir, it isn't logical. I think--you've
24
25
  said it several times, that I'm awfully bright, perhaps
```

Page 292

that's an over estimation of my abilities. But you understood that, what he was up to, 2 didn't you? 3 Perhaps, sir. 4 5 Perhaps. 6 Perhaps. I chose to ignore him. As I say, 7 I was very much caught up in my own self-centered, selfish 8 life. 9 Can you explain why after writing all the 10 correspondence about the deaths of your parents, about 11 telling the investigators in England about wanting them 12 dead, and about telling this man here about wanting your 13 parents dead, and about having said all of that and knowing 14 all of that and doing what you did there in Washington, 15 you here today say that you did not want them murdered? 16 The distinction I'm trying to make, and I 17 know it's very--it's a very difficult one to understand--18 yes, I said to Investigator Gardner when I came back here, 19 which is approximately two years after my parents were 20 killed, that I did want them dead, yes, but I did not want them murdered. 21 22 I lived in--I'm sure you can tell from my 23 letters that I lived in a world of fantasy to a large 24 extent. I deceived people, I lied to them, I exaggerated 25 it, I played roles, I acted out roles. But in reality I

still find it very difficult to believe, although obviously I know that it's true, that Jens, or in fact that anybody could kill somebody, particularly with a knife.

I don't--to have known Jens, I had never known anybody who was particularly violent or criminal. To kill somebody, it's so very definite and so very real; I just never really imagined somebody could do that, would do that. For all the talk and hard times, it's just not something that people do, they don't go around killing other people even if they have talked about it, written about it. It's not something one does.

I see. Then are you saying despite everything that had been written and said between the two of you and despite what Jens Soering said as he was leaving Washington, are you saying that you did not know he was going to murder your parents?

A. (Pause.)

Q You knew what he was going to do, didn't you?

A No, sir.

Q You didn't. Did you think he was going to drive that distance after all of this just for a little chat?

25 A I never thought that Jens would murder my

parents. I thought he might do a lot of things, but kill somebody, stick a knife in my mother and father, butcher them, no. I never believed he would do that to my parents, I still can hardly believe it. Then if you did not think that, why did you 5 think he was driving so far under those circumstances? He went down there to confront them over 7 his anger and frustrations about my relationship with them. Ms. Haysom, with you all living there in 10 Charlottesville he could have come down from 11 Charlottesville to talk to your parents. 13 But that's when we were discussing it, that was when he got angry, that is when he wanted to see 15 them. And when Jens wants to do something, if he has to drive ten hours from New York to Charlottesville, he'll do 16 it. If he's in Detroit and he wants to see me in New 17 York, he drives the distance. If he wants to do something 18 he does it. 19 I understand then, you're sticking to that 20 21 explanation. There's no point in me badgering you on it, I don't mean to. 22 23 Sir, what I want you to understand is I do not mean to minimize my quilt. What I did, what I said, 24 what I failed to do, my irresponsibility, my manipulation

of Jens, yes, I'm totally guilty, I'm totally responsible for my parents' death, I accept that.

But what I want you to realize is that Jens acted of his own free will, he had a choice; he had a choice, he had a four-hour drive. No matter what I said to him before that, no matter what I had written to him in months before that, he had a choice whether he killed my parents or not.

He sat and talked with them. He had some kind of meal with them or something. He didn't have to do anything, nobody forced him to do anything. And I never once believed that somebody like Jens could do something like that.

Ms. Haysom, please don't misunderstand me,
I'm not trying to minimize Jens Soering's guilt. And if I
live long enough, the time's going to come when he's going
to be here, his day will come, so I'm not trying to
minimize his involvement. I'm trying to lay a foundation
for the Court to determine yours, that's why we're here
today.

I understand that, sir.

And your explanation, then, as I try to summarize it, in my mind is that you passively acquiesced in his intention to kill your parents rather than actively participating in the planning.

A Sir, I'm not a planner. I'm an impulsive, irrational, emotional person. I spit things out, I write things down, I don't think about them, I forget about And a lot of the things I have said and done are irrational and illogical. 6 I understand all that. But in answer to my 7 question, is it accurate then to say that your testimony here today is you passively acquiesced in Jens Soering's intent to kill your parents? 10 I don't think I could ever say that it was passive having written those letters, they obviously had 12 something to do with it. Yes, ma'am. 14 A I won't say that I didn't intend them that 15 way, but obviously they were taken that way; therefore I 16 can't possibly say that it was passive. 17 And can you agree that you agree, then, 18 that they could have been taken as encouragement for 19 murder; would you also agree that they could reasonably be interpreted by Jens Soering as encouragement to murder your parents? 21 22 I would say that it's reasonable to say that he would interpret it as an encouragement, but not 23 for murder. 24

And knowing that and your ability to

25

```
interpret when Jens Soering left Washington, you did
   nothing, you did not know he intended to kill them.
 3
   A
                  No, sir.
 4
                  And you have indicated to us you're capable
5
  of deceiving, aren't you?
6
   A
                  Yes, sir, I have deceived.
 7
                  Capable of lying.
8
                  Yes, sir.
9
                  Let's take you back, then, we have heard
   your statement here today. Let me ask you about your
11
   statement concerning the exact same weekend, the statement
12
  that you made on June the 8th, 1986--
13
                  Yes, sir.
14
   Q
                  -- to Detective Sergeant Beaver and
15
   Detective Constable Terry Wright--
16
   A
                  Yes, sir.
17
                  -- there in Richmond, England.
18
                  Yes, sir.
19
                  I'll be glad to hand you a copy of it,
20
   perhaps I should. While I'm looking for it, if I could
21
   summarize and ask you to state whether my summary is
   accurate. You were initially interviewed a couple of days
   before by all three officers, Investigators Gardner,
   Beaver and Wright. At that time you indicated that you
25
   loved your parents and really said very little else. I
```

mean you had counsel present and upon his advice you indicated no reply to just about everything, right? 3 Yes, sir, my attitude was extremely obnoxious I believe as well at the time. 5 Yes, ma'am. Now you were left alone for a couple of days then, and then you were left alone, the 7 first interview was on June the 6th, 1986, there's a copy of that, I'm really not interested in that, but then a 9 couple days later, June 8th, 1986, that's the second statement if you need to refer to it. 11 But during this first part of the 12 interview, you had not been interviewed for several days. 13 Yes, sir. T4 Sunday night you were interviewed, you knew 15 that the officers had been talking to Jens Soering, and 16 during the first part of this interview you just admit 17 Jens Soering's involvement in its entirety, don't you, but 18 you state that you didn't know that Jens Soering intended to kill your parents. 20 A Yes, sir. For example, what did you state to the 22 officers concerning the purchase of the knife on Saturday morning, what did you say at that time, this being Page Two? 24

25

A

I believe I said that we bought it together

at--I'm sure--if I remember correctly, I said that we purchased the knife together and I think--I believe I later stated that I paid for it in cash. Yes, ma'am. So at that time you're saying that on Saturday morning you weren't selling jewelry, but rather at that time your explanation was that you and Jens Soering tried to purchase a knife in Washington, D.C. Yes, sir. 9 Couldn't purchase it there, you drove into Maryland and the two of you together purchased a butterfly 11 knife. 12 Yes, sir, that's correct. You later drew a butterfly knife as well, didn't you? 14 I 15 A Yes, I did, sir. 16 Did you also state at that time that you and Jens Soering had an agreement before he left 17 Washington, D.C. that you would attend movies and purchase 18 two tickets in order to establish an alibi? 19 I believe I did say that, yes, sir. 20 Did you state to them that you attended one 21 movie, purchased two tickets, this being around 2:00, you 22 couldn't remember the name of that one, you got out, went 23 to another movie that I think you referred to as Witness, attended that, and then after attending that second movie 25

```
you returned to the hotel room.
2
                  Yes, I believe I said that, sir.
3
                  And you stated to the officers that at the
   hotel room you ordered room service.
5
                 Yes, I did, sir.
6
                  And the purpose of you ordering room
   service was so that you could order two meals and there
   would be documentation there at the Washington Marriott
   that two meals were ordered there on Saturday night.
10
   A
                  I don't believe I said that, I believe I
11
  said the room service, and Sergeant Beaver, Inspector
12
  Beaver, suggested that and I agreed with him.
13
                  Two meals?
14 A
                  Yes.
15
                  Later on you said two meals to this man,
16
   didn't you, in May of '87?
17
                  I said one meal and corrected myself, yes.
18
                  And said two meals.
19
                  Yes, sir.
20
                  Your intent was--you didn't correct the
   Sergeant, you intent was to leave the impression that you
  ordered two meals, right?
22
                 Yes, sir, it was. I wanted to convict
23
  myself completely.
24
                  You wanted to convict yourself completely?
25
  Q
```

A

2

3

4

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

21

23

24

Yes, sir.

During the first part of this June 8th interview, you're trying to convict Jens Soering, you completely insist that you had no knowledge of the intent to murder. It's only during the last part of that interview when Sergeant Beaver confronts you with your statement being so illogical.

A Yes, sir.

In the latter half, that's when you break down and that's when you admit your involvement. During the first part you're saying Jens did this, Jens did that, he left Washington and I didn't know what he was going to do; isn't that a fair description?

A I think it does go something like that, yes.

My question then is why did you say that tho those two police officers at that time about purchasing the tickets, going to the hotel room, and here today you're saying something different?

A Okay, while I was in custody at the Richmond Police Station, as you know from my first interview with Investigator Gardner and Detective Constable Wright and Sergeant Beaver, I refused to make a statement basically, I said no reply, and I refused to

talk after that.

And when I discovered--I admit my sole purpose at this time was to continue to cover up for Jens and to continue to cover up my coverup, any participation that I had. And when I discovered, when Sergeant Beaver confronted me in the cell with Jens's statement he was very careful to tell me a couple of details about Jens's statement, and so I was fully aware of the fact that Jens had been talking to them.

Any my response was one of anger that Jens had let me down, that he had confessed while I was continuing to betray and lie and cover up for him, and meanwhile he was confessing. And I felt like I had been set up by him.

And so what--my response was one of anger.

And when I spoke to Sergeant Beaver in the beginning, yes,
I did, I tried to put the entire mess on to Jens, I said
that I didn't now what was going on.

Por example, about the tickets, you responded, "Well, he just said buy a couple of tickets when you go, so I bought two tickets." So your intent was just whatever Jens said do, you did it without knowledge of the consequences. During the first part of the interview, is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

```
1
                  So Jens had confessed, you were mad at him,
   so you just decided to put him in a little bit deeper.
 3
                  Well it's a little bit more complicated
 4
   than that. At that time, as I still do feel, I felt
 5
   responsible for what happened, what took place. And I
   suppose I was also trying to shift the burden of that
7
   guilt and responsibility.
                  To somebody else?
9
                  Yes, sir.
10
                But then, Ms. Haysom, when the officer,
11
   Sergeant Beaver outlined how it just didn't make sense
12
   that you would have done all these things, buying the
13
   tickets, two tickets, buying two meals when Jens wasn't
14
   even there, when he confronted you with it not making any
   sense, you changed your story again, didn't you?
16
   A
                  Yes, sir.
17
                  And what did you say that time?
                  I don't remember. I believe I said--where
18
   does it start where I changed my story?
19
                  Okay, I think it starts on Page Twenty-
20
  Two, let me check to make sure. Yes, ma'am. That's where
21
  he's asking you to tell the truth. You see where I'm
  talking about? I'm just referring you to Page Twenty-Two
23
  as being the point--
25
  A
                  Page Twenty-Two?
```

```
1
   Q
                  Oh, yes, he says yes, it's got a ring of
2
  truth to it now.
3
                  Yes, where he says that.
4
                   (Pause for perusal.)
5
                  And you state initially there at the middle
6
  of the page, he went down there with the knife with the
7
   possibility of killing them.
8
                  Yes, sir.
9
                  And he says and you knew that, didn't you,
10
  didn't you? You respond, yes, I did.
11
                  Yes, sir.
12
                  He asked you, 'And how long had you been
13
   plotting this, the actual planning for the Washington
14
   thing had took place? Wouldn't have been too long, really,
15
   that's why we didn't have enough money."
16
                  Question: "How long had you been talking
17
  about killing your parents?" Your response, "A month."
18
                  Yes, sir,
19
  A
                  There you're saying that you plotted the
20
  murder of your parents for a month.
21
                  At that time, sir, and as you have very
22
  clearly pointed out that my letters from December, they
23
  obviously had something to do with the death of my
24
  parents. And my lack of doing anything about Jens's
25
```

Yes, ma'am.

```
various comments also had something to do with the death
   of my parents.
                Yes. But if that's true, Ms. Haysom, at
   this point in time why didn't you tell Sergeant Beaver
   exactly what you're telling His Honor today instead of a
5
   completely different story? Ms. Haysom, how are we
6
   supposed to know what's true? You have told so many
7
   things, haven't you?
9
                  Yes, I have, sir.
10
                  But the only logical explanation, it's just
  like Ken Beaver pointed out to you that night, that Sunday
11
  night in England, this was the only logical explanation.
12
   And after a long pause you said well I'll tell the truth,
13
   and at that one point, Ms. Haysom, you did tell the truth,
14
15
  didn't you?
16
                  No, I didn't, sir.
17
                  You're stating now that after Jens Soering
  left you got some acid or some drugs or something.
18
19
                  Yes, sir.
20
                  And you had no plan to establish an alibi
  there in Washington.
21
22
                 No, sir.
23
                  But yet you testified yesterday you
24
  returned to the Washington Marriott?
25
  A
                  Yes, of course, sir.
```

```
Why?
                  It was where I was staying.
  A
                  Yes, but after the Washington Marriott, you
3
  left just in accordance with the plan that we heard about
  there in England and went to the Rocky Horror Show.
                  Yes, sir.
  A
7
                  That was on the other end of town, wasn't
  it?
9
                  It was in Georgetown; the Marriott Hotel is
  not very far from Georgetown.
11
                  My question is why couldn't you have just
  stayed over there, why did you return to the Washington
  Marriott?
13
                  Stayed over where?
15
                  Where you were in that part of town where
16
  the theaters were and where you were supposed to meet Jens
17
  Soering.
18
                  Because I went to the Marriott in the early
19
  evening. Was I supposed to walk around town all night?
                 You said here that you attended movies,
21
  you're saying now that you didn't?
22
  A
                No, I didn't.
23
                  Instead you went back to the Washington
24 Marriott.
25
                 Yes, sir.
```

```
And then you left the Washington Marriott.
                  Yes, sir.
3
                  Why?
                  To meet Jens.
5
                  Now why couldn't you have met Jens Soering
at the Washington Marriott? I mean he was supposedly was
  just going down for a little chat with your parents.
8
                  There was no reason why we couldn't have
  A
  met at the hotel.
10
  Q
                  But you had agreed earlier where you would
11
  meet?
12
  A
                  Yes, sir.
13
  0
                  So that part is the same as what you said
14
  in England.
15
  A
                  Yes, sir.
16
                  But there was no plan of providing an alibi
17
   you're saying here today.
18
                  There was a plan afterwards, yes, sir.
19
  Q
                  A plan afterwards?
                 Yes, sir.
20
  A
                  On Page Twenty-Five of the statement on
21
  June the 8th, at the bottom of the page you made the
23
  statement, well I arranged the alibi.
24
                 Yes, sir.
                  You say today that that's not true?
25
  Q
```

```
Yes, sir.
   A
                  Did not want your parents murdered?
2
   0
3
                  No, sir.
4
                   Okay, so you're over there near the Rocky
  Horror Picture Show and Jens Soering rolls back into town
  on the wrong side of the road, is that right?
                  Yes, he was on the other side.
   A
8
   Q
                  And you opened the door.
  A
                  Yes, sir.
10
   Q
                  And what do you see?
11
                  I see him wrapped in a sheet covered in
   A
12 blood.
13
                  Covered in blood?
                  Yes, sir.
   A
15
                  You knew whose blood it was, didn't you?
   Q
16
                  No, sir, I didn't.
  A
                   Well he said he had gone down to see your
17
18
  parents.
                  Yes, sir, he did.
  A
19
                  Did you ask him what had happened?
20
                  Yes, sir, I did.
  A
21
                  And he told you, didn't he?
22
   Q
                  Yes, sir, he did.
23
                  He told you that he had murdered your
24
25
  parents?
```

```
Yes. He started off by saying that he had
  killed this dog and then he said he killed my parents.
3
   0
                  He was more worried about the dog first,
   but then he came along and included your parents, he
  killed your parents as well, is that correct?
                  Yes, sir.
7
   Q
                  Now you didn't want them dead; what did you
   do?
9
   A
                  Absolutely nothing, sir.
10
                  And you were mentioning only a little while
11 ago when you were getting a little bit emotional and upset
12 about Jens Soering having that time to drive all the way
   from Washington down here to Bedford County, you had that
   time as well, didn't you?
14
15
                  I'm sorry, I don't follow.
16
                  Let me be a little more specific. During
  that four hours that it takes to make that drive, you had
18
  every opportunity to pick up a telephone and call your
19 mother or father and say Jens and I have had an argument,
   I don't know what he's up to, he may be dangerous, somehow
20
  alert them?
21
22
                  Yes, sir.
23
                  But you didn't.
  Q
24
                  No, sir.
                  And Ms. Haysom, I would ask you, isn't the
25
  0
```

```
only logical explanation for your failure to alert your
   parents is that you wanted him to kill them?
   A
                   No, sir.
 3
                   Then when Mr. Soering shows up with the
 4
   blood, you learn that it's your parents' blood, you know
 5
 6
   what he's done, what do you do then?
                   I protected him, I covered up for him and
 7
8
   I helped him.
9
                   You protected him. This man who's done
   something that according to you you didn't want done.
10
                   Yes, sir.
11
12
                   So when you get back to the Washington
   Marriott Hotel, he tells you to get out and get the garage
13
14
   ticket, doesn't he?
15
                  Yes, sir, that's correct.
16
                  And you do it so he won't be discovered,
17
   the murder?
18
                  Yes, sir.
                   Then to get up into the hotel you give him
19
   your coat so he won't be discovered, don't you?
                  Yes, sir.
21
                   And then when you get back up to the room
22
   he goes back to sleep and you go back down and mop up your
   parents' blood out of that automobile, don't you?
24
                  Yes, I did, sir.
25
   A
```

```
No, sir, I didn't.
3
                  And then the next morning before you check
   out you go down and check the car again to make sure you
  had cleaned it well enough, hadn't you?
                  Yes, it was sometime later on that night.
7
   0
                  Again, that wasn't because he had done what
  you wanted. And then, Ms. Haysom, you all returned back
  to Charlottesville, you turn the car back in, don't you?
10
                  Yes, sir.
11
                  You go to the funeral, you take Jens
12
  Soering with you and Christine Kemp.
13 A
                  Yes, sir.
                  On the very night of the funeral you and
14
  Jens Soering make love, don't you?
16
                  No, sir, not quite like that.
17
                  Was there sexual intercourse between you
18 and this man who had butchered your parents after you had
19 attended their very own funeral?
20
                   I was in a separate room in a single bed,
 sharing that room with my roommate. Jens came to me and
21
  he said that he needed me, that he was lonely, he was
  scared. And I went with him. At this time I was on
23
  prescription sedatives and tranquilizers, and I went with
  him. And up until this time he had been completely and
```

But no, you didn't want them murdered.

```
totally impotent. And I got into bed with him and I went
   to sleep. And I woke up, sir, and yes, he was making love
   to me.
                  Making love to you?
   0
5
                  Well for wont of a better term.
  A
                  What, are you saying he raped you?
6
7
                  No, sir, because I didn't struggle.
   A
8
                   And this is that man known to you as the
  murderer of your parents.
10
   A
                  Yes, sir, that's correct.
11
                  The funeral service, the remorse that we
  have heard about, the attendance at the service for your
12
  slain parents must have had no effect on you, did it?
14
                  It did have a very profound effect on me,
15
   sir.
                   Then how in the world can you lie in the
16
  same bed with who had killed them and make love to the
17
18
  man?
  A
                  I don't know, sir, I'll never forgive
19
20
  myself and I don't expect anyone else to either.
                  Once again, isn't the only logical
21
   explanation that this lover had done as you had
  requested?
23
                  No, sir.
24
                  The very next day you're interviewed by
25
  Q
```

```
Investigator Gardner and Investigator Kirkland, April the
2
  8th, 1985.
                  Yes, sir.
                  You say nothing to them about what had
4
  happened, about the murder of your parents and your
5
  knowledge about it.
                  No, sir.
                  Instead you cover up for Jens Soering,
8
  don't you?
                  No, sir.
10
11
                  I won't go through all this again, but time
12 and time again you talk about the marvelous relationship
13
  that you had with your parents.
14
                  Yes, sir.
15
                  Statements to police authorities in which
16 you just absolutely and completely lied, didn't you?
17
  A
                  Yes, sir.
18
                  April the 8th, 1985, Boonsboro Elementary
19
  School. Remember a week or so later, April the 16th, 1985
20
  were you interviewed at the Charlottesville Police
  Department, again by Investigator Gardner?
21
22
                  Yes, sir.
23
                  You said nothing about the murder of your
24
  parents?
25
  A
                  No, sir.
```

```
Said nothing about the involvement of Jens
  Soering?
                   No, sir.
3
   A
                   Instead you completely and absolutely lied
 4
   Q
5
   to cover up for this murderer, didn't you?
                  Yes, I did, sir.
   A
7
                   Why?
   Q
8
                  Because I loved him and I needed him.
   A
9
                   You loved him?
   Q
10
                  Yes, sir.
   A
11
                  After what he had done?
12
   A
                  Yes, sir. In fact I needed him more.
13
                   Can you love somebody for doing something
   you didn't want them to do, something as atrocious as
14
15
   this?
                   Sir, I blanked the entire episode out of my
16
17
   head, or tried to.
                        (Whereupon a recess was taken.)
18
                        THE COURT: All right, you may resume.
19
                        MR. UPDIKE: Thank you, Your Honor.
20
   BY MR. UPDIKE: (continuing)
                  Ms. Haysom, I didn't understand yesterday
22
   when you were talking about the discussions that you had
23
  had about the knife, the purchase of the tickets, the
  alibi and so forth. As I understood it, you were saying
```

```
that that alibi was formulated after your parents were
   murdered.
                  That's correct.
4
                  For example, the purchase of the knife, are
   you saying that after the murders Jens Soering told you
  that the two of you would say that the killing was done
  with a butterfly knife?
  A
                  No, sir.
8
9
                  When did the talk about purchasing the knife
10
   for Jens Soering's brother's birthday and it being a
11
   butterfly knife, how did that come about?
                  The brother's birthday was just something
12
   that was in my head, that was just--
13
   0
                  Just a lie and a deception to the officers?
14
                  Yes, sir.
15
  A
16
                  How about the purchasing of it, it being a
   butterfly knife?
17
                  That was brought up I believe in September
18
   sometime, of '85.
19
                  How was it brought up and why?
20
                  It was brought up because I had been
21
   recently interviewed by Investigator Reid and
22
   Investigator Gardner, and I had given them my footprints
23
   and blood samples, and Jens was beginning to feel that he
   hadn't been so smart after all and that Investigator
25
```

Gardner and Investigator Reid might be suspicious of us. And he wanted me to share with him the responsibility of what had taken place. And he led me to believe that I was responsible and I felt responsible. So that was supposed to be an alibi you all 5 agreed about, what you would say about the purchase of the knife? The purchase of the knife wasn't about an alibi, the purchase of the knife was an admission of 10 quilt. Why was he having that discussion with you, 11 I can't understand, at that particular point in time, it doesn't make sense to me. 13 14 He was worried he was going to be arrested 15 and he wanted me to share that burden with him.

And what were you supposed to do, tell that to the police officers?

16

17

19

21

22

23

24

Yes, sir. I was actually supposed to say that I had bought the knife and that I had bought a can of mace and that I had given them to him and that I had made him go down there and do it, that's what I was supposed to say.

When I actually came to saying it, as you are well aware, I talked nonsense about his little brother's birthday and ended up saying that we both

purchased it, although I did say that I paid for it. 2 But Ms. Haysom, maybe you can help me 3 understand, I don't see how that -- if Mr. Soering was concerned about Investigator Gardner and them getting onto him and he was scared about getting caught and being prosecuted--7 Yes, sir. --it doesn't make any sense, does it, to 8 have that conversation at that particular point in time with you to the effect that if the two of you all were caught you were to say that you had purchased the knife 11 12 that he killed them with and it was a butterfly knife purchased in Washington. True, that involved you, but it 13 certainly didn't eliminate his involvement by saying I purchased that knife that he used to kill you parents. 15 mean how did that help him? 16 His theory was that I forced him to do it, 17 I made him do it, and that's what he believed at that 18 particular time, or that's what he stated to me at that particular time. 20 We originally were going to say nothing, 21 but I did, that we were not to say anything to the police. But when he -- when we were in England and arrested in 23 Richmond Police Station --How about the purchase of your tickets, was 25 Q

that part of you all's alibi that you discussed afterwards?

Yes, sir, that was--the tickets wasn't so much a story for an alibi about the killings, it was supposed to be an alibi to show where we were. So I'm not being very clear.

Q That's my point.

The point is when Investigator Gardner and Investigator Kirkland were discussing where was I on that weekend, Jens pointed out to me that I would be a suspect before he was, because they didn't know about him, and that I needed somebody to be with for an alibi. And so that's how he put it to me, that I needed an alibi, not that he needed an alibi because they didn't know about him, but I needed an alibi. And so my alibi was that I was with Jens and that I had gone to the movies with him.

Q

But if it had happened that way, your

But if it had happened that way, your discussions and agreement to talk about purchasing the knife that he used to kill your parents certainly didn't help him in any way.

He believed it would. He believed that as he has portrayed very convincingly that he's an innocent young lad who was led astray by an older, more experienced woman. And that was his point, was that I was to shoulder the responsibility for what he had done, that I was in

control of his actions. And you said that later, didn't you, Ms. 2 3 Haysom, you talked about a role reversal, you told the officers about that, about before the murders you were controlling, you were manipulating, but after the murders 5 there was a role reversal and he became the dominant one, 6 you have said that previously, haven't you? 7 8 Yes, sir. 0 Is that true? 10 I believe very firmly that I had 11 manipulated him, I believed what he said that I had made him do it, I believed what he said that I was the cause of 13 his actions. But in my manipulation of him to try and leave the university I was not successful. And since I 14 have said all of those things, I have seen correspondence 15 of his where he discusses deliberately manipulating me 16 to believe those things. I see. 18 He uses the word emotional blackmail. 19 A And once again, Ms. Haysom, I'm not trying 20 to minimize his involvement or in any way present any 21 mitigation concerning him, I show you that Commonwealth 22 exhibit which is the letter with the date April the 18th, 23 1985. 24 A Yes, sir. 25

If I could remind you, Ms. Haysom, that I was asking you about the March letter just a couple of weeks before the murders. 3 Yes, sir. 4 This letter would be a couple of weeks 5 after the murder. 6 7 Yes, sir. 8 As you recall the March letter, you're talking about the money, and about the Eaton Square, and about how your parents would rule over you until they 10 were dead. And you have talked about how he had concern 11 for financial security. Yes, sir. 13 A 14 And yet you say that nothing to do with the murders. 15 16 I didn't say that, sir. I said that my 17 statements were not -- they were not made to encourage him 18 to kill my parents. I was using his love of money, his need for security in financial terms to manipulate him to 20 leave the university. I firmly believe today that Jens did think there was money in it. 22 Q He did, didn't he? 23 A Yes, he did. 24 He believed that if he killed your parents 0 that you would inherit money and that he would get a

portion of it, didn't he? 2 I told him many, many, many times, many times, that my inheritance was my education. 3 Ms. Haysom, that's not what you have said in the March letter to him, because there you're talking about Eaton Square. I told him I had lost all that. 7 A But you're saying that if you do certain 8 things you'll be rich, if you do what your parents want. 10 A Yes, if I do what my parents want, they hold the golden carrot before me. They never gave me 11 that money and I make that clear to him. I would say if 12 you want to stay at the university, you must behave in a 14 manner, tow the line, and that golden carrot is before us. But from previous experience, we'll never receive that 16 money. Again referring you to the letter written a 17 couple of weeks afterwards, in that letter you start 18 talking to him again about the money, don't you? 20 Which money? 21 Well for example the third page of the letter you state, "Never again demand money from me." The 23 next page --24 Excuse me, sir, where is that? 25 Last paragraph at the bottom of that page,

beginning with the word never, "Never again demand money from me. To say to me I want \$200 out of you tomorrow morning is to behave like a bastard. That page begins 3 with the word Genesis. Yes, I found it. 5 So within two weeks of the murders Jens Soering was demanding money from you, wasn't he? 7 Yes, he was. My brother Howard was 8 extremely generous, and he knew that I needed some money 9 and he gave me some money, I believe he gave me \$500. And Jens demanded that money from me and that's what I'm referring to. And that confirms the point, doesn't it, that Jens Soering believed by the murder of your parents he would get some money, because two weeks later, within 15 two weeks, he's demanding money. 16 Very possibly he believed that, but I made 17 it very clear to him, I made it very clear to him. But he has what's known as a selective memory and he chooses to remember what's convenient for him when it's convenient for him. 21 22 The next page you state also, "Yes, there's 23 more; don't you ever assume verbally to me anyway, that half of my father's estate is yours." 24 25 A Yes, sir.

So he had made indications that he thought a portion of the father's estate was now his, hadn't he?

Otherwise there would be no reason for you to chastise him for making that request.

Yes, sir. What happened was that around about the time of the funeral, obviously I was presented with a copy of my parents' will which Jens insisted on reading. And he was extremely upset by the wording of the will that my two brothers would be executors of the estate and that I would have no control over the financial aspect of the money that was to go towards my education. It wasn't cash in hand, it was cash for my education to be designated by them.

And he wanted me, and he quite successfully got me to badger and demand money from my brothers Howard and Verian.

Ms. Haysom, that statement just directly contradicts what you said a few moments ago, doesn't it?

No, sir.

You just now stated at the reading of the will, which was after the death of your parents, he learned of the specific provisions of the will, those being that you would not inherit a share of the estate, but rather the estate would be managed by an executor.

A Yes, sir.

```
reading of the will after the murders.
                  Yes, sir.
3
                  A few moments ago you you were saying that
   you made it abundantly clear to him before the murders
5
  that your only inheritance was your education, that you
6
7
  wouldn't get anything.
8
                  That's correct.
9
                  He would not have gotten upset after the
10
  murders at the reading of the wills if you had made it so
11
  clear to him before.
                  That's not true, sir. He did not believe
12
  me obviously. He thought I was holding out on him.
13
                  You obviously didn't make it as abundantly
14
  0
  clear--
15
                   I made it very clear to him. I made it
16
  extremely clear to him.
17
                  Clear as you did here today?
18
                  Jens thought I was made of money.
  A
19
                  And you wrote him letters about Eaton
20
  Square, and you had nothing to do with him getting that
  impression.
22
                  That's true, I manipulated him, I led him
23
  to believe that. But I did make it clear to him that in
24
  terms of my inheritance that there was absolutely no
```

That's what you said he learned at the

financial gain. I made that abundantly clear to him many, many times. 3 He just didn't believe it because he was demanding afterwards money from you, as you have already indicated. Yes. And as you state on that same page 7 8 beginning with Genesis, you--at the top you're talking about freedom, freedom of choice. 10 Yes, sir. The death of my parents released me from 11 12 that position, I was free to choose to whom I gave my love Yes, sir. 13 A 14 The freedom for you to love whoever you wanted to, emotional freedom. 16 Yes, sir. That was part of it as well in addition to 17 the wealth, wasn't it, you wanted to be able to love who 18 you wanted to and your parents wouldn't allow you to do that, and the only way to have the freedom from that, to have emotional freedom, social freedom and economic freedom, was through the death of your parents. 23 Sir, if I wanted my parents dead for their money, I would have waited until after my twenty-first

birthday when they were planning to set up an account for

me. If you had known beforehand the provisions of the will, and you didn't know, did you? 3 Yes, I did. It was made clear to me from the moment I came back from Europe, I discussed it with my brother Verian, I discussed it with several members of the 7 family that my only inheritance was my education. And I would also like to point out, you have commented on the beginning of this letter about this Genesis, and I quote what I wrote. "The death of my 10 parents released me from that position. I was free to 11 12 choose to whom I gave my love. That free choice was essential because then I gave it willingly. We both know, 13 or at least you should have, that I would have given it to 15 you, but you made the decision for me." And continuing on, read the next few words. "I was truly appalled when you said I didn't 17 do this for your brothers to take you away, I thought we did it so that I could be free." 19 I thought we. 20 That's correct, sir. 21 A You're writing two weeks afterward. 22 Q That's correct, sir, I believed 23 at that time that I was responsible for manipulating him in some way.

```
Ms. Haysom, you have maintained in the June
1
   interviews your participation, your arranging the alibi,
   June '86 in England. When you came back to this country
3
   in May of '87, you maintained and continued with your
5
   statements concerning the arranging of the alibi. What
   in the world since May of this year, was it a sudden
   revelation to you that no, I did not participate in the
   establishment of an alibi but rather I was manipulated by
   Jens Soering? We're just talking about several months,
   what caused that sudden change?
   A
11
                  The letters you provided a few days ago,
   sir.
13
                  A few days ago?
                  Well I received them a few days ago.
15
   0
                  And what was it about those letters?
                  The letter to Neal.
16
   A
17
                  Who was Neal?
18
                  A young man in confinement with Jens
  Soering.
19
20
                  All right, tell us about it, Ms. Haysom,
21
   why is it that that suddenly made you realize that no, you
   didn't participate actually, you were just manipulated by
   Jens Soering?
23
24
                  He discusses his manipulation of me.
   0
:25
                  Would you tell us, please, Jens Soering's
```

```
motive for killing your parents, then, if it was him, if
  it was his intent, if it was his manipulation of you to
  kill them, what was his motive, Ms. Haysom?
                  I don't know, sir, I think--
4
                  You don't know.
                  Can I continue?
6
                  Please.
                  It is my view today that Jens Soering would
8
  eventually kill somebody, but because of the things that I
  said to him and the things that I shared with him, he
10
  killed my parents.
11
                  Because of what you said to him?
12
13
                  Yes, sir. And those letters that I wrote
  to him.
                  He was expecting to benefit indirectly
15
  through you from the death of your parents, correct, he
16
17
  was expecting--
18
                  I don't know what you want.
                  The benefit indirectly I'm talking about
19
  again is money from the estate, he's also expecting to
20
  benefit indirectly by virtue of you having your freedom to
  go wherever you wanted to, not be controlled by the
22
23
  parents, that was the benefit that he hoped for.
                 Jens Soering knew--
24
                  And your love for him.
25
```

Jens Soering knew on the 24th of March, almost exactly one week before he killed my parents, that 3 my parents were setting up a bank account for me for my twenty-first birthday and that would have given me some financial independence then and there. Why did he kill my parents before that if he wanted money from them? 7 My question to you that I'm still asking, why did he kill them at all? I've asked him that many times. 10 You've asked him that many times. Now Ms. 11 Haysom, after April of '85 and the summer of '85, you took off to Europe with him, traveled over this country to 13 Detroit in October of '85, you take off again to Europe and you travel all over Europe sleeping with him, living 15 with him. Did it ever occur to you to just say to Jens Soering, why did you do it? 17 I said that to him, yes, sir. 18 And he never gave you an answer? 19 Yes, sir. 20 And what was the answer? 21 The very first time I asked him was when we were in Lynchburg and I said to him, why did you do this? 22 And he pulled out my letters. 23 24 Your letters did it then, okay. I won't

argue with you there. I'm interested, though, in the

25

```
indications and testimony concerning remorse. For example
   the house that your parents were murdered in, you went
   there and cleaned up the blood, didn't you?
                  I went there with a number of different
5
   people to help with the cleaning up, yes. .
                  So you not only mopped up the blood out of
7
   the rental car, you went to the house to mop up--
                  I never mopped up any blood in the house,
9
   professional cleaners mopped up the blood.
10
                  But the fact is, Ms. Haysom, isn't it,
   that you weren't satisfied with the work that the
   professional cleaners had done, and that you insisted on
12
   going back to the house and cleaning yourself.
   A
14
                  That's not correct, sir.
15
                  If Howard Haysom, your brother, a physician
  who is used to seeing blood said that that is indeed the
16
17
   case, would you disagree with it?
18
                  Yes, I would.
19
                  Did you also make the statement there as
  you were cleaning around the fireplace that one of your
   friends heard that there's pop's brains, just cleaning up
21
22
   pop's brains?
                 Obviously they have the story, that's
23
  rather inaccurate. It was not around the fireplace, it
24
  was around the door.
```

```
Well excuse me, I'm not interested in where
   it was, I'm interested in why you said it.
3
                We're supposed to be dealing here with
   accuracy of what happened and what did not happen.
                  Thank you, ma'am. You did make the
   statement nevertheless, didn't you?
                 No, I did not make that particular
  statement.
                If you didn't make it, how do you know
10
   where it occurred?
11
                  What I said and what happened, I was doing
  some cleaning, and there was several hairs from my father's
13
  head on the door and I was sick.
14
                  You were sick?
15
  A
                  Yes, I was.
16
                  How in the world did that get confused,
17
   to a statement, well, I'm just cleaning up pop's--
                  I did make some comment about these are the
18
  hairs of my father's head, and I went to the bathroom and
19
20
   I was sick, as I was throughout that entire period of time,
   and I was on medication for it because I could not hold
21
  anything down.
                  Why were you there to begin with?
23
   0
                  Because I was asked to be there.
24
                  By Howard Haysom?
   Q
25
```

```
I was asked to be there. I was there
   with my brothers on several occasions, I was asked to move
  the furniture, I was asked to arrange some of the
   decorating, I was asked to go out there and move my stuff
   and move some borrowed stuff, borrowed furniture. I was
  asked because I was the person on the spot to go out
   there on a number of occasions.
8
                  And I want to make sure of this, you're
   saying that Howard Haysom, your brother, asked you to go
10
  there.
11
   A
                  Yes, he did.
12
                  And you say that realizing that he's here.
13
  A
                  I realize that.
14
                  Thank you. You mentioned mace just a few
15
  minutes ago, you've indicated a willingness to help the
  Commonwealth.
17
                  Yes.
18
                  Did Jens Soering use mace on your parents?
19
                  I have no idea, sir.
20
                  Did he say that he did?
21
                  He did--when the story was presented to me
  about the knife, I was supposed to say that I had bought a
22
  can of mace and I had bought a butterfly knife.
                  You were to say that in defense of Jens
24
  Soering?
25
```

```
I was to assume responsibility for his
   actions, that I had bought these things, that I had
  manipulated him by saying that if he was man enough he
3
  would do this; this is what I was supposed to say. I
   don't know whether he used mace or not. But he was
  concerned about it.
7
                  You keep insisting you didn't want them
8
  dead, you did not want him to kill your parents, but then
  as I've indicated in October of '85 you left the country
  with him at the time that he was under investigation,
  serious investigation, didn't you?
12
  A
                  Yes, sir.
                  You went throughout Europe with him,
  sleeping with him, living with him, the person who
14
  murdered your parents.
15
16
  A
                  Yes, sir.
                  You assumed during this period of time
17
  false forms of identification, didn't you?
18
                 Yes, sir, for fraud scam.
19
  A
20
                  For fraud?
21
                  Yes, sir.
  A
22
                  For deception?
  0
                  Yes, sir.
23
  A
                  For pretending to be something you're not?
24
  Q
                  Yes, sir.
25
  A
```

```
And you did those things?
                   Yes, I did.
2
   A
                   We have identifications over here with all
3
   different kinds of names on them.
                   They were brought over from Richmond, yes.
6
                   And you made arrangements for the
   production of those forms of identification, didn't you?
8
                   That's correct.
9
                   And then after you were apprehended in
   April of '86, you continued, even though you were
   incarcerated, writing love letters to this murderer.
11
12
                  That's correct, sir.
   A
13
   Q
                   Why?
14
                   I needed him.
   Q
15
                   You needed him?
                   Yes, sir. I was obsessed with him.
16
   A
17
                   In fact some of the letters were extremely
18
   sexually explicit, weren't they?
19
                   Yes, sir.
                   Ms. Haysom, I'm not going to ask you to
20
21
   read any portion of this letter, I just want it identified
22
   and I'd like this introduced, counsel has been provided a
23
   copy of it previously.
24
   A
                  Yes, sir.
25
   0
                   You wrote this letter to Jens Soering while
```

```
one being incarcerated in one institution in England, Jens
   Soering in another?
                  Yes, sir.
3
                        (LETTER MARKED COMMONWEALTH'S EXHIBIT
4
                        NO. 2.)
5
  BY MR. UPDIKE: (continuing)
6
7
                  Another question concerning your alleged
8
   willingness to help us as you made yesterday,
  Ms. Haysom, I want to know if we could test that a little
10
   bit. Who is the family?
                  Excuse me?
11
   A
12
                  The family.
13
  A
                  I believe the family is an organized crime
14
   based in Munich.
15
  0
                  What did that have to do with these
16
  murders?
17
  A
                  Nothing.
18
                  Why was it that when Jens Soering was
19 incarcerated in one institution and you in another, and
20
  upon your periodic remands to Richmond you would pass
  notes, and in those notes he would take those occasions to
  ask you to erase all evidence pertaining to "the family"?
23 A
                  I don't believe I ever actually got that:
24 note as it was taken off.
25
  O
                  You didn't. And Mr. Soering tore it up and
```

```
some very fine police officer spent a lot of time putting
   it back together.
3
                  Yes, sir.
                  If you'd like to see it please.
5
                  Yes, sir. (Pause for perusal.)
                  Now the circumstances, as you know, at that
   point when that note was passed, you had been incarcerated
   on the fraud charges.
                  Yes, sir.
10
                  You had been remanded to Richmond for
   purposes of questioning pertaining to these murders.
12
                 Yes, but I believe these notes were written
13
   before that took place.
                  My question is why did he take that
14
  occasion to pass that note, and in that note--now this is
15
  no time to be messing around, you all were about to be
   questioned for murder.
                  Yes, sir.
18
  A
                  And he talks about the family. My question
19
  is why, what is it?
20
                  It was a--as I understand it from letters
21
22 and discussions with him, the family was something to do
23 with this Neal Woodall person that he met when he was
24 incarcerated, and he was at Hibred Corner which is a
  holding cell, I believe it was in the first or second week
```

Page 337

of May. It was around that same time that we were questioned by Special Branch.

And he met up with this Neal person and he says in the letter to me, which I believe that you have, that Neal has friends, the family organization, who will help us in the future.

- Is this the first letter that you're talking about? I want to know why it is when he refers to family he always puts it in quotation marks.
- Because it's an organization, it's not an actual family.
- That's what I thought. And in this
  letter-this letter was sent by Jens Soering to you
  earlier from the prison, is that correct?
  - A From yes, the holding cell, whatever it is.
- And he says about the family of course,
  you are part of the package, we'll always be together.

  They are a real family, but also "family" you know, in the
  states where all the flamingos area. It kind of sounds
  like Florida, doesn't it? Anyway, he's talking about
  family there in this letter.
  - 2 A Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

15

23

24

25

Now my real question is on this occasion when you all have just been remanded and you're about to be questioned for murder, he's concerned enough about this

family, not to talk to some Neal or somebody else, but he's trying to sneak a note to you through the guards. 3 No, sir, we were openly passing letters at court to one another. 5 Be that as it may, why was he taking that occasion -- could I see the note, please in the plastic? He says--just before your quote he says "Erase all written evidence of Bedford, Neal and/or family cross it out." 10 In this letter that he's passing you can just barely read it because it's all not here. He' saying to you, cross out or destroy any--something--information, especially the words, and you can see it there, family. 14 Down here at the end of this, the family is-family in 15 quotes is family, German Sicilians, but outside the highly respected doctors, lawyers, et cetera. I mean if you want 17 to help us I just--it just strikes me--18 Well, sir, I only know what he has told 19 me through these notes, and you know as much as I do. Yes, but this was no time to be talking 20 rubbish, and if he thought it was important enough to 21 22 write at that time--I don't believe the family business is 23 | A 24 | rubbish. What do you think it is then? 25 Q.

I think it's an organized crime unit in Munich. What was the involvement, or if any, 3 relevance to the murders of these two individuals here, because that is what you all were about to be questioned about and that's when he wrote that note. 6 7 As far as I know, nothing at all. 8 Nothing at all. The business about IRA and terrorism, that had no relevance, that was just rubbish? 10 Yes, sir. 11 The business about your talking in your letter about voodoo and the marks on the floor and things 13 of that nature, that was all rubbish as well? 14 I thought the marks on the floor were--the 15 descriptions of marks on the floor were hyped from the media rather than things that actually took place. But you're saying that that had nothing at 17 all to do with any of this. 19 Not to my knowledge, no. I must say that having read Jens's statements of that June period, I find it extraordinary that he confesses to the killings very 21 22 early on in his statement and yet is unable to separate--23 when Sergeant Beaver says to him, can you separate killing 24 Mr. and Mrs. Haysom and mutilating their bodies or doing something odd on their bodies and he's unable to separate

I don't know if you have the statements there, but it's very curious. 3 Ms. Haysom, I just have a very few more questions for you. The major question that I'd like to ask you is why did your parents die? My parents died because Jens and I were 7 obsessed with each other and he was jealous of anything else other in my life. He was jealous of my parents and then he became jealous of my family after, during the funeral part. So he killed them out of jealousy? 11 12 He killed them because he knew how important they were to me and that there was a reconciliation going on. There was a reconciliation going 15 on. The time I spent with my father on the 23rd of March 16 was fantastic, it was a fantastic weekend, and I'm very 17 lucky that I have that memory of him. I spent one full 18 weekend with them and--19 Did the memories of that weekend occur to 20 you during the four-hour drive that Jens was taking down here to Holcomb Rock? Did those memories ever encourage 22 you or persuade you in any fashion to pick up the phone 23 and give them a call, give anybody a call? 24 No, sir, I was obsessed only with getting

25 my drugs.

```
Your drugs, because that's what you wanted
   at the time.
                  That's right.
   A
3
                  Your own selfish desires.
5
                  Exactly.
                  And if the consequences revealed themselves
6
   to be the murder, the butchering of your parents, that
   didn't matter because you wanted your drugs at that
   moment, and that is what was important.
                  Yes, sir. The only thing I can say is that
10
11
   in my rather feeble and peculiar way I made one or two
   pathetic attempts to have Jens caught, but they're so
12
13
   insignificant that it doesn't really matter.
14
                  Ms. Haysom, when you stated your
15
  explanation here today why your parents were dead, are
  dead, that's not the same thing that you told Investigator
  Gardner on May the 8th of this year, is it?
17
18
                  No, sir.
                  Do you recall what you told him at that
19
  time and what your explanation for your parents' death
21
  was just several months ago?
22
                  I think I said that they're dead because I
23
  loved Jens too much or something, I'm not sure.
24
                  One point you start out--Investigator
25
  Gardner took the same question to you on Page Forty-Six of
```

the transcript, would you like to see it? Yes. 3 Commonwealth's Exhibit No. 35, Page Forty-Eight--excuse me, Forty-Six, the question was put to you by Mr. Gardner why were your parents dead. Excuse me, this only has twenty-eight 7 pages. I think this starts with Page Thirty. 0 9 Page Forty-Six? 10 Please. 11 A (Pause for perusal.) Yes, sir. 12 Halfway down the page, do you see where you're talking about--Question: Let me ask you this 13 point blank, why are your parents dead? The response, it's a very difficult question. They're dead because -- see 15 the thing with that is my reasons that I see now may be different from the reasons that I had then. At one time they were going to separate Jens and myself, they were 18 ] making arrangements to leave Virginia. Question: They were making arrangements 20 for you to leave Virginia or them to leave Virginia? 21 Response: All of us. Yes, sir. 23 So at that time one reason for Jens killing 24 or your parents being dead was your parents were trying

separate you from your lover.

Actually what you just said is exactly accurate. One reason for Jens killing my parents, I wanted to go--well I had discussed with my parents on the 23rd of March going to the Gerta Institute in Salzburg, doing an internship with the United Nations in Vienna. I had discussed with him going to the University in Vienna at a later stage, and they were making arrangements for all of us to leave Virginia. And Jens did not like it. 10 Page Fifty-Two, you state that a question is repeated to you. Okay, let's go back to the original question and I think that was why your parents are dead. And in the next paragraph you start talking about--14 Excuse me, where are we? 15 Page Fifty-Two, it begins with the word Hayhill at the top. First full question, then did he ask 16 you, okay, let's go back to the original question. And 18 then your response, yes, in looking at my brothers' situations, although they had cut away from the fold, my family living far away, their daily lives were still 20 manipulated and interfered with by my parents. And I wanted them to, I suppose really to leave me alone. 22 23 Yes, I stated that today. 24 That was another reason you wanted them dead, wasn't it, you felt that they were interfering with

your lives, you looked at your brothers' lives and you felt that your family still interfered with them despite distance, and you felt that the only resolution was for them to be murdered. 5 No, sir. Why did you say this here then, why did you 6 7 make reference to the interference in your brothers' lives in response to that very same question? 9 Yes, and I didn't mention anything about murder, I said I wanted them to really leave me alone. But the question was why are your parents 11 dead, and that was your answer, wasn't it, Ms. Haysom? That is why Jens killed them perhaps, one of 13 the reasons why he killed them. And I did want them to leave me alone and I did want them out of my life, but I 15 did not want them murdered. 17 Under summary on Page Eighty-Four Investigator Gardner was trying to sum everything up, it had been a long interview. 20 Sir, which page is that? A 21 Eighty-Four. And he's asking you, he's 22 summing things up. Let's start where he asks the question, he's asking the question do you think Jens was in this by himself. 24 Yes. 25 A

1

Q Your response: No, he was in this with me. 2 A Yes, sir. 3 Question: Was there anyone else at the house in your opinion? No. 6 That Saturday evening? Response: Not at 7 all. Question: Was nobody else there? Response: Question: So to sum it up, you and Jens Soering talked of killing your parents some several weeks. And you interrupt to say it was premeditated. 11 A Yes, sir. 12 Investigator Gardner continues by saying, 13 prior to March 29th, and he went to the house and murdered your parents and you assisted him in establishing an alibi 15 both before and after the two murders. Your response, 16 yes. 17 A Yes, sir. And that's true, isn't it, Ms. Haysom? 18 19 A No, it isn't. Give me one good reason for telling a 20 21 police officer after we have gone all the way to 22 London, England to bring you back here, and you're locked up down at the jail and he's asking you what happened, why 23 would you say this if it's not true? Why would I be saying it today if I have 25 A

already pleaded guilty? Ms. Haysom, I submit to you that sitting 2 down there in the jail and seeing a little bit about what 3 our penal system is like, being brought up here on May the 4 14th, remember that, 1987, that's the day that you were 5 6 brought up here for the attorney advisement? 7 Yes. 8 That's the day you first got the eye of the 9 press, that's the day you first got an inkling of what 10 this was going to be all about, remember that? 11 Yes, sir. 12 It was May the 14th you went back to the 13 jail and it was then after some several times saying that 14 you purchased a knife you asked to talk to Investigator 15 Gardner and then you began recanting, because wasn't it that very same day that you told him, you know that knife, 16 I didn't buy it after all, didn't you? 18 I'm not following what you're trying to 19 say. 20 I'm saying that on that day of May 14, Ms. 21 Haysom, you started just trying to steadily retreat from 22 your earlier statements. You're trying to minimize your 23 involvement, aren't you? 24 No, sir, I'm not. I think what I am guilty of is in some ways worse than what Jens's guilt is.

Q Do you remember on June the 9th--and this is my final question, then I'll stop--

I made that statement to Investigator

Gardner about the knife because Jens told me he used a steak knife on my parents. And I was concerned that the knife that I had described did not fit the forensic evidence about the killings. And I wanted him--nothing to do with my case, it's only at the insistence of my attorneys and various confrontations we've had over this issue if it was ever brought up.

I was concerned that when Jens came back here, that one of the loopholes, or technicalities or whatever would be that that knife was not used. And when I came over here I believed that if I was convicted of premeditating this murder with him, that he would also have to be convicted of premeditated murder, and that he would not be able to give an insanity plea, or to give a self-defense plea, or to give a second degree murder conviction.

And I was very concerned at that point that Investigator Gardner realized that regardless of what Jens said, that certainly in Jens's mind it was premeditated, and that he had used another weapon other than the one that I described. And that was what I was trying to do. I was trying to help you, I'm sorry if it appears to be a

minimization of my guilt.

I believe that I am thoroughly guilty, thoroughly responsible for what happened. And I believe that as I said in my statements to Investigator Gardner that I deserve life for what I've done. I agreed with all of that, I agreed that I betrayed, lied, deceived, and I wouldn't be in this position today if I had not done those things.

And worst of all, I stayed with him, and worst of all, I stayed with him willingly, and continued for so long, even after we were in custody, to love him and to need him, and to support him, and to try every which way I could to prevent him from coming back here. But my attitude has changed on that.

MR. UPDIKE: Thank you, ma'am, I don't have any further questions.

THE COURT: All right, we're going to lunch at this time, we'll adjourn until 2:00.

(Whereupon a luncheon recess was taken.)

ALEXANDER MC.F.A.RLAND RCBER'TSON, was

called as a witness and having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. JONES:

1

- 3 Q State your name, please, sir.
- 4 A Alexander McFarland Robertson.
- And where do you live, Mr. Robertson?
  - A I live in Amherst, Virginia.
- 7 Q And you're retired at the present time, is
- 8 that right?
- 9 A I'm retired. I'm Director Emeritus of St.
- 10 Paul's Episcopal Church in Lynchburg.
- 11 Q And did you preside at the funeral of
- 12 Mr. and Mrs. Haysom?
- 13 A Yes, that's the first time I met any of
- 14 them was at that funeral.
- Did you know Mr. and Mrs. Haysom prior to
- 16 that time?
- 17 A No, as far as I know I'd never seen them.
- 18 Q And just tell us briefly about your
- 19 background or experience you have in counseling people,
- 20 meeting with people, evaluating people.
- 21 A Fine. In our seminary in Alexandria, the
- 22 Virginia Theological Seminary, we had--as well as learning
- 23 pastoral care, we had several sessions or quarters of
- 24 pastoral work in hospitals once a year. And we learned
- 25 from different psychiatrists certain things about what you

should know, not that we are trying to be psychiatrists. but rather that we might recognize how far we could go 3 before we turned a patient over to a psychiatrist, and we did that. 5 And over the course of your career, have you had an opportunity to counsel with people? 7 Very much so, starting with--8 How long has that career been? 9 Oh, forty years. Counseling people started 10 in Alexandria, it started with them almost on their first 11 visit to their--to a man and a woman's house. You found yourself right in a mess almost from the very beginning 13 and then there were all kinds of counseling, 14 Do you know Elizabeth Haysom? 15 Yes, I know Elizabeth Haysom. 16 And how did you come -- how have you come to 17 know her? 18 Well when she came back from England, having buried her parents, I thought I ought to come down to the Bedford Jail and provide whatever spiritual comfort 20 or counseling that she might need. She could have thrown 22 me out if she wanted to, but she didn't. In fact, after I'd been there for the first time she wrote me a note asking me to come back, and then we went into all kinds of spiritual counseling.

And how frequently did you visit with her? I visited her at least once a week. 3 A And I think there was a period of time early in the summer where you had a little heart attack. Oh, yes, I had a heart attack, and that was my fourth, and in fact my doctor calls me the miracle for 7 having that many. And during that time, the first day that Elizabeth heard of this, she sent a beautiful bouquet of flowers to my room which touched me very much, believe me. And I also sent down one of my colleagues to counsel 11 with her during this period when I couldn't. 13 On the average how long did you spend with 14 her on these weekly visits? 15 Around an hour. A And did you discuss with her things other 16 than related to spiritual guidance? 17 18 Well, yes, we started off with this situation that we're involved in right now. I mean I 19 didn't know what I was getting into, I didn't know whether 20 she would want to talk to me about what had happened, but 21 she did and what came out was pretty much what I have 22 heard from papers and so on. I haven't been able to be in 23 the courtroom, but I have gotten things from papers and so

on as to what went on in here while I was sitting out on

one of those hard benches. And what she had to tell me was very much the same as what appeared in those papers. 2 How did she impress you as an individual 3 during these visits? Well when I went to the Bedford Jail the 5 6 first time, not knowing her at all, I wondered if I would get any knd of a reception, which I got a very fine 7 reception. and we got into this business of forgiveness both of parents and of her and her brothers and sister, 9 and all that kind of thing. And then we went from there--10 I had brought her a Bible and also numbers of religious 11 books by very fine writers such as C. S. Lewis and Dorothy Sayer and so on. 13 Over the course of the last several months 14 15 that you have been visiting her, did you note any sense of remorse on her part? 16 Absolutely. She was most remorseful when I 17 got in there and we started talking about these things. 18 And she showed a great remorse that this had happened. And of course she wished it hadn't, but here it was and 20 she had to face it. 21 And she also said I did wrong, I have to be 22 punished for it. And she believes that. 23 Do you feel that she has any potential as a 24 constructive member of society in the future?

Page 354

I think she's got tremendous potential. I think what's inside her is very great and that she could become almost anything she wants to be when she will get down and get through with her school work and degrees and all that kind of thing, which I hope can happen during the next few years.

Q Do you condone in any way her involvement in this matter?

Oh, my goodness, no. She didn't expect it of me and she didn't get it either. In fact, I said you're right, you have to be punished for what has happened here. But that doesn't mean that your life is ruined. It's not a good start, but we just think that—or I think that you have so much in you that it would be a shame to waste it by sitting behind prison bars for years and years

I think she should get out and -- I mean even to do prison work would be a great thing I think; teaching or counseling or anything of that sort would be fine.

- And do you intend to continue your contact with her and visiting her from time to time?
- As often as I can. It depends on where she will be, and I expect to see her if she'll have me see her, and I think she will, at least once a month, maybe oftener. I hope it will be oftener.

MR. JONES: Thank you. Answer any questions Mr. Updike might have.

MR. UPDIKE: I have no questions. thank you.

(Witness excused.)

ROBERT SHOWALTER, was called as a witness and having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

## 10 BY MR. DAVIS:

2

3

5

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

State your name for the Court, please.

A Robert Showalter.

Q And Dr. Showalter, where do you live?

A My home is in Harrisonburg, Virginia, where

I have a part-time private practice of psychiatry.

Q And as you say, your profession is that you

17 are a psychiatrist, is that right?

That is correct,

MR. UPDIKE: Your Honor, if I might interject, Mr. Davis of course can go into whatever qualifications he wants, but we have seen Mr. Showalter many times and we would stipulate to them.

THE COURT: Dr. Showalter has testified in this court before and I'm

familiar with his qualifications, I have no problem with conceding that he is certainly an expert in his field, but if you wish to go into his qualifications, that's fine.

MR. DAVIS: Judge, we'd like to go into just a few.

THE COURT: All right.

BY MR. DAVIS: (continuing)

Dr. Showalter, where were you educated?

A I had my medical training at the University of Virginia and in a sense never left the University of Virginia, continuing there for a medical internship and the three-year psychiatric residency. Two years thereafter, I served as a special assistant in the office of the director, the National Institute of Mental Health in Washington, D. C. Following that, returned to live in Harrisonburg and continued a part-time association with the University of Virginia in both the school of medicine and the school of law.

At this time I am associate medical director of the Institute of Law and Psychiatry and Public Policy at the University of Virginia, which is a conjoint effort between the schools of medicine and the schools of law combining resources, talents for research, academic studies and clinical evaluations on occasion relative to

issues of interest to both fields, namely forensic psychiatry. 2 Have you been a practicing psychiatrist for 3 approximately the last twenty-four years? 4 Yes, I commenced private practice in the 5 summer of 1969 and have continued that uninterrupted since 6 7 that time. 8 And of course Judge Sweeney has recognized you as an expert, but can you tell us approximately how 10 many times you have testified in a Circuit Court in the 11 State of Virginia? 12 Oh, sometime I should sit down and count those up, it's been well over 100, probably several 13 hundred if we were to add them all up. 15 Have you also been connected in the 16 authorship of any publications? 17 Yes. As part of my--both clinical work and academic work, I have authored and co-authored a number of 19 scientific publications. 20 What would have been the most recent 21 publication? 22: The most recent publication actually is in press at the moment, hopefully to be released this month,

Printed this month in the Journal of Psychiatry and Law,

entitled "Objectivity and Advocacy in Forensic Evaluation

Following AP Oklahoma", and that last part is just a technical reference to a recent Supreme Court case that 3 gave us some impetus stimulus for the ideas contained in our treatise. 4 In your expert testimony, do you testify on 5 6 behalf of the Commonwealth and on behalf of the defendants? 7 That is correct, and also on occasion as a 8 friend of the Court. Do you recall back in May of this year when 10 I called you concerning Ms. Elizabeth Haysom? 11 I do. A 12 13 Would it be fair to say to you that you would not be able to be paid from any resources that we might have to provide an evaluation of Ms. Haysom? 15 16 That is correct. 17 And are you here on your own, not expecting any payment from Ms. Haysom or Mr. Jones or myself? That is correct. This was a case, when you 19 20 laid out some of the basic aspects of it to me, seemed to 21 meet the criteria for one of our pro bono evaluations that occasionally we do when the case seems to have sufficient interest, impact and potential for learning, research and 23 teaching as a matter of fact. And would it also be safe to assume that Q 25

the reason I initially contacted you dealt with we were asking for a evaluation concerning Elizabeth's competency to stand trial and her mental state at the time of the offense. As I recall you asked for a comprehensive standard forensic psychiatric examination, which would include the assessment of the competency to stand trial, assessment of mental state at the time of the offense, and

then the more broad-based inquiry relative to any issues

that may be of note or pertinence with respect to

Would it also be correct that you found Elizabeth during your evaluation, you did find in fact that Elizabeth was competent to stand trial.

That is correct.

5

12

14

15

16

sentencing.

And did you also find that there was no 17 reason to question her insanity, or sanity rather, at the 18 time of the offense.

19 A That is correct.

Her mental state at the time of the 20 0 21 offense.

22 A That is correct.

23 If you would, can you give us an indication of how many occasions Elizabeth came to Charlottesville 25 for an evaluation, what was taken into account in

preparing the evaluation. In general, I--let me start with my own 3 involvement which consisted of four separate face-to-face contacts, interviews on four different days beginning in mid July and ending about mid September, early September. In addition to my direct examination and 7 evaluation of Ms. Haysom, she was evaluated by Dr. Janet Warren, the forensic social worker, was a member of the Forensic Psychiatry Clinic staff. She was also given a 10 battery of psychological tests by several individuals that are affiliated with our clinic, and also she was interviewed on at least two, possibly three occasions by 12 Dr. Lisa Hogerban who is our senior fellow in forensic psychiatry in the clinic at this time. 14 And I believe she's in the courtroom here 15 today, is that right? Yes, that is correct. 17 A Do you recall what documentation that you 18 had that had been provided in addition to these personal 19 interviews? 20 Yes, I've got a rather lengthy list if you 21 22 would like me to just read that into the record?

Yes, I believe it would be important that

Our examination started with the psycho-

Q

the Court note that.

23

24

social interview which was carried out by Dr. Warren on June 30th, 1987. The psychological testing that I mentioned occurred on July 21, 1987.

My first psychiatric interview, my first direct contact with Ms. Haysom, occurred on July 21, 1987. A follow-up psychiatric interview occurred on August 6 of 1987 which was conducted by Dr. Hovermale and myself.

On July 26, 1987, Dr. Warren had the telephone interview with Verian Haysom. On July 30th, Dr. Warren had a telephone interview with Cheetah Haysom. On July 31st, Dr. Warren had a telephone interview with Shane Haysom. On August the 6th, Dr. Warren had a telephone interview with Veona Haysom.

We also received a letter to the forensic clinic from Andrew Davis dated June 25, 1987. We have in our custody a transportation order, more or less a formality, dated June 25, 1987, an affidavit from Annie Adams Robertson Massie dated June 27, 1986, an affidavit from James W. Updike, Jr., Commonwealth's Attorney for Bedford County, dated July 28, 1986, affidavit from Investigator Ricky W. Gardner dated July 24, 1986, copies of letters from Elizabeth Haysom to Jens Soering, copies of poems written by Elizabeth Haysom, photographs of victims take at the scene of the crime, copy of last will and testament of Derrick Reginald William Haysom dated May

7, 1985, copy of last will and testament of Nancy Astor Haysom dated May 7, 1985.

Copies of letters from Jens Soering to
Elizabeth Haysom dated December 31st, 1984 through January
13th, 1985, copies of letters from Jens Soering to
Elizabeth Haysom dated from July 13, 1986 through December
7, 1986, copies of interviews with Jens Soering, Richmond
England, with Detective Sergeant Kenneth Beaver and
Detective Constable Terry Wright dated June 5 and 6, 1986,
copies of statements by Detective Sergeant Kenneth Beaver
dated September 10, 1986. Copy of interview with Jens
Soering in England police station, Investigator Ricky
Gardner, Detective Sergeant Ken Beaver and Detective
Constable Terry Wright, dated June 7, 1986.

Copy of extradition papers on Elizabeth
Haysom dated December 10, 1986, copy of interview with
Elizabeth Haysom at the England police station with
Sergeant Beaver, Detective Wright and Investigator
Gardner.

Copies of false identifications of
Elizabeth Haysom and Jens Soering, copies of bill from
National Car Rental Systems, Incorporated dated March the
29th, 1985, copy of summary statement by Investigator
Ricky Gardner dated June 8, 1986, copy of autopsy report
on Nancy Haysom dated April 8, 1985, copy of statements

from Sergeant Beaver dated April 18, 1987, copies of nude photographs of Elizabeth Haysom, telephone interview with Christine Kemp conducted by Janet Warren on December 14, 1987, telephone interview with Howard Haysom conducted by Dr. Janet Warren on September 23rd, 1987, interview again with Elizabeth Haysom, conducted on September 28th, 1987 by Lisa Hovermale as well as myself on that date.

And telephone conversations with the Hoffretts Cutlery Shop in Georgetown Park Shopping Center in Georgetown.

Since the preparation of this list of sources, I have received or did receive on Saturday morning several additional letters and also have had several telephone conversations with Ms. Haysom from my office to her in the Bedford Jail.

Q Is it your understanding that the letters that you received—and I believe you did receive a group of letters on Saturday.

A This past Saturday morning, that's correct.

Is it your understanding that those were provided to me by the prosecution on Friday and then I had them to you on Saturday?

23 A That is correct.

Q And have you looked at those letters as well?

A Yes, I have. 2 Would one of those letters, for future reference, be the one that has dear and then it's marked out? A That is correct. 5 We call it the Neal Woodall letter? 6 7 That is my understanding, yes. In preparing an evaluation, how would you 8 rate this one in terms of comprehensiveness, the one that's been prepared? 10 Well I like to believe that every 11 examination that we carry out is comprehensive. I might 12 say that this particular examination, evaluation, has been 13 very far reaching and very extensive due to the wide range 14 of facts and issues related to the case, facts and issues 15 which seem to have a bearing on the case. So it has taken 16 a tremendous amount of work and countless hours of staff 17 18 time to prepare. And in that respect, it's probably one of 19 our most involved cases as far as time allotments were 20 concerned in the forensic psychiatry clinic at the 21 22 university. Is it your understanding that you and the 23 clinic were provided with basically every document that

came to Mr. Jones' and my possession?

A That is my understanding.

Q Including statements of Ms. Haysom as well as the co-defendant?

A That is my understanding, right.

Based on the interviews that you had with Ms. Haysom and also the number of letters, statements of Mr. Soering and other information you discerned, were you able to make any diagnosis concerning Ms. Haysom?

A Yes. As I mentioned earlier, our initial work with Ms. Haysom centered around making an assessment for competency to stand trial, her mental state at the time of the offense.

obviously, if an individual is being evaluated in a psychiatry clinic, one is looking for the presence or absence of mental disease, which may have some bearing on the issues at hand. Ms. Haysom emerged as a very bright, very articulate young adult woman, clearly meeting the statutory criteria in the Commonwealth of Virginia to stand trial, and there was no suggestion that at or near the time of the offense was she suffering from a disorder that would meet the insanity test criteria currently in force in the Commonwealth, namely the McNawton formulations.

However, in the course of our workup, it became quite obvious that although Ms. Haysom did not meet

these threshhold criteria for any consideration of incompetency to stand trial or insanity in any sort of exculpatory sense, we were very struck by the presence of significant symptoms of psychiatric dysfunction.

After our thorough clinical workup, we identified a number of symptoms which meet the diagnostic criteria of the borderline personality disorder as it is described in the diagnostic and statistic manual of the American Psychiatric Association, which is the standard for meeting—that is used now for establishing psychiatric diagnosis.

Q And that is the recognized standard in the United States, is that correct?

A That is the recognized standard, yes.

Q Do you have a copy of that book here with you today?

A I do.

5

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 1

Q Based on that standard and what you observed, what did you determine was in particular relevant to Ms. Haysom in your diagnosis?

A Probably the best thing for me to do would be to run down the diagnostic criteria as they are enumerated in the diagnostic and statistical manual and just sort of walk with you through the reasoning—or observations and subsequent reasoning which led to the

formulation of this diagnosis.

And to begin with, what was the diagnosis?

A The diagnosis is that of borderline personality disorder, it is one of the personality disorders.

If you would care to follow this, or Your Honor, if you would like to follow the diagnostic material, I would be happy to share this. The diagnostic criteria, Your Honor, are contained in this boxed-off area, the lower part of Page 347.

Now let me take just a few moments to position the personality disorders as far as psychiatric diagnosis is concerned. A personality disorder is not a schizophrenic disorder, it is not a bi-polar disorder, it is not one of the diagnoses actually that are the most floridly symptomatic for the most part with respect to psychiatric—the whole spectrum of psychiatric patients that a psychiatrist would be asked to evaluate and treat.

Personality disorders do not have a sudden onset. A schizophrenic disorder or a personality--or a major active disorder may have a very sudden onset, can occur--the onset often occurring first late in the second or early in the third decade of life.

Personality disorders are in many respects more subtle diagnoses. The antecedents for the symptoms

that form the basis of the personality disorder, however, develop early in life. You don't contract a personality disorder, for example, at age eighteen or twenty or twenty-five. If the diagnosis of a personality disorder is made, the careful psychiatric history will lead you to develop what we term clinically developmental insults that certainly fanned the flames of that later create the basis for the symptoms.

In other words, the germs, the seeds, are planted very early, often very early in life, first decade of life often and into the second decade of life, and then the symptoms will become florid somewhere later on, often around the age of late high school or college years. This is a very typical time for this disorder to initially manifest itself or the symptoms thereof.

And the symptoms usually manifest themselves as inabilities or compromises in ability to function in personal relationships, social relationships, or to function in the goal-directed activity at the moment, be it attending college, carrying out a nine-to-five blue collar job, taking care of a family, whatever.

So these, the individual suffering from a personality disorder will actually present for treatment at the point when some aspect of his or her life becomes dysfunctional, both in his or her mind and usually in the

mind of significant others.

I should add also that we could make a diagnosis of a chronic and long-term substance abuse disorder in Ms. Haysom. We did not isolate that out as a separate category because the substance abuse that characterized much of her developmental years, or most of her developmental years, is assumed under the heading as I'll point out here in a few minutes, it's one of the characteristics of the borderline personality disorder.

With that background, I'll run through the criteria as we--the first criteria in this diagnosis, and I'm following down through the diagnostic and statistical manual.

- Q Let me interrupt you if I could, Doctor.

  How many criteria are there that deal with a borderline personality disorder?
- There are eight established criteria.
- And what would be the number that would have to be met before it would classify or qualify as a borderline personality disorder?
- A According to the American Psychiatric
  Association, in order to establish this diagnosis five of
  the eight criteria should be in evidence, should be
  clinically manifest.
- In Elizabeth's case, at the conclusion of

Page 370

your evaluation how many out of the eight criteria were met? Seven. 3 Two more than is necessary to meet that specific diagnosis. 5 A That is correct. 6 So I guess common sense would tell you that 7 there is little doubt but that she falls under that diagnosis. Definitely. This is not a cliff-hanging 10 diagnosis by any means, this is a well developed, very 11 clear demonstration -- or Ms. Haysom represents a very 12 clear--or presents a very clear demonstration of this 13 diagnosis. If you would, and I interrupted you, but 15 you were talking talking about Criteria Number One. 16 I will just follow through these, and 17 please feel free to interrupt if you have a questions 18 about this. 19 The first criteria identified in the DSM3, 20 which is the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, which I 21 will refer to in shorthand from this point on, is a 22 pattern of unstable and intense interpersonal 23

relationships characterized by alternations between

extremes of overidealization and devaluation; that stated

24

a bit more simply, overidealization means over involvement, overinvestment in a person or situation with the--it can be characterized somewhat as a pendulum swing. At one end of the pendulum swing there is strong overidealization, the individual can do no wrong. This individual is the total answer for my life. The pendulum swings through its complete arch, at the other end is devaluation or feeling of hatred, frustration, a sense of disinterest and a desire for disengagement frequently from that person. And this just swings back and forth in a pendulum-type fashion.

The supporting data for that is as follows:

Elizabeth Haysom described all of her significant

relationships with other individuals in terms of intense

and volatile dynamics. Her mother was seen as seductively

attentive yet critically rejecting in her evaluation of

Elizabeth and her activities.

Melissa was an understanding albeit possessive friend with whom Elizabeth lived and traveled and then from whom she abruptly separated.

Jens was her passionate paramour with whom she played out highly charged physical and emotional scenes here and across Europe.

All of these relationships reflected a state of enmeshment in which Elizabeth found herself

Page 372

fluctuating between a sense of submissiveness and dependence and resentment and manipulation. Despite a desire for autonomy, and this became very clear in our various discussions with Elizabeth, she was searching desperately at times for some way to achieve some personal autonomy; despite this desire for autonomy, she found herself unable to separate for any extended period of time, or any significant period of time, from these individuals in her life whom she designated as being very important.

Criteria Number Two again, drawing from that as listed in DSM3, impulsiveness in at least two areas that are potentially self-damaging. And the manual gives as examples spending, excessive spending, sexual involvement, substance abuse, shoplifting, reckless driving, binge eating, being characteristics. And our analysis and evaluation of Ms. Haysom revealed the presence of an excessive drug history characterized by multiple substances, marijuana, LSD, heroine, methadone, used both alone and in various combinations.

Her earliest exposure to substances occurred before she left her home at around age nine or ten, this was alcohol. At thirteen or fourteen, I believe she was in Wickham at that time, she began experimenting with some of the other drugs, and then the drug abuse

Page 373

pattern intensified to what we would call clinically rather extreme proportions.

Her impulsive departure to Europe with Melissa also reflected a recklessness, a reckless form of decision making which ultimately impacted on her physical health, educational plans and family relationships, and this can be augmented by a number of spur of the moment, impulsive type decisions that she made relative to school work, academic involvement and various aspects of her relationships with Mr. Soering.

Criteria Number Three is termed technically affective instability, which can be defined as a marked shift or marked shifts from base line mood to depression, irritability or anxiety, usually lasting a few hours and only rarely more than a few days. And the supporting history and clinical data for this centered around Ms. Haysom's chronical long history of plunges into depression, usually stimulated by environmental stresses.

This no doubt represented one of the reasons that she turned to drugs in an attempt to override her symptoms of depression and utter aloneness and despair.

Throughout her interviews, Ms. Haysom also documented the development of intense anxiety feelings relating to interactions with significant others in her

She identified here particularly her relationship life. with her mother and her relationship with Jens Soering. So in other words you're saying that she felt that those two people in particular were important in her life, when you say important others? Well these were probably at the top of the 7 list, these two individuals, yes, that's correct. 8 Criteria Number Four, for sake of completeness I will read, but we did not find the data or 10 evidence clinically to support the Criteria Number Four, 11 and this is the one out of the eight that we did not 12 diagnose. Presence of inappropriate intense anger or 13 lack of control of anger as characterized by frequent 14 displays of temper, constant anger, recurrent physical 15 fights. 16 Would that be basically a diagnosis that 17 Elizabeth is a nonviolent person? 18 It would suggest that. 19 And was there anything in your report or in 20 your diagnosis that would portray Elizabeth as a violent 21 person? 22 No. To the contrary, Ms. Haysom tends to 23 be very withdrawn and has been throughout most of her life. I would characterize her as long suffering in quietly and meekly accepting a wide range of abuses from

Page 375

significant others in her life without responding in ways that as I recall some of her family members suggested, you know, why don't you get a little upset, why don't you react. But she very meekly and docilely accepted the abuse and went from there.

Criteria Number Five, again, this is one that we did diagnose; the remaining we did diagnose, Number Four was the only one that we didn't. Recurrent suicidal threats, gestures or behavior or self-mutilating behavior. Ms. Haysom reported a long history of self-mutilation beginning at around age eleven. This involved at about that age an attempt to cut out a mole from under one of her breasts.

She also at that time began episodes of slashing her wrists and sticking pins into her feet. She also conveyed a variety of behavior suggesting severe self-destructive tendencies and at least two actual self-destructive attempts; one of these was a rather severe overdose at Wickham and then as I recall, I think in the holding cell in Highberry Corner, she attempted a self-destructive act with a plastic bag. Also there was some-a fairly significant drug overdose, if my memory serves me right, at Holloway.

There were multiple episodes of selfmutilation, particularly in the form of slashing and cutting interspersed with several less severe episodes of drug overdose in the various series of European and British boarding schools that she had been in.

Criteria Number Six, a marked persistent identity disturbance manifested by an uncertainty about at least two of the following, self image, sexual orientation, long term goals or career choice, type of friends desired and preferred values. And the supporting clinical data for this diagnosis is as follows.

Ms. Haysom reflected an extremely ambivalent image of herself. At times she could reflect upon herself as being an attractive young woman, while at other times she commented on her ugliness as she termed it, explaining that she only recently began wearing makeup and that she could not stand looking at herself in the mirror.

Her desire to bandage up her breasts and her relationship with Melissa reflected some degree of confusion in gender identity, while her fanciful leaps between being an academic at Cambridge or a career criminal demonstrate the same degree of confusion in terms of her goals and career choices.

Conflicts around her choice of friends and the types of relationships that she wished to pursue was also demonstrated.

Criteria Number Seven, chronic feelings of emptiness and boredom. Ms. Haysom dramatically conveyed a sense of personal loneliness and alienation that began in childhood and characterized all of the major developmental stages of her life. As a child she pushed notes under her parents' door and yearned for a pet who would keep her company.

As a teenager she drew back into a cloak of isolation, maintained by her insistent drug usage. Here again, linking the drug usage to the sense of abandonment, parental abandonment.

And as an adult, she felt different from her dorm mates and felt that she could not involve herself in the "superficiality" of their pursuits.

Ms. Haysom also reported a sense of inadequacy in establishing significant interpersonal relationships and viewed herself as not the type of person who could sustain relationships with healthy and successful peers. Rather she felt driven to find the "odd type" of people that reflected the confusion that she found in herself.

She was plagued by a sense of boredom when confronted with the normal routines and lifestyles of her peers and because of this appeared compelled to search out the more bizarre elements of life. Finally her sense

of emptiness and boredom created a strong need to engage in fanciful and metaphorical thinking, and this issue cannot be over emphasized.

Ms. Haysom started early on in life with playmates. She did not have actual playmates, as she reports it she made them up. And this was the beginning of an extraordinarily active and to her meaningful fantasy life. At no time, however, would she in my opinion have hesitated to give this up had it been possible to develop significant relationships. But the same problem here is that she had no modeling for the development of a normal "normal relationship" with peers her age.

As a child she had secret friends and acted out dreams of a number of the pathetic characters in Charles Dickens novels. As an adult she wrote literary prose and indulged in hours of conversation with friends on philosophical, mystical and political themes and ultimately dramatically began to discuss with Jens Soering her desire that her parents could simply vanish.

Dr. Showalter, if I could interrupt you right there, you have seen I believe, or been presented every letter that I'm aware of that Elizabeth Haysom wrote to Jens Soering. You had mentioned this metaphorical thinking and fantasy; did you see any of that present in any of the letters which you read?

I would say that that was the overriding element of the vast majority of that correspondence. It is my impression that they did not use correspondence to transact business as you or I may use correspondence to transact business, it was purely used—taking into consideration here that you're dealing with two highly intelligent, bright people suffering, certainly in the case of Elizabeth Haysom, severe personality disorder, and she used with her verbal linguistic skills, would fill up hours and hours writing that otherwise in a more normal well adjusted young adult would have been spent socializing, fraternizing with friends and hopefully family members.

Q Thank you.

A Criteria Number Eight, frantic efforts to avoid real or imagined abandonment. As I mentioned earlier, Ms. Haysom early in life began to feel a sense of abandonment, a feeling of not being wanted, not being cared for. She recalls and recounted to us on numerous occasions during our direct work with her the sense of probably not being wanted as a child because no family member had time for her, Hence, the very sad need to send notes under the door of her parents in an attempt to get a questions answered or to have some contact.

Our responses further substantiating,

supporting this diagnosis are as follows: Ms. Haysom proved incapable of separating from significant others, despite the pathological nature of her relationship with her mother and her disclaim for her mother's statusconscious values, she felt incapable of rebelling against her mother and establishing a life of her own. Rather she felt compelled to placate and appease her mother, agreeing even at one point to pose nude for her upon request.

Ms. Haysom also found herself unable to terminate her relationship with Jens, attempting instead to convince him that she had a brain tumor or a date with a member of the IRA when in Europe.

In Europe she accepted physical beatings from Mr. Soering, his insults and participated in his bizarre sexual interests. Again, rather than separate from him, again, underscoring this fear of abandonment and demonstrating the ends to which one would put oneself through troublesome situations for maintaining—the price of maintaining the relationship.

Yet when they were arrested and placed in different parts of the jail, she felt that she would die if she had to suffer any prolonged period of separation from him.

So these are the clinical parameters that we identified and that in the opinion, my opinion and that

of my colleagues in the forensic psychiatry clinic at the University of Virginia, clearly establishes a diagnosis of borderline personality disorder.

Q How would that diagnosis impact upon her relationship with Jens Soering?

4

13

15

16

17

21

23

24

It would impact probably most clearly in two arenas; number one, and this is earlier on, Mr.

Soering proved to be a very interesting person to her.

She acknowledged that just as soon as she laid on eyes on him at the University of Virginia—and they were housed in the same dormitory I understand—she realized as she said at one point, and I think I'm quoting correctly, certainly the gist of this is correct, that he was European and weird, and those seemed to be two criteria that were very, very important to her.

She was looking for an individual with whom she could relate. Elizabeth looked at herself from a very early age, as I've mentioned earlier, as weird, strange, quite likely unloveable. And it's very characteristic for a person like this having these feelings about himself or herself to gravitate toward other individuals that appear to be suffering the same plight. That was Category Number One.

And Category Number Two then deals with the capacity that both Elizabeth Haysom and Jens Soering had

for interacting on a very high cognity plane. Ms. Haysom felt that this was a fanciful, methaphorical series of head games, an attempt to see who could be the more outlandish, a term that she used not infrequently during my discussions with her. This, again, was a substitute for more normal, more wholesome socialization, but she found an individual that could spar with her or meet her in this metaphorical, fanciful, outrageous head game approach to life.

Q Was there a particular mention of a redesigning of a particular automobile?

A Yes they had all sorts of, would spend nights doing outlandish, outrageous head games, one of which was, as I understand it, attempting to redesign the ultimate automobile that in their opinion would be something of a cross between a Porsche and a Ferarri, spent a lot of time doing this and actually approached this as though at some point someone might produce this automobile.

But the bubble burst and soon they went on to another fanciful head game such as rewriting some Shakespeare plays or at least changing certain of the scenes in a way that they felt would be more acceptable and fun.

And it was in that type of fanciful and

metaphorical thinking that Elizabeth began talking with Mr. Soering about the very troublesome home life that she had experienced. It's my understanding that he identified similar sentiments about his own parents and possibly even a grandmother, and that seemed to be another element that 6 brought the two of them closer together around that issue of disdain, dislike, frustration with their developmental years.

In your perusal of the letters and the 10 staff perusal and evaluation, in the relationship between 11 Elizabeth Haysom and Jens Soering, who was the dominant character in that relationship?

9

17

22

13 A There is no question in my mind that. throughout this relationship Mr. Soering was the dominant, stronger of the two personalities. Ms. Haysom was able to, by virtue of her own academic, literary, creative skills, continue to serve up new ideas, new entertainments as it were. But these were done in the interest frequently of attempting to retain and hold on to this relationship that at least had some moments of gratification.

As the relationship progressed, however, 23 these positive moments seemed to diminish and the negative 24 moments seemed to predominate. But yet she could not, she did not have the self assertive capability characteristic

of this diagnostic syndrome, the syndrome that we've diagnosed her as suffering from, did not have the personal strength or sufficient aggression or assertiveness to extricate herself or even begin a serious process of attempting to extricate herself from her emotional bond 5 with Mr. Soering.

3

6

7

8

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22.

23

24

In some of the letters was it your understanding that many thoughts and ideas were produced while she was either under the influence of alcohol or other mind-altering substances?

Yes. As I mentioned earlier, Ms. Haysom has a long and checkered history of substance abuse beginning with experimentation with alcohol at home, moving on to experimentation, continued experimentation, and very soon it got beyond experimentation, but very inappropriate involvement with alcohol and drugs in the various boarding schools, drugs on her summer trips and then at the University of Virginia, living in an almost constant drug haze, the use of drugs as suggested earlier, in my opinion, serving not as some flagrant anti-social gesture, but attempting very sadly to fill a void that could not be filled through effective, meaningful, interpersonal relationships.

You are aware of the different accounts of her parents' deaths that Elizabeth has given to different police authorities, is that correct?

A That is correct.

And as you are aware, she has stated that the alibi which was provided essentially was created after the fact.

That's my understanding.

A How can that be connected to the diagnosis that you've made here in overwhelming demonstration which she's made not only back in August but before she even came over here to plead guilty?

As has come out previously and been stated in some of the clinical data, one of the head games played by Elizabeth Haysom and Jens Soering was that of getting rid of her parents, getting rid of his parents, possibly including his grandmother, I think there's some reference somewhere to that in one of the writings.

Ms. Haysom very clearly acknowledged, and I think quite credibly, that this would relieve tension, to talk about this would relieve tension and thereby bring her a little closer to a reasonable level of psychological functioning and it would lessen the pain a little bit to diffuse this.

And people do this all the time, often through humor, bizarre jokes, whatever, you can actually work out anxiety, frustration, pain and hurt. This is the

purpose that this was serving as well as continuing this back and forth sort of written reparte, if there is such a thing, in which they would try to ever produce something more outlandish, more grandiose.

Ms. Haysom never felt that this could happen as a real occurrence. At one point she said a very bright Jefferson Scholar at the University of Virginia could not just do something like this, nor would he or she have taken any steps to produce a hybrid Porsche Ferarri, nor would they have attempted to actually sit down and find a publisher for a revised Shakespeare play, that was not to happen. These were things that happened in a metaphorical, fanciful mental life; these activities functioning as substitutes for a more normal, wholesome series of involvements with other people.

In your expert opinion, how do you explain her willingness to provide this alibi for Jens Soering?

This relates very specifically to the diagnosis, to two characteristics in particular, or two criteria in particular. Criteria Number One, which to review just basically, this pendulum swing of overidealization and then devaluation. The relationship got started largely on that basis and carried through these various swings. And through this sort of range of emotion that Elizabeth experienced toward Jens Soering, through

this range of emotion, she more or less got used to the fact that the relationship was there and was likely to be there for some period of time.

And also, in a very bizarre way this demonstrates a well know clinical dictum that negative attention, negative emotion is better than no attention or no emotion at all. She was so craved and starved for some type of relationship that the negative aspects of the relationship were somehow denied or attenuated to a level where they could be tolerated.

Now couple that with this last category that I spoke with you about, mainly the fear of abandonment and the sense—this existential, overpowering, existential sense of loneliness, a person suffering from this, and it's very difficult for many of us to appreciate or understand this unless we've experienced it or as clinicians have seen this in repeated cases to the point where it makes very good sense, and it certainly was the case with Ms. Haysom. Her sense of being alone, her fear of being alone, her fear of being unloved was so intense, so strong that she paid virtually any price to have a friend.

And I think that explains her willingness to participate in the alibi, her willingness to make a number of the statements that she made, because she felt.

bizarre as it was, there was an allegiance.

Q Have you looked into certain aspects of the alibi, you and members of your staff?

A Yes, we have, although we do not put ourselves forth as investigators, by no means, we're clinicians.

Q I understand that. In arriving at your diagnosis, though, did you attempt to determine the veracity of her alibi concerning the purchase of the knife?

A We did.

And would it be safe to assume, and it's into evidence, that Ms. Haysom in her statement I believe on June 8th, I believe, 1986, stated that she and Jens Soering had gone to a knife shop in the District of Columbia attempting to purchase a knife which would be used to kill her parents, and that they were unable to do so because that was illegal in May of 1986, and so they were directed to a certain store that sold the type of weapon they were looking for outside of the District in Maryland. Would that be basically your recollection of that?

A That is my recollection, yes, it is.

Q Was any investigation done into the legality of purchasing the knife in Washington, D. C.?

A Yes. Members of our staff checked this out and that is simply not true. I think that there is a law to that effect now on the books, but was not present at that time. I mean there would have been absolutely no legal hurdles whatsoever to purchasing whatever knife they wanted to purchase as I understand it in the District of Columbia at that time.

Q So that statement where she was implicating herself, and the reason she gave just simply was not true.

herself, and the reason she gave just simply was not true.

A That is simply not true. It's a very sad, very pathetic example again of trying to remain, to demonstrate allegiance in a situation in which there is literally nothing else.

Would that also be true in her statement to Investigator Gardner on May the 11th that she did not do it to lessen her guilt nor her involvement, but that she did not purchase the knife and that the knife was not purchased as she had previously stated?

A Yes. I see all of that as a progression, most clearly and most credibly interpreted against the backdrop of the borderline personality disorder symptomotology that I have outlined here this afternoon.

I believe that you were provided on Saturday, and as I said we got them on Friday and you got them on Saturday, a cluster of letters, one of which has

been described as being to Neal Woodall from Jens Soering.

A Yes.

Q Could you basically outline the significance of that letter and how it applies to Elizabeth Haysom?

My reading of that letter, Mr. Davis, established for me or underscored I should say, ideas that were already emerging, gestating in my mind. And I think very broadly stated, this letter suggests the—or outlines in a sense Mr. Soering's philosophy on a number of things, most specifically and most relevant for our consideration here, his philosophy relationship, and particularly his relationship with Ms. Haysom.

I think if there were any issues or unresolved issues or questions about who was in the ascendency or who controlled the relationship. I think that that letter should very clearly establish the fact that Mr. Soering saw himself as the sort of prime mover and certainly a self-contained young man fully capable of carrying out whatever deeds or acts he wanted to do.

Q Based upon your evaluation and all the information that you gathered concerning Elizabeth Haysom, is that the overall impression you get from Mr. Soering?

A Yes. Yes, it is.

Q How would that impact upon Elizabeth

Haysom's involvement in the creation of the alibi and the assumption of the guilt?

Well my understanding in talking about this with Elizabeth Haysom is that she felt that she had no choice, and she told me very pointedly that when she realized that Mr. Soering had indeed killed her parents, this sense of horror went over her and she realized that their relationship wasn't what she had thought, i.e. metaphorical, fanciful, head game situation, but that actually he did, his status at the University of Virginia notwithstanding as an excellent student, certainly the—that act demonstrated his ability to convert these metaphors, these ideas, these weird head games, into action in a way that was very abhorrent and scary to her.

And she said at that point, when he came back to D.C. or Georgetown on the day of the murders, that she felt intensely afraid and realizing for the first time that something serious could happen and he would in fact act out fantasies. This was the first demonstration of an acted-out fantasy that they had played around with in this head game phenomenon.

- Q Can you explain why she would remain with him for the next several months after she knew that he had killed her parents?
- A It goes back to the diagnosis, this fear of

abandonment. If she were to--she didn't have the requisite strength, initiative to separate herself from this situation; she did not have the psychological strength, maturity to do that.

Number two, by following him she was not abandoned, there was a relationship. She was paying an exorbitant price for this relationship at that time and she's been very, very clear about that. But still, the price was not high enough that she could separate; a graphic demonstration of this one criteria for the diagnosis of borderline personality disorders.

It was stated here earlier today by

Ms. Haysom in response to guestions from Mr. Updike that she felt more guilty than Mr. Soering was and that in her opinion she was more guilty and she deserved life in prison. How do you characterize that into the diagnosis?

A Well I think there are several comments that I can make on that. In the first place, before I relate it specifically to the diagnosis, from my first meeting with Elizabeth Haysom, I was struck by the intense remorse and sorrow. Even though the quality of parenting that she experienced was marginal at best, she still felt sorry, all of her metaphors and all of her head games again aside, that her parents were dead, that her parents had been brutally and tragically murdered in the way that

they were.

-53

She feels that, you know, obviously
Mr. and Mrs. Haysom were her parents, and so she feels
because of the relationship that she has a closer, did
have a closer allegiance to her parents and hence has felt
extreme unrelenting guilt about this.

She also, although she understands to some extent the psychopathology involved in this, she's still very clear about the reality issues that they did do these head games and that maybe in some way that contributed to the actual physical enactment of one of these head games, which was the destruction of her parents.

And that particular act which resulted in the destruction of her parents, was that in your opinion based on what you have seen, heard and read concerning this matter, based on a selfish motive of Jens Soering or out of love?

Would you restate that?

Based on everything that you've seen and heard regarding this particular matter, and in your interviews with Elizabeth Haysom, there arose the driving force or the question of the impetus for the reason that Jens Soering killed Elizabeth's parents, was it out of love or was it out of selfishness?

A Well the way this has finally come

my impression that Mr. Soering carried out the murders largely motivated by his fear that he was losing

As you may recall, the weekend before their tragic death, the death of the Haysoms, Elizabeth had had what she characterized as probably one of the best weekends that she had ever had with her parents. She explained to them some of her goals, some of her frustrations with her involvement with them evidently, particularly with respect to her father; it was a candid, forthright exchange that she felt very good about.

I take it she came back to school and went over a fair amount of this interchange, exchange, with Mr. Soering, announcing that she had reconciled some issues in her own mind with her parents, she announced that she had intentions to pursue further education in Europe at the Gerte Institute specifically, if I recall correctly. And further, although it was her impression that her father did not really agree with this next step in her education, he agreed to make provisions to make that financially possibly for her to do.

In retrospect, she looking back over this feels that Mr. Soering became extremely upset at what appeared to be some reconciliation or some lessening of

1 the anger toward her parents, and because of that reason she said let's go to Washington, let's have a nice 3 weekend. I had a nice weekend with my parents last 4 weekend, we'll go to Washington, I'll have a nice weekend 5 with you this weekend in an attempt to placate what seemed 6 to be heightening anger and frustration demonstrated towards her by Mr. Soering. 8 Did you and your staff corroborate the 9 establishment of a bank account at the Bank of Bermuda for Elizabeth? 11 It was either established or about to be 12 established. I think signature cards had been prepared or something, but I don't now that the money actually got to 13 Bermuda or not. 15 And that was I believe verified through 16 Howard Haysom, Elizabeth's brother. 17 That's correct. 18 Dr. Showalter, I believe you and your staff

19

prepared a report which is some thirty-nine pages long,

plus a copy of the psychological evaluation. 20

That is correct.

21

25

22 The psychological evaluation that was conducted, what if any corroboration or emphasis does that 23 place upon your diagnosis?

It is remarkably supportive. We use

psychological testing, I as a psychiatrist use psychological testing as a surgeon may use radiographs, X-3 rays, to essentially tell you whether or not you're in the right ball park sometimes or to confirm in ambiguous 5 situations. 6 In the situation with this degree of 7 psychopathology and magnitude of the issues at hand, 8 careful psychological evaluation took place and in essence very closely corroborated the clinical findings. 10 Dr. Showalter, I believe the diagnosis and evaluation begins on Page Thirty-Three of that report, is that correct? 13 Yes. 14 And would this be a copy of that report? 15 It seems like it. You don't want me to go 16 through this page by page do you? 17 No, that's all right, I just want the Court 18 to see it. It appears to be a copy of this report. 19 20 MR. DAVIS: And Judge, if we could 21 just--MR. UPDIKE: Your Honor, I'd like a 22 chance to look at this thing, I have never 23

24

25

seen it. It just seems like sometimes our

system, the way it works, I gave them

everything that was passed on to the doctor and I have never seen this report.

THE COURT: Well we are going to take a recess. I think you're just about through, aren't you?

MR. DAVIS: Just about.

THE COURT: And then I was going to take a recess and I -- now whether you should have been given a copy before now or not, I'm not passing any opinion on that. Go ahead, proceed, finish your part.

MR. DAVIS: Judge, would that be before we allow the Court to have a copy of the--

THE COURT: No, you may put it up here and I'll just withhold entry of this into evidence.

MR. DAVIS: Judge, we're not going to offer it into evidence at this point.

THE COURT: All right, then I will not review it until such time as Mr. Updike has had a chance to review it.

MR. DAVIS: Judge, what we would ask is that at the appropriate time it be made a part of the presentence report as well and

subject to the same confidential nature.

THE COURT: I'll do that, that

protects the confidentiality of the report

under the statute.

(continuing)

BY MR. DAVIS:

Q Dr. Showalter, were many of these conclusions based on information that was given to you by members of the family?

The report reflects a considered assessment and evaluation of everything I read at the outset of my testimony, which obviously includes a lot of direct input from Ms. Elizabeth Haysom, copies of reports, statements that she made to investigators, law enforcement individuals, and telephone conversations, possibly one direct contact, I'm not sure on that, with family members or friends, individuals, peers who were in contact with Elizabeth Haysom, knew her and could give credible comment about their perceptions of her life.

And particularly the information regarding her family life was derived as you understand it from some members of her family.

A Yes.

O Browners and sisters.

A Yes.

Q And not just what Elizabeth Haysom said.

A No. The comingling of insights and perceptions, with possibly one exception, were amazingly similar.

MR. DAVIS: Thank you. Answer any questions Mr. Updike may have.

THE COURT: This is the time for the break. All right, we'll take a short recess at this time.

(Whereupon a recess was taken.)

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Updike.

MR. UPDIKE: Thank you, Your Honor.

## CROSS EXAMINATION

## BY MR. UPDIKE:

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Dr. Showalter, I wish I'd had the chance to see this beforehand so that I could perhaps just focus in on a few questions and make short work of this.

Unfortunately I didn't, so perhaps if you can bear with

me.

Dr. Showalter, it seems you've made some rather broad statements here today, haven't you? I mean you've not only offered a psychological analysis of the defendant, but you've offered an analysis of the facts of our case as well, haven't you?

A No, by no means.

Q Oh, you haven't?

No. Α It seems to me that what you're saying is 2 that Ms. Haysom had nothing to do with this really, ahead of time, other than some mere fantasies, and that after the -- that she did not believe the killings could be perpetrated by Jens Soering ahead of time, is that what 7 you're saying? I made those statements, but they are to be interpreted as a -- within a clinical context, Mr. Updike. That she had no participation in the alibi ahead of time, but only participated in forming an alibi after the fact, are you saying that? 13 There is strong evidence to support that. Strong evidence? 14 15 But I'm not saying that, there's a 16 difference between the two. Yes, but you're analyzing the evidence of the case as well, aren't you? I mean--Only as it relates to the clinical 20 perceptions and diagnosis, that's the limit of my 21 expertise. 22 Yes, sir. Because I know you're much smarter than I am, but I've been wrestling with this thing 23

for a couple years now, and it looks like that you've read

some letters and so forth and come down and offered an

25

opinion that Jens Soering was the dominant one, she never felt this could happen, she didn't participate in the alibi 3 ahead of time. Do you feel that she had any participation 4 in advance of the murder 57 5 Well certainly at the head game level, 6 I mean I think that's why Elizabeth Haysom 7 Mr. Updike. has the intense overriding remorse and guilt that she 8 does, to the extent that she's made some of the decisions 9 that she's had already with respect to this matter. She 10 11 feels intensely quilty. Yes, I see. But you're saying that she 12 played some mind games and that those were misconstrued by 13 Mr. Soering, is that it? 14 Misconstrued, or he acted upon them when 15 she didn't anticipate that he would act upon them. 16 Didn't encourage him at all. 17 Well that depends upon how you define 18 encourage. If you play these games back and forth, it's a 19 matter of question as to how you would define 20 encouragement I think. 21 I was interested in the list of things that 22 you used to formulate your amalysis. I couldn't write 23 them down, I mean you read them pretty quickly. Do you 24

have that, can I see that?

```
Yes, and also that's on the front page of
  your report. It constitutes basically the first three
  pages of the report, Mr. Updike.
                  It's hard to tell what's in this thing then
  isn't it?
6
                  It was my hope that this report would make
  your job easier as well.
8
                  Well you know, it's hard for me when you
  start rattling off these terms. Let's see, psychological
  interview with Elizabeth Haysom, there's some testings
11
  here, interview with Verian Haysom, Cheetah and so forth.
  I don't believe you talked to Richard Raysom, did you?
13
                  If it's not there, we didn't. I know I
  didn't personally.
14
15
                  Did you talk to any of these people
16
  personally?
17
                  No, these were -- that's a function of our
  forensic clinic, that's relegated to other members of the
19
  staff, in whom I have utmost confidence and trust.
20
                  I'm sure of that, but that kind of puts me
  at a disadvantage because I can't ask them about this
22
  stuff.
           I mean you're the one sitting here today saying
23
   she never felt that Soering could kill her parents, so I
   have to ask you the questions, don't I?
25
                  (No response.)
```

```
For example, do you know the content of any
  of these, because when you read these they sounded so
         There were thirty-five items here. For example,
  the affidavit from James W. Updike, Jr., what was in that,
   do you remember?
6
  A
                  I don't remember specifically at this
7
   point.
                  Was it my grocery list or did it have some
   pertinence to this case? You don't know?
10
                  Certainly. I mean everything there you
11
   would assume would have some pertinence, Mr. Updike, and
  that's why it's there.
13
                  No, sir, that's not why it's there.
14
   affidavit was required by the State Department to state
   the law of this Commonwealth for purposes of extradition.
           Uh-huh.
17
                   It had nothing at all to do with this
18
  woman's psychological status at any time in her life.
   that's listed there and it doesn't seem that you even know
   what's in it. What did Ricky Gardner say in his
20
21
   affidavit, do you know?
22
                  There were hundreds of pages of paper,
23
  Mr. Updike, and--
                  I'm well aware of that.
                  And we've gone through all of that in staff
25
```

conferences. I cannot give you -- I'm not going to try to--I did not commit those to memory, I'm not going to try to 2 3 play that game because I can't. I'm not asking you to play a game. 1 5 want to know the essence for these wide, far reaching opinions that you've stated; not only that she has a 6 7 borderline disorder, but you've gone into actually analyzing her alibi or attempts at an alibi after the 8 9 fact, her intent, her criminal intent beforehand. 10 Only as it relates to her psychiatric 11 illness, Mr. Updike, not beyond that. 12 It seems to me that this psychiatry, isn't 13 it just kind of like anything else, building a house or 14 any kind of common labor, you've got to start out with a 15 good foundation, haven't you? 16 Certainly. 17 And you start out supposedly with certain 18 premises and then you build upon that to draw conclusions 19 such as the ones that you've stated here today, wouldn't 20 that be correct? 21 You don't start with premises, you start 22 with the observable data that you collect through the 23 examination and evaluation process. 24 And if that observable data ain't any good,

then what follows later is not going to be any good

Page 403

either, is it? That's exactly right. My point, Dr. Showalter, as I go down this 3 list very quickly, it looks like you're going to have to base your analysis and your opinion almost entirely on 5 what Elizabeth Haysom told you yourself. That certainly is the centerpiece. 7 The centerpiece. Uh-huh, Mr. Updike. These other matters or 9 other inclusions are--Right, you know, like things like the last 11 will and testament? Uh-huh. One could argue the fine points 13 of the purpose of that if you chose to. 14 15 Uh-huh. But the actual analysis of her state of mind at the time this happened is primarily based 16 17 upon what she told you or what she told some of the police officers, wouldn't you agree with that? 18 Or other members of our staff at the 20 institute. 21 Now the Court, Dr. Showalter, and the 22 people that have been present in this courtroom have heard some several versions from Ms. Haysom. Now in formulating 23 24 this opinion that you have offered, which one of the 25 versions did you use?

I would say that to come up with this report we--or this report reflects a composite no doubt of all of the versions. Again, a clinical fact that I think is important to lay out here is if you'll note there that our examination and evaluation process began in July and was completed only in late September.

Probably one of the most significant and useful bits of data clinically speaking is the progression over the course of our work with Ms. Haysom from the very strong position of total responsibility toward movement from that position to a position that more openly implicated her paramour.

I see, more openly implicated. Are you familiar with the interview that she gave to Kenneth Beaver and Terry Wright?

A I read that at one time.

Q Do you recall what it said?

A I do not recall specifically.

19 0 You don't recall that version.

A No.

8

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

23

25

You wouldn't know then that at that particular time, I mean she really put it to him. Now she started out by saying that she established the alibi, she bought the tickets at the hotel, she made the reservations, she did all of this, and that Mr. Soering

left to kill her parents and she didn't know anything about it.

But once Ken Beaver confronted her with the fact that it didn't make any sense, she came around to the fact and she admitted she did actively participate in the alibi ahead of time, she knew that Jens Soering was going to kill her parents when he left Washington headed for Holcomb Rock Road. Now if this was a progression towards implicating him, it seems like the progression's going the wrong way now, isn't it?

- A But you fail to take into account this pendulum aspect that I talked about which is so characteristic of the diagnosis of the borderline personality, Mr. Updike.
- Q What is a borderline? Then she doesn't have a personality disorder, it's only borderline then as I understand it.
- By no means. That is confusing; the terminology is not good and there are many members of the psychiatric profession who are searching for alternate designations. But the borderline doesn't refer to borderline in the sense of maybe it's there and maybe it isn't there.
- Q What does borderline mean?
- A Borderline in this situation refers to a

borderline, movement, if you say normal personality is here and gross deterioration is over here, and most of us hopefully are over toward this more normal end. But as you move away from that toward more serious, more debilitating psychiatric illness, you find yourself crossing over certain very significant meridians psychologically speaking.

And when you get to that area where you are sometimes possibly psychotic, as psychological testing indicates in this case, sometimes effectively disturbed, which is depressed, sometimes experiencing unusual swings or episodes of emotional ability which I talked about earlier this afternoon, you see the person—it's sort of like a kaleidescope, you're moving around, you've got this confluence of at least three or four types of psychiatric symptomotology and there is the borderline.

- Hmm, that was a mouthful there, wasn't it.
- A very disturbing diagnosis, Mr. Updike.
- Dr. Showalter, let me--as I've always
  understood you all's profession here, you all have got
  this manual, haven't you, that's put out--
  - A That blue thing--

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

It's not a whole lot different from a shop
manual that people get for working on cars or something,
is it? I mean you all work by it and you've got

definitions for different things. For example, a 2 personality--borderline personality disorder, you've got a list of things, criteria you all call them. 4 Eight criteria, yes, sir. And there are eight criteria. 6 You have to have five of the eight to substantiate credibly the psychiatric diagnosis. 8 I see. So you go through each one of these criteria and if you find--if you see some factual basis 10 for it you check off that criteria in effect and you go to the next one, right? You say that criteria is satisfied. 11 12 It's not quite that simple. It takes a 13 long time and a lot of interviewing to make these 14. judgments. 15 And if you get five of eight you say that's 16 close enough and formulate your opinion. 17 Well it's a lot more serious than that. mean it's not like diagnosing a malfunction in an 19 automobile engine which is much more black and white. 20 I can understand, sir, but what I'm saying 21 is I've got two butchered human beings in this county and 22 you're coming in and you're providing explanations as to 23 this woman's criminal intent, and I start asking you the 24 factual basis for those opinions and Dr. Showalter, to be 25 honest, I'm getting the impression that you don't know

Fage 410

what the factual basis for them are. 2 For example, where do these criteria start 3 in the report, I can't find it. Toward the upper thirties I think. 5 Do you know the page number of it for me, 6 please? Page Thirty-Three. 8 For example, the first criteria, what is that now? That's a pattern of unstable and intense 10 interpersonal relationships characterized by an 11 alternating between extremes of overidealization and devaluation. Now what does that mean, really, please? 13 Okay. Explain that to me. 15 I'll go back over that. A 16 Thank you. 17 What this criteria means is that an 18 individual suffering from this disorder demonstrates, usually starting early in life, a pattern of unstable 20 relationships, unstable meaning inconsistent. Often this is--and for the borderline personality diagnosis, this is 22 almost invariably coupled with a history of parental 23 deprivation at the emotional level. 24 Parental deprivation. All right, what were

the facts of this case that you used to formulate your

```
opinion that Criteria Number One was met?
                  Well to start with, the pattern of unstable
  relationships, the critical relationship here I think was
  Elizabeth's relationship with her mother.
                  If I could stop you there, did you know
5
6
  Mrs. Nancy Haysom?
                  Of course not.
8
                  You never met her, never talked to her,
  never had the opportunity of course, did you?
9
10
                  Of course not.
11
                  And I'm not being critical there, but
  that's obvious, isn't it? The relationship, the
  characterization of the relationship that you used to
  formulate your opinion came from information that she
  provided herself, didn't it?
16
                  Corroborated by certain family members.
                  What family members?
                  Well we could go through this and try to
18
  pick it out. We have the telephone consultations with the
20
   various family members.
21
                  Which family members?
22
                  If you have your--
23
                  No, I know that you talked to certain
   family members but I'm wondering what you talked to them
25
   about, whether you talked to them about the relationship
```

```
between Elizabeth and her parents and if so how they had
2
  any basis to know about that.
                  Isn't it true, Dr. Showalter, that at the
3
  age of ten she was sent to Switzerland?
4
5
                  Yes.
                  Then she spent quite some time in England
6
7
 attending school?
8
                  Yes.
                  A couple years at the one school and then
9
  six years at Wickham Abbey?
10
11
                  Uh-huh, yes.
  A
                  And some of the brothers and sisters are
12
  quite a bit older. For example, Mr. Verian Haysom here --
13
14
                  Yes.
  A
                  --he wasn't at home during this woman's
15
   developmental years--
16
17
                  Yes.
   Α
                  -- to observe the relationship between
18
  Elizabeth and her parents. In fact, he was in Nova
19
20
  Scotia.
                  That's correct.
21
                  So I just wonder how much information you
22
   got from him as to the characterization of the
23
  relationship between Mrs. Haysom and Elizabeth Haysom; do
   you know how much information you got from him?
25
```

```
I would have no way of quantitating that at
  Α
  all. But I will say this, Mr. Updike, that it's very
2
  normal, very expectable I think, for families to have some
3
  awareness of the general health or dysfunction as the case
4
  may be of the nuclear family unit, and they needn't be
5
   living on top of each other or under the same roof,
6
  particularly when you're dealing with a family of this
   level of intelligence, to make some observations. And
  there were periods of time which Elizabeth did come into
   direct contact with her parents in the company of various
   of her siblings, half siblings.
                  I won't argue that that could happen,
   0
  Dr. Showalter, my--
13
14
                  That did happen.
                  How do you know? What did he say to you?
15
  0
                  Because these people told us that on such
16
   and such occasions they did.
17
                  Told you what?
18
                  Well let's go through the report.
19
                  Is it in there?
20
                        Probably not all of it, but if it
                  Yes.
21
  were all of it we'd have a several hundred page report.
   Okay, toward the bottom of Page Eight, Mr. Updike,
23
   Mr. Verian Haysom stated that his relationship with his
24
   stepmother, Mrs. Haysom, was initially fairly extensively
```

strained and attributed this to the fact that his father married her quickly after his divorce from Verian's mother. He stated that she was a "'social kind of person' who placed a great emphasis on appearance and good manners thereby creating a certain degree of hypocrisy wherein she kept the reality of her life and our lives hidden", and that's a quote.

Yet he also emphasized that she was, again, "gracious and polite, although somewhat artificial." He recalled her efforts to welcome him and his siblings when she first married their father and her habit of referring to him as her oldest son. He recounted the resentful feelings that this type of statement would arouse in him.

Mr. Verian also recalled an incident when he arrived home with a young woman with whom he was living, she is now his wife, and he was told that he could not stay under such circumstances. He explained that they did finally spend the night and attributed this to the fact that his stepmother was not at home that evening.

Okay. Where is there anything in there

Q Okay. Where is there anything in there where he talks about the relationship between Elizabeth Haysom and her mother. There's nothing, is there?

A Not specifically at that point, no.

No, not at that point.

For example, Dr. Showalter, let me just

```
draw something out on down the line here. For example, I
  think you put quite a bit of emphasis on the fact that her
  mother forced her to pose for nude photographs, didn't
  you?
                  That was mentioned, I don't think it was
  emphasized, Mr. Updike.
  0
                  How do you know the mother forced her to
  pose for nude photographs?
                  I think two aspects of reality testing
  there. Number one, Elizabeth's statement to this effect.
11
                  Elizabeth's statement.
                  And then rather poor quality Xerox copies
  of photographs, some photographs.
13
                  Poor quality of the photograph indicates
15
  that mamma took it?
                  No, I'm just adding that in. We didn't see
16
  the direct photographs, we had Xerox copies. Elizabeth
  told us that her mother took these photographs.
18
                  That's my point, Elizabeth told you. And
19
  based upon that, you assumed that she was telling the
20
  truth and you used that in formulating your opinion,
21
  didn't you?
                  That's a very--that in itself is a small--
23
                  It's an example, though.
24
                  But it's one example. And we laborfously
```

25

sought out dozens of examples. This information that I read to you about Verian Haysom I might add is -- you know, all the information, again, as a clinician that's important, doesn't have to bear directly on the issue at point. And his characterization of Mrs. Nancy Haysom is 6 very useful in substantiating some of the emotion characteristics of Elizabeth's mother. It gets quite complicated. 9 What about the time that she stated to Ricky Gardner that she hated her father and she wanted him 11 dead and she'd say it a hundred times. 12 A Yes. But again --13 And you just -- what did you make of that, 14 you just discounted that? 15 No, I put that in the context. 16 Of what? 17 Of the metaphorical thinking. 18 Now what was it about that, Dr. Showalter, 19 to say or indicate that this was metaphorical. 20 Mr. and Mrs. Haysom are not metaphorically dead. 21 That is absolutely correct. A 22 Then what was it about the statement that she says she wanted them dead, she wrote letters to Jens Soering expressing her desire that they be killed, they 24 were killed, and you're saying it was all a metaphor. 25

```
From Elizabeth's standpoint it was a
  metaphor, and that is based on the clinical diagnosis and
  the supporting data that I have enumerated, elaborated
  here for you this afternoon.
                  All right, when she starts talking about
  willing her parents to death --
7
  A
                  Yes.
                  --voodoo--
                  Yes.
10
                  -- what exactly was it about that, factually
11
  now, that caused you to think that was just a metaphor?
  A
                  That's just one of dozens of examples,
13
  Mr. Updike.
                  Why did you think they were metaphors
15
  factually? I mean it's writing on a piece of paper.
                  Yes. But you have to understand the
17
   clinical backdrop for this to make sense I do believe.
18
                  I want to know what it was that you used,
19
  if you can tell me, if your response -- and I don't want to
20
   push you or be rude or anything like that, if you don't
21
  know the answer just say so. Do you know what the basis
22
   was for you making this critical finding, and it is
23
   important in our case, that all of these writings are
24
  metaphorical? That's crucial to our case.
25
                  That is crucial.
```

And you come down here and say it's a metaphor, and I'm just asking you do you know why you're saying that? I'm saying it is a metaphor because that is how Elizabeth Haysom's mind works. Just how did you get into Elizabeth Haysom's mind when she's telling you all these different things? A We didn't physically get inside her head. 10 Well thank goodness. How did you get in there metaphorically? 12 A We didn't get in there metaphorically, we got in there clinically. 14 Clinically, well I'm glad we got that 15 straight. How did you get into her head clinically? 16 After hours and hours and hours of repeated conversation and asking her questions, assessing and evaluating her responses. 19 I asked her questions all day today, Dr. Showalter, got a number of different answers. 20 That is correct. And to you that comes 22 across differently than it does to me as a psychiatrist, as a clinician. 24 It comes across to me, Dr. Showalter, that the truth is the same very time you tell it, and when

```
she's telling things different ways each time she's lying,
   isn't she? She's capable of lying, isn't she?
3
                  Anyone is capable of lying, Mr. Updike.
4
                   I don't doubt that.
                                        But she's capable of
   deception as well, quite good at deception, isn't she?
6
                  Again, that's a common human property and I
   don't see her having any particular expertise in
   deception.
9
                  Oh, really? Well perhaps we could go
10
   around the courtroom here and ask these people, each one
11
   of them, how many of them have been through Europe forging
12
   and bouncing checks with forged forms of identity, have
   you seen those?
14
                  Uh-huh, some of them.
                  And she admitted on the stand--
15
16
                  Yes.
                  -- one of the few things that she admitted,
17
  that she actually had those prepared.
18
19
                  Uh-huh.
                  Now she can go from country to country to
20
  country, I don't know how she gets these papers and all
21
  this, but she was able to do it with this stuff that she
   drew up, and you're saying that she has no special ability
23
24
   at deception?
                  Well she's very bright.
25
   A.
```

```
And she also has a special ability at
  deception, doesn't she?
3
                  She has the capability to deceive
  commensurate with her intelligence.
5
                  I see. So she's right intelligent, she's
6
  got a right good capability to deceive, would that be--
7
                  That is reasonable.
                  That's reasonable. But that's a little
  different from what you were telling me a moment ago I
10
  believe. Criteria Seven, chronic feelings of emptiness
  and boredom. Have you ever been bored, Dr. Showalter?
11
12
  A
                  To some extent, and then I trust you have
  too.
14
                  Yeah, I get bored sometimes in the
15
   courtroom. I shouldn't, but what, Dr. Showalter
16
   did that have to do with killing two human beings. Have
   you ever met anybody who didn't get bored?
18
                  Well it's a matter of degree here. And as
19
   I say, to make a diagnosis of borderline personality
20
   disorder, to use this criteria, you're talking about an
21
   extreme degree of boredom.
22
                  How do you know she was extremely bored?
23
                  For hours we went over her history, how she
24
   functioned, how she didn't function academically,
25
   socially.
```

```
How many people did you talk to from
  Switzerland?
                  I didn't talk with anyone from Switzerland.
3
                  Did your staff talk to anybody from
  Switzerland?
                 I didn't see any Swiss names.
6
                  I'm not sure that we had any Swiss
7
  contacts.
8
                  Did you talk to anybody from England?
                  I didn't personally.
                  That's about ten years of her life, her
10
11
  early life.
12
                  Yes.
13
                  I believe that you were saying that these
14
  traits of personality disorder begin to display themselves
15
  during the early stages, don't they?
16
                  Yes.
17
                  And you didn't talk to anybody who was
18
  around her during her early stages. I mean she was going
19
  to school over there nearly year around.
20
                  Yes, but we have very clear evidence of her
21
  maladapted behaviors while in these schools.
22
                  From whom, her? I mean if you didn't talk
   to her teachers, you didn't talk to her school mates over
23
   there, the only way you know is what she told you.
```

25

That's certainly the -- the bulk of our data

comes from Elizabeth. 2 You've got this extremely bright young woman who knows what she's charged with, knows she's 3 pleaded guilty, and knows that you're going to be down 4 5 here one day testifying in her defense, and she has this 6 very good capability of deception as you've already admitted. Talking to her a few hours, it's right hard to tell whether she's deceiving you or not, isn't it? 9 It can be difficult, less difficult as a clinician I think than someone--10 11 What is it about being a clinician that can tell you when somebody's looking you in the face whether 12 he or she's lying? Now you're slipping a bit, you're talking 14 about credibility. I'm telling you that after you see 15 dozens and dozens of people with a -- or hundreds of people with a certain diagnosis, you begin to develop a sense. 17 I don't know what you all psychiatrists 18 call it, but we lawyers call the determination of whether somebody's telling the truth or not a determination of 20 credibility. 21 Yes. 22

Do you all call it something else?

claim unique expertise in credibility.

No. But I'm saying we do not -- we do not

23

24

25

```
you felt that a clinician had some special ability, I
  thought you were saying that.
4
                  I'm saying--you misunderstood. A clinician
  has a special ability to listen to a case history, to
5
6
   listen to the facts, to knit it together and make it mean
   something. And there's a difference.
8
                  I also got the idea that that's what the
  Judge was for, Dr. Showalter, wouldn't you agree with
10
  that?
11
  A
                  I'm doing this on a clinical level,
12
  Mr. Updike.
13
                  You're not testifying --
14
                  I am not a trier of fact.
15
           And you haven't sat here for hours on
16
   August the 24th and the 25th, yesterday and today,
   possibly tommorow, I don't know, listening to evidence as
18
   a trier of fact would, have you?
19
                  No.
20
                  And yet you presume to have the ability to
   offer the statement before this Court, under oath, that
22
   she had no idea that Jens Soering would kill her parents.
23
                  I'm giving you the clinical interpretation
24
   of all of the data that we have available to us,
25
   Mr. Updike.
```

Well a few minutes ago you just said that

And that of course, the value of it, depends upon the value of the information that you got in advance and that you used to formulate that opinion, 3 wouldn't it? 5 Certainly. 6 When she said during the early morning hours of June the 9th that she willed Jens Soering to kill her 8 mother and father, did you think from reading the transcript and not being there that she was fantasizing or 10 lying when she said that? 11 A No. 12 She stated, I requested--after she says why she requested the further statement, this being at 2:00 in 13 the morning, and she asked to give this statement. 15 Uh-huh. 16 "We did it together, and in some ways I'm 17 more guilty than he is. And she goes on down to say, "For it was my will that made him kill my parents and he wouldn!t have done it, I'm sure, if he hadn't loved me so much and I him." But she's saying it was my will that made him kill 21 them. 22 You're reporting one statement on one occasion. And there are, as you know, and what has frustrated all of us here, there are a number of 24

statements, some supporting that and some widely divergent

from that.

Q Dr. Showalter, I'm suggesting that you're doing exactly the same thing.

A No, I'm not.

Q And that you're accepting the very last statement that she gave.

A The difference is I'm not picking and choosing, I'm painting with a broad brush. You're absolutely correct, my perceptions and observations are broad and that is the value of clinical expertise.

Dr. Showalter, the last time we talked to her, Ricky Gardner did, was in May, and at that time she admitted her involvement, the planning of the alibi, the purchasing of the knife, her entire involvement, and she said that she was guilty, said that she wanted her father dead, she'd say it a hundred times.

She walks into the courtroom this morning and she says something entirely different. And I asked her, I said now what is that sudden revelation that has caused you to change in these past several months. She's been talking to you these several months, hasn't she?

A That has nothing to do with this. This has—that's an excellent demonstration of sort of an invivo or in real life demonstration of what I've been talking about here all afternoon, Mr. Updike. You

witnessed a clinical phenomenon.

Q A clinical phenomenon, that's what this was?

A Yes.

But yet, Dr. Showalter, your statement under oath today that—you're saying that she acquiesced in the killing of her parents, that she passively acquiesced and that she had no active participation in the planning of the alibi ahead of time, aren't you?

A Yes.

Would you be surprised then to hear that that's right close to what she said on the stand yesterday and today as opposed to what she has said time and time again to police officers? She said basically the same thing that you're saying. Now why is that?

That's a very good question, I wonder why too, because she's not asking for mercy, she feels very guilty and feels that probably the rest of her life should be spent as a sacrifice, offering herself as a sacrifice for her involvement in the death of her parents.

Do you think being as bright as she is and sitting up there talking to you all that she got a pretty good idea of where you all were coming from and she modified her testimony accordingly so that it would match what you were going to come in here and say?

I'm not saying that you intentionally did I'm just saying in talking to somebody, they can get an idea of what you're talking about. I would beg to disagree with that. Α 5 You would? I certainly would. 6 A Why is that so impossible, sir. 7 Simply we don't fashion defenses. 9 You don't? No. That's not my job. 10 MR. UPDIKE: Thank you, 11 Dr. Showalter, I don't have any more 12 13 questions. 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 15

# BY MR. DAVIS:

16

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Dr. Showalter, would it be correct that on May the 11th Ms. Haysom told Ricky Gardner at that point, I don't say this to lessen my guilt and I don't want you to record it, but I was not present when the knife was purchased and I did not purchase the knife? Now she certainly hadn't seen you on May the 11th, had she? No, I hadn't even talked with you on May the Ilth.

Apparently everything the prosecution says about her formulating this afterthought story can't all be accurate, can it?

A Absolutely not. I would take strong issue with even the embryonic notion that that conveys.

Forget the events that took place, which we all can't, but from a clinical standpoint, what would be your diagnosis of Elizabeth Haysom if she had come to see you given the same information and you had the same information at your disposal, but not looking at the offenses.

There's one notation in the—and I thought about this—in the report where she had some periods of unconsciousness and was evaluated by a very reputable neurologist, Dr. Miller in the department of neurology. At that point, I forget the date of that, I can't keep it straight, but one statement in his notation was that he felt that Ms. Haysom's problem was ninety—nine percent psychiatric, possibly one percent neurologic, i.e. a seizure disorder.

I have a notion, and this is pure speculation and I want to be very clear about that, that had I met Ms. Haysom as an attending psychiatrist in student health at the University of Virginia in her first semester at the University of Virginia, I would have been able to make very clearly and unequivocally the diagnosis of a borderline personality disorder.

```
Is that condition treatable?
                  It is treatable.
2
                        MR. DAVIS: Thank you, no further
3
4
                  questions.
5
   CROSS EXAMINATION
6
   BY MIR. UPDIKE:
                  What's it got to do with the killing of her
7
   parents whether she's got a personality disorder or not,
8
  Dr. Showalter?
                  It's offered as an explanation, Mr. Updike.
10
11
                  An explanation?
                  Yes, sir.
12
                   I didn't ask you, but when you said a
13
   little while ago, I'm trying to find it, I'm having
14
   trouble with this thing, when you said it was your
15
   definite opinion that Jens Soering was the dominant
16
17
   individual of the two. Are you speaking before or after
18
   the murder?
                   I'm not distinguishing at that point.
19
   A
20
                  You're not distinguishing?
   Q
21
                   No.
22
                   Are you aware that Ms. Haysom distinguished
   Ω
23
   in one of her statements?
24
                  Yes, I am.
   Α
25
                   It could very well be that he did become
   Q
```

1

the dominant figure. And I'm aware of the letters that he's written since the murders. The Woodall letter in particular. Yes, but that's dated May 18th, 1986. 4 better than a year later. Uh-huh. 7 She's charged with being an accessory before the fact. Were there any letters in advance of the killing, any basis for you to say that he was dominant 10 before the murders, or is it as you said a minute ago that 11 you're not distinguishing? I'm not distinguishing, I can't. I cannot 12 13 give you -- it would be totally inappropriate for me to 14 speculate further on the personality characteristics of 15 Mr. Soering, I've never met him. 16 Never met him, never examined him or 17 anything? 18 That is correct, yes. 19 That was really another question. I mean you a little while ago made a really definite statement about Jens Soering and yet you've never examined him, 22 you've never talked with him; wasn't that just speculation on your part? 24 I wouldn't go so far as to say it was speculation. I mean we haven't met him. One doesn't

```
establish with the force that I'm establishing a
   diagnostic profile of Elizabeth Haysom, it would be
   ridiculous to attempt to do that with Mr. Soering having
4
  not met nor examined him. But I can make a few general
5
   observations and that's what I've done.
6
                  But you're really not in a position to
7
   offer any--
8
                  Formal diagnosis, no.
9
                  Why were Mr. and Mrs. Haysom killed?
10
  A
                  That's again speculation. I haven't talked
  with the perpetrator, Mr. Updike.
12
                  And you can't say then, is that correct?
13
                  No, I haven't talked with the perpetrator,
14
  that's correct.
15
                  Then why did you say a few minutes ago in
16
   response to Mr. Davis's question that you felt that Jens
   Soering killed Mr. and Mrs. Haysom in an attempt to
18
  maintain control over Elizabeth, that he thought he was
   about to lose her?
20
                  That is a very reasonable interpretation.
21
                  Reasonable interpretation of the facts?
22
                  Yes.
23
                  Is that your expertise?
24
                  Yes.
                        Because it fits with this balance
25
   between control, maintaining control, losing control, et
```

```
cetera. Clinically it fits together beautifully.
                  Clinically.
                  (Witness nods in the affirmative.)
  Α
                  That doesn't do Nancy and Derrick Haysom
4
5
  much good, does it?
                  Unfortunately not, you are so correct.
6
  A
                        MR. UPDIKE: No further questions.
7
                        (Witness stood aside.)
8
                        MR. DAVIS: That would be all of our
9
                  witnesses at this time.
                        HOWARD HAYSOM, was called as a witness
11
  and having been duly sworn was examined and testified as
12
   follows:
14
   DIRECT EXAMINATION
15
   BY MR. UPDIKE:
16
                  And you're Howard Haysom, is that correct?
   Q
17
                  Yes, sir.
                  Your profession is what, please?
18
19
                   I'm a physician in practice in Houston.
                   And Elizabeth Haysom, the defendant, is
20
21
  your sister, is that correct?
22
                   Yes, sir.
                   Or explain that for me if you would as far
23
24 as the exact relationship.
                   Elizabeth is technically my half sister,
25
```

she is the daughter of my mother, the daughter of my stepfather in common parlance.

And Dr. Haysom, I just have several very brief questions for you. I can appreciate that this would not be an easy situation for you. The defendant has testified about an incident of rape in Switzerland which occurred as I understand it from her when she was ten years of age. Have you ever discussed with her this incident and did she say it was rape?

If the incident to which you refer is the one that I recall, this incident was first brought to my attention by my mother some time ago, several years ago, and the story that my mother told me was that there was an incident of indecent exposure at the school known as St. George's in Switzerland and that this occurred on the periphery of the school grounds. There was a fence, a man on the other side of the fence, and he simply exposed himself to Elizabeth.

Q Did you at some point discuss this with Elizabeth herself?

A I did.

Q And tell us about that, please.

A That occurred in Colorado.

And that would have been the ski trip that we've heard about in March of '85 then.

A Correct.

Q Okay.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

25

That occurred on one of the rides up on a chair lift; I was trying to reach out to Elizabeth, and one of the things that I wanted to hear about was what was her story on this incident. And I asked her, and what she told me was essentially the same thing, that there had been a man who indecently exposed himself on the other side of a fence at St. George's.

And the other part of that was that she told me that she was not supposed to in fact be on that part of the school grounds. In other words, it was part of the school grounds but it was off limits to the students.

- Q But when she was questioned she made no indication to you that she'd been raped.
- A No.
- Dr. Haysom, you of course attended the funeral of your parents, and perhaps I could stop right there. Did you consider both Mr. and Mrs. Haysom to be your parents; though Mr. Haysom wasn't your natural father, did you consider him in terms of your father?
- 23 A Yes, I did.
- 24 Q And he obviously adopted you at some point.
  - A He did, sir.

As a result, the name Haysom. You had the occasion I would assume at the funeral of your parents to take note of the conduct of Elizabeth Haysom there at the funeral, the time immediately preceding and the time immediately thereafter. Could you describe how she acted at that time, please.

Well when I--you know, when you first get news of your parents being killed, it was Dr. Massie who called me in Houston, you know, your mind goes numb. My first thought though was for Elizabeth, did she know, how were we going to break this to her. And it was--you know, both having talked about it over the phone, we decided that it would be wise for the Massies to go in person and break the news to Elizabeth.

And then I booked a flight and flew up to Lynchburg, I saw Elizabeth, I think it was Thursday. The murders were discovered on Wednesday. And initially we met at the Massie home and she was tearful, we hugged, you know, she seemed distressed, grief struck as I was; that was the initial meeting. I can go on.

But did she under these circumstances and during this period of time act as you would expect her to act; in other words, act appropriately under those circumstances, those being the death of her parents?

A The thing that struck me as odd, going on

after that, was that she soon had with her two friends from the University of Virginia. Those two friends were Jens Soering and Christine Kemp. I can't remember exactly when they arrived here.

And what struck me as odd was that she sequestered herself with these two away from the family. The rest of us were staying at the Radisson Hotel, we were grouped together, we were talking together. And certainly she kept up, I mean she kept in touch, you know, she kept in contact, but I found it a bit odd that she didn't integrate herself more into the family and stayed with these two, had these two young friends of hers who really she had not known that long, but for a few months really.

Q Instead of her own family.

- 16 A Instead of her own family, yes.
  - Dr. Haysom, obviously at some point it became necessary to make arrangements to clean the house where this tragedy occurred. Elizabeth Haysom testified that she went there and participated in the cleaning of the house because you asked her to, is that true?
- 22 A I didn't require that Elizabeth be in the 23 house at all.
- 24 Q How did it come about, maybe I should
  25 approach it that way. How did it come about that the

house was cleaned and that Elizabeth was present during that process?

Well this was on a second trip several weeks after the murders had been discovered, once the sheriff turned over the property and the house back to the family. Verian and I arrived here in Lynchburg and were joined by Elizabeth, and we needed to clean up the house.

Before we did that, we were escorted through the house by some of the investigators with an eye for perhaps being able to make some observations that might help the investigation.

weekend, it was a Sunday I think, I can't remember the exact sequence. But in any event, Verian was there, I was there, I had already presumed in my own mind that we were going to have commercial cleaners go in there and clean the place up. And I mentioned my plans to Elizabeth, we were in the Massie home at the time, and I said we need to go ahead and get this place cleaned up and get some commercial cleaners.

Elizabeth's response was well why don't we just go out and get some buckets and scrubbing brushes.

And you know, I was aghast and shaken by that. I'm a physician, I'm used to seeing a lot of blood, I've seen more than my share, and here's my twenty year old sister

suggesting something that really astonished me.

Verian wasn't there at the time that this was said. I think he was here in Lynchburg, I think he was discussing something with perhaps our attorney, I can't recall exactly. But I had a telephone conversation with him and I said to Verian, I said you know, we need to clean the place up and Elizabeth suggested that we go in and scrub this place up ourselves. And I'm thinking that—I think she had made some mention of saving some money, you know, not going out and getting commercial cleaners.

And I told him, I said V, if this is what you want to do, I will do it, I'll carry my end, but I really think that we ought to have commercial cleaners come in and clean the place up. And he said yes, of course, sure, and that's what we did.

After the commercial cleaners had been there, were there some problems that the job wasm't satisfactorily done, do you know about that, and Elizabeth went in anyway?

A Well she went back and cleaned the door on the house, scrubbed it down herself, I was aware of that.

O Scrubbed the door?

A I believe so, the door frame to the house.

Excuse me, that was the door screen, there was a screen

door to the house that was taken off by the investigators after it had been cleaned by Elizabeth. 3 Then again repeating my question, if she testified that she went to the house, participated in the cleaning of the house because you asked her to, then that would be an untrue statement, wouldn't it? That's her perception of probably what I 8 said. And I do not recall specifically requiring her presence to clean up the house. 10 Dr. Haysom, you've mentioned Verian Haysom, your brother, was his feelings about the disposition of this case. He was not allowed of course to make any 12 specific comments, specific statements as to sentence, but he did make some statements concerning his general 14 feelings regarding disposition, and at this time I would 15 16 like to ask you as a brother that same question. With regard to a sentence? Or just the disposition of this case, the 18 19 sentence, yes. Well, you know, I think that this evolves 20 upon one thing and that is, is Elizabeth remorseful, and 21 it's my judgment that she is not. And the reasons for that is that she continues I think to tell untrue 23 statements, give twists, spins to pieces of information,

data, that are favorable to her but that are not true. I

think that she has lied to me in the past and, frankly, continues to lie.

I personally am not satisfied with the explanation that her guilty plea provided. I think Elizabeth was in the house at the time of the crime and I have reasons for that, too.

MR. UPDIKE: Thank you, Dr. Haysom.

If you would answer any questions Mr. Davis
or defense counsel may have.

10

11

12

13

19

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

## CROSS EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. DAVIS:

- O Dr. Haysom, concerning--you've been in Bedford now for what, three days?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- And I believe you're staying with the Annie
  Massie family, is that correct?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
  - Q And you stayed with them earlier, is that
- 20 correct?
  - A Yes, sir.
- 22 Q And in fact you and Annie Massie share the
  23 belief that Elizabeth was present in Lynchburg or Bedford
  24 County when this happened.
- 25 A That Elizabeth was at the scene of the

```
crime?
                  Yes.
3
                  I think that you ought to address that to
  Annie Massie, sir.
                  Well sir--
6
                  I don't know exactly what Annie Massie
  thinks.
8
                  Now were Ms. Massie's children here
  yesterday in the courtroom?
10
                  I believe they were.
11
                  Did you discuss with them last night the
  testimony that took place in the courtroom?
13
                  No, sir.
14
                  No mention was ever made of that?
15
                   Well I knew they were, I think here in the
  courtroom.
               Will went back I believe -- I think he went back
17
  last night. We didn't have much opportunity to discuss
  that.
19
                  So your answer is no, nothing was discussed,
                  We have talked about Elizabeth in general
21
  terms, but nothing with regard to any information that was
22
   mentioned yesterday.
23
                  Now the incident of indecent exposure that
24
   you're talking about as opposed to Elizabeth's story of a
   rape, as a physician, you are aware that women, and
```

```
particularly young ladies, are sensitive to the issue of
  rape.
  A
                  Yes, sir.
                  And discussing that?
5
                  Yes, sir.
                  And in fact, there is by and large a great
  denial of rape or a refusal to admit that a rape has
  occurred, isn't that correct?
                  There could be, sir.
10
                  Now how old are you in relation to
11
  Elizabeth?
12
                  I'm thirty-four.
13
                  You're thirty-four and Elizabeth is twenty-
14
  three, so there's eleven years difference.
15
                  Yes, sir.
16
                  How much time did you spend with Elizabeth
17
   in her first ten years?
18
                  I spent, to my way of thinking, a fair bit
19
  of time with Elizabeth, particularly when she was a baby.
20
   I can remember changing her, I was her godfather.
21
                  Up until during her first twenty-one years,
  how long were you there, ten years?
23
                  No, I mean it's not as though you're there
   and then you're gone, I mean it's sort of a continuing
   process. The time that we were -- we went to Luxembourg
```

together, she was still a baby, a child. And then we went to Canada together and I was staying at home a good deal of that time, going to a day school rather than a boarding school in Canada. 5 It was only when I went away to the 6 university that I began to see less of her because I was 7 at the university. 8 How old would she have been then? 9 That would have been about when she was 10 eight. 11 So from age eight you went to college, or to the university. 13 Approximately; maybe it was as early as 14 seven. 15 And then of course you went into med 16 school. 17 Uh-huh. 18 So from age eight forward you really had very little contact with Elizabeth there in the home 20 environment. 21 I was back for holidays and so on, yes, sir, 22 | but --23 On an intermittent basis. 24 I saw as much of her as I saw of my other

25

brothers and sisters.

you understand that the prosecution has stated unequivocally in its presentation of evidence, it's never suggested and in fact as far as I know in the four or five days I've been here in the evidence and the people that I've talked to, in no way believe that Elizabeth was at your parents' home on the day or the night that these murders took place, you realize that?

I respectfully and unequivocally disagree with the prosecution's theory on that, and I have reasons and I will go into those reasons if you like, sir.

Q No, sir, you've answered my question, thank you very much.

THE COURT: Any further questions of this witness?

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

# BY MR. UPDIKE:

Dr. Haysom, just one question about the discussion, as far as the discussion that you had in March of '85 with Elizabeth Haysom about that incident. Of course the following month, April 15th to be specific, '85, she would have been twenty-one years of age then, wasn't she. I mean she wasn't some child at that point, she was nearly twenty-one years of age at the time of that discussion.

A Which discussion? I'm sorry, the discussion on the ski trip to Colorado, March of '85, concerning the incident in Switzerland. 5 It was before her twenty-first birthday, she was twenty years old. MR. UPDIKE: Thank you very much, no 8 further questions. 9 (Witness stood aside.) 10 STUART ARTHUR HARRINGTON, was called 11 as a witness and having been duly sworn was examined and 12 testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. UPDIKE: 15 State your name for us, please. 16 Stuart Arthur Harrington. 17 And your profession is what? I'm a lieutenant colonel, Military 19 Intelligence, United States Army. And where are you presently stationed? 20 I'm currently assigned to Fort Meade, 21 Maryland where I command a counter intelligence 22 23 detachment. Lieutenant Harrington--Colonel Harrington, 24 when you first met Elizabeth Haysom, that would have been 25

in the summer of 1983 I believe, is that correct, in
Berlin?

That would have been on the 26th of
October, 1983 in West Berlin.

Q At the end of the summer.

A That's correct.

And Colonel Harrington, we've had quite a bit of testimony concerning that and I really don't intend to go into it in any detail, but if you wouldn't mind just briefly for us, because of the hour of the day, summarize the circumstances under which you encountered Elizabeth Haysom, please.

A I will do that.

Q Thank you.

I had been asked by my commander, but as a personal favor, to be on the lookout for Elizabeth and her girlfriend Melissa who had been missing for some time since they left Wickham Abbey. I had put the word out amongst a number of contacts I had in Berlin to notify me if girls fitting their description showed up.

They did at the British Consulate on the 25th of October I believe. I was notified and took steps then to arrange for an interview with Elizabeth.

Prior to interviewing with her, I called her mother in Lynchburg, because I had the phone number,

told her mother who I was, that I may have the opportunity to see her daughter later in the day and asked her mother if her mother could tell me anything that I could use to help me gain Elizabeth's confidence so that I could help to take care of Elizabeth, and whatever help that she needed and ultimately repatriate her to her family.

Mrs. Haysom was naturally quite exulted because she had been worried for some months. She told me to tell Elizabeth that all the bills that had been run up as a result of the trip, the unplanned trip around Europe had been paid, that she was not a fugitive, that her brother Julian with whom she had a very close relationship would be in London soon and that I could tell Elizabeth that and that would perhaps make her feel a little bit more at ease.

Q Was there a Melissa Clark with Elizabeth at that time that you first saw her?

A Yes, the two girls had shown up at the British Consulate together. It had been a night—the night before had been very cold, they were out of money, had had a bad run—in at the place where they were staying in West Berlin which was essentially a commune—like occupied house, they had a bad time of it.

I was told by the consular officials that they were in bad shape, it looked like drugs, other

problems, so that I should be ready if I did see Elizabeth because she would certainly not look like the photographs that I had of her. So she showed up with Melissa Clark.

Colonel Harrington, at some later date you typed out a letter to Mrs. Haysom detailing your understanding of the circumstances that led Elizabeth Haysom to your doorstep so to speak and your knowledge of what had happened to her, and that letter has been introduced and the Court has it at this time.

So rather than asking you to go through all those details, would it be accurate in summary to say that you invited Ms. Haysom and Ms. Clark into your home, they stayed there some what, eight or nine days, something of that nature, and that after you made the contacts that you did with the family, you were responsible for making arrangements to get the two of them to England to make the connection with Julian Haysom. Would that basically be a very summarized fashion?

That's an accurate summary. The job was to first of all get them healthy, to try and assist in making--creating the conditions whereby the girls would voluntarily get on an airplane and go back to England. They did stay eight days with me. It was a pretty interesting, intense eight days.

They had come out of some pretty bad

circumstances, and I of course was worried because I had them essentially as volunteers in my home, as my guests.

I was worried that they might leave and disappear into the underground in Berlin in which case I was worried about their safety, as to whether they would even survive it.

We spent a lot of time together. The first few days were tense. The longer they stayed and recovered their health and I got to know Elizabeth, we had what ultimately turned out to be a relatively pleasant visit.

Q And it was all a voluntary thing. I mean you didn't have them in any type of military custody or anything of that nature.

Absolutely not. Elizabeth and I made a deal. I said I am not going to force you to stay with me, you're a free person, you can leave any time, nor will I force you back into contact with your family. I only ask that while you're guests in my home, mindful that I have three small children, that you conduct yourself like the lady that you are, above all, no drugs, and we'll take it one day at a time. And her answer was, "Both of us?", because she was concerned about Melissa, and I said of course; I couldn't help one and ignore the other.

And she said may I talk it over with Melissa, and I said of course. They talked it over and she came back in and she said we've decided to accept your

offer and we'll take it one day at a time.

And did you when speaking with Mrs. Haysom, the mother of the defendant, and when writing to her, advise her of all the circumstances with Melissa Clark, the drug abuse and things of that nature and provide her with suggestions as to how to make it easier for Elizabeth to come back into the home here in Virginia?

Yes, I did. It was clear to me from my talks with Elizabeth that she was a confused kid. She had serious problems about what she perceived to be a too well arranged family life, perceived her mother as someone who arranged everything for her to a degree where at the age of nineteen she wasn't comfortable with it.

It was also clear that she had an image of herself as a European and didn't regard Lynchburg as the sort of place where she wanted to pursue her education.

And it was also clear, although Elizabeth didn't tell me this, but it was clear that she had a very close intimate relationship with Melissa and that going back to Lynchburg would probably have meant a separation from Melissa. And while it was too uncomfortable for her to lay that on the table to me, and I could understand that, that was also clearly a motivation.

And did you receive correspondence, and I might add that it's been introduced and I could go over

there and get it, you got correspondence back from Mrs. Haysom to the effect that she appreciated your advice, and did you get the indication that she was doing her utmost to follow along with what you were suggesting thusfar?

It was evident to me that the whole family had essentially mobilized to do everything they could to reintegrate Elizabeth back into the main stream of the family and to do their very best to pull together to create the conditions for Elizabeth that would ensure that they wouldn't wake up one day and find her gone again.

London, her father ultimately came to London to ensure that she got back home. Subsequently Julian found his way to Lynchburg, he was at the time looking for a job, and I remember quite vividly that Julian settled in Lynchburg and that Mrs. Haysom was happy because Julian could help reintegrate Elizabeth because they had a close relationship.

- But the entire family was trying to be supportive and welcome Elizabeth home basically, is that the impression that you got?
- A I was very gratified that of the two possibilities, one of which would be that the whole family would throw this up to Elizabeth and make it very hard on

her, look what you did and how could you have done this to us, that the approach was one of let's pull together and make it easy on her.

Q
I don't mean to embarrass you, but I

I don't mean to embarrass you, but I believe that you received an accommodation, didn't you, as a result of your work and efforts that you did concerning Elizabeth and Ms. Melissa Clark and getting them back to their parents, is that correct?

A My wife received a certificate of appreciation from my commander for what she did. Mind you, when the girls came to us, Elizabeth had a Mohawk hairdo with a pink punk bit; the girls were in pretty bad shape and there were a lot of families that might have been worried about their valuables under those conditions. And my boss felt that my wife had performed above and beyond the call, gave her a certificate, and indeed he did give me a humanitarian medal for the humanitarian action of—and I wasn't catching the KGB like I was supposed to, I was policing out runaways.

I see. Now as we get on into 1984, you had the occasion to visit the Haysom home and see Mrs. Haysom and Elizabeth together after Elizabeth had made her way back home, didn't you?

Yes. I had been invited, standing invitation, any time I was ever within reach of Lynchburg,

to drop in. In the spring of 1984 I had occasion to be in North Carolina, home on leave, and since I was within a 3 short day's drive or half a day's drive of Lynchburg, I did come up and spend a day with the Haysom family. And could you describe just briefly, I mean 5 how things were going between Mrs. Haysom and Elizabeth at 7 that point? 8 I was of course apprehensive. Sure. been communicating by letter with both Elizabeth and her 10 mother, the indications were that the two of them were adjusting to one another, that Elizabeth was getting 11 along, I knew she was in a business school and doing quite 12 well. But nonetheless, I wanted to see with my own eyes 13 how things were going. I was concerned about Elizabeth, had become 15 16 fond of her and felt that we had an investment in 17 Elizabeth and I wanted to see how things were going. So I did arrive in Lynchburg around midday 18 and spent an evening there and had dinner, and recall that 19 by the time I left the following day that I had a pretty 20 good feeling about how things were going. 21 And that feeling was what, please? 22 0 Well it was one that as opposed to the 23 worst case scenario, which would have been the situation

of the summer of '83 was being thrown up to her all the

25

time and she was being berated or otherwise treated like someone who had disgraced the family name and brought great agony to her parents--

That was not occurring then?

No. What I discerned was that her mother was quite, quite worried, was if you will doing a very delicate ballet dance because she sensed that Elizabeth needed understanding and was afraid really, and told me not only during the visit but in a letter, that she was afraid that this was such a delicate adjustment problem.

And so what we had was her mother saying to me when I said how are things going, she said they're going as well as can be expected, it is a very delicate thing, I see signs of progress, we can talk together, but I think that it's so delicate it would be a good idea that we not talk about it, that we don't raise it during your visit. And I said of course, I agree with you, and I didn't intend to anyway.

Later I spoke with Elizabeth, we walked down the creek line below the home, and I asked Elizabeth how things were going. And she told me that as well as could be expected, but that she was still lonesome and homesick for Europe.

Homesick for Europe.

A Homesick for Europe, she missed Europe.

She felt that that's where her heart was, that that's where she wanted to be, and as she had felt during her time in Berlin, she simply felt that Lynchburg was a touch too close to home.

You were notified by Elizabeth herself by way of a letter of the murder of her parents of course, weren't you, and would that be the letter itself?

That appears to be a copy of the letter, yes.

A copy of the letter, excuse me. I think that I provided defense counsel a copy of it. It's a very brief letter; if I could ask that you just quickly read it for us, please, and I'd like to introduce it.

It's dated the 4th--or the 12th of April, 1985 and it says, "Dear Stu: I have had several letters on their way to you, but they always seem to remain on my desk. The delay is no longer apparent. It is with great hostility and anger I write, not against you, but the brutality and savagery of America.

"My parents were butchered in their home at the end of March and only in America would the police harass the youngest daughter as a prime suspect because her parents did not give her a car. I'm sorry you never met my father, he was a great man. With love and respect, Elizabeth."

1 And that's signed April the 12th then, is 2 that correct? 3 That's correct. 4 (LETTER MARKED COMMONWEALTH'S EXHIBIT NO. 3.) 5 6 (continuing) BY MR. UPDIKE: 7 And after receiving this letter in the 8 summer of '85, did you have occasion to see Elizabeth and 9 Jens Soering together there in Europe? 10 Yes, I did. 11 And what was it, they came by your place 12 there in Berlin? 13 Well I had always told Elizabeth and 14 Melissa that our door was open, and both of them had told 15 me when they left that they would come back to Berlin. So 16 when the phone rang and Elizabeth announced that she was 17 in Berlin, I wasn't really surprised. 18 I asked her if she was coming by to stay 19 with us and she said no, she had a friend. And I said 20 well, you can both stay with us, and she said well we have 21 a place. And I said well would you come out for dinner 22 and she said we'd love to, so they came for dinner. 23 0 And rather than asking you to go into a lot 24 of detail as to what happened and so forth, if I could 25

just ask you your impressions of -- and your observations as

to how the two of them were getting along and how they acted towards one another, this being Elizabeth Haysom and Jens Soering, in October of 1985.

A June.

Q June.

Mind you, we were somewhat—my wife and I were somewhat apprehensive again in this case because of the tragedy that had occurred, and you know, how do you handle the visit. Nonetheless, they came, we whipped up a dinner, sat in the living room, talked, and I decided that I would simply not mention the tragedy unless it were surfaced.

They came, my impressions were that they were-first of all, the question was would she be with a boy or a girl, because she had had the last time she visited us an affair going with Melissa and we knew that.

Q And it turned out to be Jens and so forth.

And it turned out to be a boy, so I kind of winked at my wife and I said, you know, that's good news.

Q But did they seem to get along pretty well, were they happy under those circumstances? I mean this was as you say June of '85.

They were deeply in love by all appearances and were like a couple on a honeymoon, and that was my impression.

How did that strike you as far as them being in love and happy under those circumstances of it just being two months after the murder of her parents? Well I commented to my wife after they left that it was funny to see them so happy and yet -- and the fact that there had never been a mention of the tragedy, and then I explained it to my wife by the fact that surely this was an attempt to escape from the ugliness of the tragedy first, and secondly it wasn't appropriate for us to raise it and since they didn't raise it, I didn't, but it was strange that they seemed so happy, to me. Did you describe it in a letter at one point as being indecently happy, a description of how the two of them were to one another? 15 I believe I used those words, although I'm not sure of the context. But yes, to Mrs. Massie, I wrote her. 18 Thank you very much, MR. UPDIKE:

Colonel Harrington. If you'd answer any questions defense counsel may have.

### CROSS EXAMINATION

# BY MR. DAVIS:

19

20

21

22

23

25

Q Colonel Harrington, I believe when Elizabeth and Melissa were discovered really by you, you had mentioned that in fact it was apparent that at least

Elizabeth was suffering from drug abuse.

That's correct. The British consular officials told me that in their judgment the girls were both on drugs of some sort, and indeed, a doctor had seen Elizabeth because she was hemorrhaging and the doctor had so stated. And the girls told me that they were on drugs.

And I believe you also stated that you verified that Elizabeth was having trouble at home, trouble with her mother, trouble wanting to be in Europe when in fact she was in Bedford County and that sort of thing?

Essentially the drill was that Elizabeth was quite unhappy because Lynchburg was in Virginia and not Europe, and she saw her future in Europe and she felt that Lynchburg was too close to home.

She didn't of course tell me the other motive, which never came out on the table, which I knew, which was that she had the affair going with Melissa. And Lynchburg would of course involve separation from Melissa. But I understood why she wouldn't be comfortable with telling me that.

Q Did you--to go back to my question, did it come out and did you verify that she was having trouble at home or with her parents?

A Yes. As I said, primarily her problem was

Page 460

that she regarded her mother as over—the word I used to her mother to describe it on the telephone was that she had a love resentment relationship with her mother. She on the one hand would tell me when pressed to the wall that she loved her mother and even tell amusing anecdotes about her mother, but then she would tell me how her mother arranged her social life and arranged things for her and that she felt she didn't have the opportunity to be an individual, and as a result she was uncomfortable.

Q That would have been in 1983?

A That was in October of 1983.

Now did I understand you to say that in your opinion she had difficulty perceiving who she was in relation to all of this?

No, I don't believe I said that, but I think that unquestionably Elizabeth had a serious identity problem in that she on the one hand saw herself as an intellectual, a student, one who wanted to surround herself with the beauties and the culture of Europe on the one hand, and yet subject to the fact that she didn't have the resources to do that and therefore an Echols Scholarship at the University of Virginia, which I tried to convince her was a wonderful opportunity that someone like myself would have given my right arm for, to her was seen as something undesirable.

This identity problem that you have suggested, have you ever had any training in psychiatry or psychology or that sort of thing; could you very clearly state that, have you had any?

A Other than my undergraduate major in English and political science and psychology courses, no. But for four years, from 1975 to 1979, I was a professor of military science at the University of South Florida and I counseled students in the age group of nineteen repeatedly to the point where by 1979 I was selected as the outstanding professor of military science in the country, and one of the reasons was because of my success in relating to and dealing with young people. So I do feel I've had some experience in dealing with young people.

So then it should come as no surprise to me that your analysis of how you saw Elizabeth Haysom in 1983 is very similar to what's in this report and what Dr. Showalter stated an hour ago.

Since I have been excluded and don't know what the doctor said, I don't--you know, I take you at your word. I merely always felt that Elizabeth, because of her words, her letters, her self--just had an image of herself, that she was impatient, she wanted to have the freedom of a grownup but she was still nineteen.

her that she should be patient and that her day would come and that she had wonderful opportunities ahead of her, she herself remained convinced that she was a very unlucky person because she didn't have control of her own destiny at the age of nineteen.

MR. DAVIS: I think you've said it all. Thank you.

(Witness stood aside.)

witness and having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows:

# DIRECT EXAMINATION

### 4 BY MR. UPDIKE:

8

9

10

15

21

- Q State your name, please.
- 16 A My name is Richard Haysom.
- 17 O And where do you live?
- 18 A I live in Calgary, Alberta.
- 19 0 And your profession is what?
- 20 A I'm an architect.
  - O And your relationship with the defendant
- 22 Elizabeth Haysom is what, please?
- 23 A She is my sister.
- And as far as your ages, how much older are
- 25 you than she is?

I'm ten years older than her. And during your younger years when you were at home and she was there, were you all pretty close? 3 I was particularly close to Elizabeth. helped, I spent a lot of time bringing her up for the first twelve years of her life. I was the closest to her in age and probably spent more time with her than anyone else. Now Mr. Haysom, I had intended -- I have kept you outside the courtroom for quite some time and I apologize because I had intended to ask you more questions. But under the circumstances, if I could just ask you one question at this point and this being that you being a brother, and Mr. Haysom would have been your stepfather, is that correct? 16 That's correct. 17 And Mrs. Haysom of course your natural mother, but you considered Mr. Haysom you father as well, is that correct? 20 That's correct. I had tremendous respect 21 and love for my father, which is very unusual for a 22 step-parent. 23 Under those circumstances, without making any specific statements regarding any sentence or

anything, do you have any feelings that you wish to

express regarding the disposition of this case?

A Firstly, I'd like to establish that I'm not here for any vindictive reasoning. I also wish to tell the Court that I still today love very much my sister.

But I feel that we have an obligation under the circumstances and under the heinous crime that's been committed here, we have an obligation to society to show the people, to show to society, what the consequences of such a crime are. I therefore would want to see the most severest penalty possible under the charges.

MR. UPDIKE: Thank you, Mr. Haysom.

If you'd answer any questions Mr. Davis

might have.

### CROSS EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. DAVIS:

3

10

11

12

13

15

17

19

20

24

Mr. Haysom, have you had any contact with Elizabeth since March of 1985, since April of 1985?

A No, I haven't--that's been two and a half years, and in those two and a half years since the funeral I have had absolutely no contact with Elizabeth. She has never written to me, she's never spoken to me. This I found very strange. I find the question of her being remorseful unacceptable to me at this stage.

O Do you recall having a message sent to Elizabeth that you did not want to hear from her?

1	A	Could you repeat the question, please?
2	Q	Do you recall at some point delivering a
3	message or hav	ing a message delivered that you did not
4	want to hear for	com Elizabeth?
5	A	I did not want to hear from her?
6	Q	Yes, after she was charged.
7	A	No.
8	Q	You don't recall that?
9	A	No, not at all.
10		MR. DAVIS: No further questions.
11		(Witness stood aside.)
12		ANNIE ROBERTSON MASSIE, was called as
13	a witness and having been duly sworn was examined and	
14	testified as follows:	
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
16	BY MR. UPDIKE:	
17	Q	State your name, please.
18	A	Annie Robertson Massie.
19	Q	And Ms. Massie, we've established through
20	some other evidence and some stipulations I have made I	
21	think some of	your involvement in the case, but you of
22	course were ve	ry good friends of Derrick and Nancy Haysom,
23	is that correct?	
24	A	That's right.
25	Q	And as I stated during the previous

hearing, you had a key to their home and you were the one who opened the door on that occasion and saw Mr. Haysom's body lying inside there in the living room.

A Yes.

You being such good friends of Mr. and Mrs. Haysom—and if I could interject that I intended to ask you about some other things, but I think at this point I'd really like to ask you really one question. Being such good friends with Mr. and Mrs. Haysom, were you familiar with the Christmas of 1984 when Elizabeth Haysom, the daughter, made a trip to Yugoslavia I think, some type of ski trip?

A Yes, to Sarejevo?

Yes. And did you discuss that with Mrs. Haysom and were you aware of her feelings about it and her impressions about that trip, whether it was her idea or Elizabeth's idea?

A Yes, I did. We talked about it. We were having a family party at Christmas and Nancy really wanted Elizabeth to stay over Christmas because we usually had about 150 families and it was a very comfortable setting for a young person to be with their own parents and yet meet other young people. But Elizabeth wanted to go on the trip and—

Q Was that your impression that she wanted to

go on the trip? Oh, yes. And there were other university 2 students that were going, and Nancy weighed which would be 3 the better and finally gave way to Elizabeth's wish to be 5 with her friends. 6 Okay. But it was not then a situation of 7 Mrs. Haysom deciding to send Elizabeth away at Christmastime? 9 Oh, absolutely not. Nancy would have preferred her to stay at home. 11 MR. UPDIKE: Thank you. If you'd 12 answer any questions Mr. Davis may have, 13 please. 14 CROSS EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. DAVIS: 16 Ms. Massie, I believe that some of the Haysom family's been staying with you, is that right? 18 That's right. 19 And stayed with you during August as well. 20 Yes. 21 Have you made phone calls to kind of rally 22 some of the Haysom children to Bedford? 23 I haven't rallied them, they have usually stayed with us and I invited them should they wish to come

25

to stay at our house.

```
Have you called and encouraged them to come
   to the sentencing hearing?
                  I wrote letters asking--inviting them, I
  don't think I encouraged. They stayed with us some, some
  did, for the funeral service.
6
                  I understand that you're upset with
  Mr. and Mrs. Haysom's deaths and I think everyone is, but
  haven't you made extraordinary efforts to make sure that
  everybody's here, to let everybody know what's going to
10 happen?
11
                  Our family has always had a unique
12 | relationship with the family. Nancy and I were close from
  childhood, as were our mothers and grandmothers. It was
13
   very natural for us to be the rallying point for all the
15 family. There was no one else closer in town than we.
16
                  I see.
                  And we have done our utmost.
18
                  Right. Do you also share the belief with
19
   Howard Haysom that Elizabeth was in Lynchburg or in
20
   Bedford County when--
21
                  That is correct, I do.
22
                  And I believe that your two children were
   here yesterday in the courtroom during testimony?
                  That is right.
25
                  Have you spoken with them about that, about
```

```
what took place yesterday?
                  No, I have not. Our son left because he
  couldn't stand to near the way the testimony went
  yesterday, listening to so many of the lies. He went back
  after dinner last night.
               Well then he must have told you about what
  he heard.
8
                  That's all he said, that he was leaving
  because he didn't like the lies.
10
                  Now he didn't live with the Haysoms and
  Elizabeth, did he?
                  He didn't live?
  A
13
                  With them.
14
                  No.
15
                  And in fact you did not live there, would
16
  that be correct?
17
18
                  Would you agree with what has been assessed
  here as being a strained relationship between Elizabeth and
20
  her mother?
                  No.
22
                  So Colonel Harrington, who testified about
  ten minutes ago and said that there was definitely a
24
  problem between Elizabeth and her mother that they were
  trying to work out--
```

Elizabeth worked--Nancy talked to me often of how she was working with Elizabeth. There was this strain because of the trip.

Q There was?

There was the trip. Anyone who leaves their home, leaves their parents and goes on a romp around Europe, it was an agonizing summer, we were with them in Nova Scotia with our children and I knew that there was a problem if she had left. But I could see how Nancy worked over it and I wouldn't think it was a strain; she was a caring mother.

And I don't--your phraseology, I think we're on the same wave length.

So your first answer though was no, there was no strained relationship, but now since Colonel Harrington perceived it, you can say yes, there was a strained relationship.

I don't think I would like to say a strained relationship. I think everyone has problems that you work out with children, but I don't think it was a strained relationship because I think that Nancy cared about Elizabeth and I'm sure vice versa; they worked with each other. But they all had—there were times that parents don't see eye to eye with children.

Q All right Ms. Massie, to move on, there was

an implication made by the prosecution concerning some photographs which I don't want to bring up, but it was implied that Mrs. Haysom did not take nude photographs of Elizabeth. Do you know that in fact she did take those photographs? I was shown some nude photographs that were taken I assumed after we had been down to an art -- a figure drawing workshop. I have some nude photographs as well. Of any of your children? No, but it would be very easy for me to see, as most artists do their families, it would be very 11 easy for me to see that you might ask a member of your family to pose. In fact, my art friends were appalled at 13 the inference. The only question I had was did 15 Mrs. Haysom take the photographs of Elizabeth? You know, it appeared, they were in 17 Elizabeth's bedroom, I assume she would. My husband and I found it very natural that this would be so. 19 And Ms. Massie, you are aware that the 20 Commonwealth has accepted Elizabeth's plea as being an 21 accessory before the fact and in fact that has been all 22 the evidence that's been presented as to that effect. 23 24 I'm aware of that. MR. DAVIS: No further questions.

(Witness stood aside.)

MR. UPDIKE: We have no further evidence, Your Honor. The only other thing that we have is that there was one brother, Julian Haysom, who wrote a letter to the attention of the Court, I have provided counsel with a copy of it.

From my review of it, it really doesn't get into the evidence itself, it's just a description by Mr. Julian Haysom of the family situation that he would like brought to the attention of the Court, and I would just ask if defense counsel had any objections to me introducing it.

MR. DAVIS: Judge, in reciprocity, we only object based on the Commonwealth's objection to our earlier request to get a letter in. It's signed, it's in typed print, we have no idea who he is other than by the statement or the signature that's on the letter.

MR. UPDIKE: It's my recollection,
Your Honor, that in response to that
objection the ruling was that the letter
was admitted and that the ruling was that

Page 473

. .

the strict rules of evidence would be relaxed in this case at the sentencing hearing under these circumstances.

:23

THE COURT: That was my understanding.

MR. DAVIS: Judge, and we would have a
letter that we'd like to introduce from

Fiona Haysom under those same lax rules of
evidence.

THE COURT: Show a copy to him.

MR. UPDIKE: This is not to the Court.

MR. DAVIS: It's a letter to

Mr. Jones, Judge, the same as the letter

the Court let in--

MR. UPDIKE: I withdraw my request,
Your Honor. I haven't even read the
letter, but I withdraw my request.

THE COURT: All right. Is there any other evidence from the Commonwealth?

MR. UPDIKE: No, sir.

THE COURT: Is there any other evidence from the defense?

MR. DAVIS: No, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Now we are not going to hear closing arguments now. To do so would be to restrict each attorney as to

time and frankly, I need all the help I can get on this very difficult case.

We will recess now, we will come back not at 9:30 but at 10:00 tomorrow morning at which time I will allow each side to make closing arguments, and then I intend to rule tomorrow morning and sentence tomorrow morning following the closing arguments. Are there any questions? Let's recess court.

(Whereupon court was adjourned to be reconvened on October 7, 1987.)